

CAPITAL ADEQUACY AND OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY OF BANKS IN NIGERIA

By

**OLAREWAJU Odunayo Magret
B.Sc. (Accounting)**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE
DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT AND ACCOUNTING,
FACULTY OF ADMINISTRATION,
OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA
IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ACCOUNTING**

2015

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that OLAREWAJU ODUNAYO MAGRET carried out this research work under my supervision in the Department of Management and Accounting, Faculty of Administration, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

.....

Dr. (Mrs.) O. O. Akinlo

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

AUTHORISATION TO COPY

AUTHOR: OLAREWAJU Odunayo Magret

TITLE: CAPITAL ADEQUACY AND OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY OF BANKS IN
NIGERIA

DEGREE: M. Sc. (Accounting)

I, OLAREWAJU Odunayo Magret hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my thesis in whole or in part, in response to requests from individual researchers and organizations for the purpose of private study or research.

.....

Signature

.....

Date

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to GOD ALMIGHTY, the one who bestowed upon me, the grace, wisdom, knowledge and understanding to start and finish this programme.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I appreciate my Great Shepherd, the saviour of my soul and the giver of all wisdom, knowledge and understanding for the grace given to me to see the end of this programme. He is indeed the Alpha and Omega.

My special thanks go to my supervisor, Dr. (Mrs.) O. O. Akinlo, who showed great interest in my chosen career and for her thorough, uncompromising and constructive criticism which has brought out the giant in me and for taking her time to go through the manuscripts before arriving at this final copy.

I cannot but say a big thank you to a boss like father, Prof. P. O. Oladele, who monitored the progress of this programme and ensured that I did not relax at any point in time, Daddy, you will surely live long to reap the fruits of your labour. Also, I appreciate Dr. M. O. Oke, Dr. A. A. Adaramola, Dr. K. K. Arogundade, Dr Dapo Fapetu and Dr. C. O Olaoye for their assistance, encouragement and guidance during the write up of this thesis. God will continue to bless and increase you all.

I wish to specially thank my colleagues and friends Mr A. A. Obalade, Mrs O. G. Obisesan and also my students; Master Kolade Abiodun, Master Seyi Ajisafe and Master Ibitola Elugbaju for assisting me as at when due during this programme. Thank you all. I pray heaven will be our limit, we shall all get to our destinations in Jesus name (amen).

My special thanks goes to my parents, Chief and Mrs. S. A. Fayigbe; my in- laws, Revd. and Mrs B. O. Olarewaju; my siblings, Oluwafemi, Olaoluwa, Oluwagbenga, Ayodeji, Oluwaseun and their spouses for their interest in my academic career, words of encouragement and love. Most importantly, I appreciate my mother, Mrs. D. F. Fayigbe, whom God has placed

to be my priceless asset, for taking great care of my children while, I was pursuing this programme. Mummy, you shall live long in good health to reap what you sowed.

In my academic journey, I cannot but appreciate Prof. O.O. Olorunfemi (OAU), Late Mrs. F. D. Fasola (of a blessed memory) who made it possible for me, through the help of God to step into a University. Also, Revd. Sam Alabi, who led me to Christ and taught me that ‘In this life, you do not rest when you are tired, but when you achieve your goals’. I say, God bless you and all your seeds in Jesus name (Amen).

Finally, my sincere appreciation goes to my husband, my CROWN and my best friend, Mr Olusola Olawale Olarewaju, for your interest in my progress, guidance, support, understanding, care and love you showed to me during this programme. And, my children, Oluwadamilare Emmanuel and Oluwadunsin Esther, you’ve all been a blessing to me, God bless and keep you all. Love you all.

Odunayo Magret Olarewaju

August, 2015



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page.....	i
Certification.....	ii
Authorization.....	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgements.....	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Figures.....	xii
Abstract.....	xiii
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1 Background to the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Research Problem	3
1.3 Research Questions	5
1.4 Objectives of the Study	5
1.5 Hypotheses of the Study	6
1.6 Justification for the Study	6
1.7 Scope of the Study	8
1.8 Operational Definition of Terms	8
Chapter Two: Literature Review	10
2.1 Conceptual Review	10
2.1.1 General concept of Capital Adequacy	10
2.1.2 Conditions Influencing Capital Adequacy	12

2.1.3	Determination of Adequate Capital in Banks	13
2.1.4	Current Capital Standards	15
2.1.5	Raising the Required Capital	16
2.1.6	Highlight of Basle Report	16
2.1.7	Problems of Measures of Capital Adequacy	18
2.1.8	Prudential Regulations and Requirements	18
2.1.9	Risk Management Techniques	19
2.1.10	Performance Measurement in Banks	20
2.1.11	Meaning of Operational Efficiency of Banks	21
2.1.12	Factors Influencing Bank Efficiency	22
2.1.13	Measures that can Improve Operational Efficiency of Banks	24
2.2	Conceptual Framework	25
2.3	Theoretical Review	30
2.3.1	Operational Efficiency Theory	30
2.3.2	Determinants of Capital Adequacy: Theory and Evidence	31
2.4	Empirical Review	36
2.4.1	Empirical Review on Determinants of Capital Adequacy	36
2.4.2	Empirical Review on Capital Adequacy and Operational Efficiency	38
2.5	Summary of Empirical Review	44
Chapter Three: Methodology		46
3.1	Sources and collection of Data	46
3.2	Sample size and Sampling Techniques	46
3.3	Research Instruments	47
3.4	Validation of Research Instrument	47
3.5	Model Specification	47

3.5.1	Determinants of capital adequacy of banks	48
3.5.2	Capital adequacy and operational efficiency	48
3.6	Measurement of Variables	50
3.7	Approach to Research Objectives	52
3.8	Data analysis Techniques	53
3.8.1.	Regression Estimation Techniques	53
3.8.1.1	Generalised least square (Pooled)	53
3.8.1.2	Fixed Effect Method	54
3.8.1.3	Random Effect Method	56
3.8.1.4	The Generalized Method of Moments (GMM)	57
Chapter Four:	Data Presentation, Analysis and Interpretation	62
4.1	Social demography of Respondents	62
4.2	Major Determinants of Deposit Money Banks' Capital Adequacy	65
4.2.1	Major Determinants of Capital Adequacy Using Multiple Regression Analysis	65
4.2.2	Descriptive Analysis of Variables	69
4.2.3	Correlation Analysis	71
4.2.4	Pooled Regression Analysis	75
4.2.5	Fixed Effect Analysis	78
4.2.6	Random Effect Estimation	84
4.2.7	Post Estimation Test	86
4.2.7.1	Restricted F-test of fixed Heterogeneity Effect	86
4.2.7.2	Hausman test	88
4.2.8	Dynamic Panel Analysis Using Generalized Method of Moment	90

4.3	Influence of Bank Size and Capital Adequacy on Operational Efficiency of Banks in Nigeria.	93
4.3.1	Descriptive Analysis of Variables	93
4.3.2	Correlation Analysis	96
4.3.3	Pooled Regression Analysis	99
4.3.4	Fixed Effect Analysis	102
4.3.5	Random Effect Estimation	108
4.3.6	Post Estimation Test	110
4.3.6.1	Restricted F-test of fixed Heterogeneity effect	110
4.3.6.2	Hausman Test	112
4.3.7	Dynamic Panel Analysis Using Generalized Method Of Moment.	118
4.4	Discussion of Findings and Implications	121
Chapter Five:	Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations	125
5.1	Summary of Findings	125
5.2	Recommendations	128
5.3	Conclusion	130
5.4	Contribution to knowledge	131
5.5	Limitation to the study	132
5.6	Suggestion for further Research	132

Bibliography	133
Appendix I Copy of questionnaire distributed to sampled banks	144
Appendix II Analysis of response to general information questions	147
Appendix III Regression results for Model 1	153
Appendix IV Regression results for Model 2	160

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Respondents' Social Demography	64
4.2	ANOVA Multiple Regression Result	68
4.3	Descriptive Characteristics of Variables	70
4.4	Correlation Matrix (Model 1)	74
4.5	Pooled (GLS) Regression Result (Model 1)	77
4.6	Fixed Effect Parameter Estimates (Cross-Sectional Specific) Model 1	80
4.7	Fixed Effect Parameter Estimates (Time-Specific) Model 1	83
4.8	Random Effect Estimation (Model 1)	85
4.9	Restricted F-Test of Heterogeneity (Cross-Sectional and Time-Specific)	87
4.10	Hausman Test	89
4.11	Summary of GMM Two-Step Estimation Result (Model 1)	92
4.12	Descriptive Characteristics of Variables (Model 2)	95
4.13	Correlation Matrix (Model 2)	98
4.14	Summary of (GLS) Pooled Regression Result (Model 2)	101
4.15	Fixed Effect Parameter Estimates (Cross-Sectional Specific) Model 2	104
4.16	Fixed Effect Parameter Estimates (Time-Specific) Model 2	107
4.17	Random Effect Estimation (Model 2)	109
4.18	Restricted F-Test of Heterogeneity (Cross-Sectional and Time-Specific)	111
4.19	Hausman Test (Model 2)	113
4.20	Two-Way Fixed Effect Estimates (Model 2)	117

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
S		
2.1	Conceptual Framework on the Determinants of Banks' Capital Adequacy	26
2.2	Conceptual Framework on Banks' Capitalization and Operational Efficiency	28

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT

This study examined the determinants of banks' capital adequacy; and determined the influence of bank size on operational efficiency of banks for the period 2004-2013. It also evaluated the influence of capital base of banks on the level of operational efficiency of banks in Nigeria. These were with the view to providing information on operational efficiency as a measure of banks' performance using Financial Ratio Analysis.

Data were collected from both primary and secondary sources. Primary data were sourced through administration of structured questionnaire. Purposive Sampling Technique was used to select two managerial members of staff and one executive director from the head office of each of the 15 quoted banks out of the existing 21 consolidated banks and two representatives of Central Bank of Nigeria totalling 47 respondents who were the people that attended the Central Bank of Nigeria Capital Adequacy Ratio panel. Data on variables such as cost to income ratio, equity to total asset ratio, equity to total loan ratio, debt to total equity ratio, core capital ratio, bank size ratio, bank risk ratio, return on asset, return on equity, credit risk, liquidity structure, total asset, gross domestic product, inflation and deposit structure was also sourced from the Annual Reports and Accounts of selected banks and Central Bank of Nigeria Statistical Bulletin covering 2004 - 2013. The data were analysed using descriptive statistics such as mean, median, mode, standard deviation and inferential statistics such as pool ordinary least squares, fixed effect, random effect estimation and dynamic regression techniques.

The results showed that return on asset ($z = 2.95, p < 0.05$), return on equity ($z = -2.53, p < 0.05$), credit risk ($z = -3.85, p < 0.05$), deposit ($z = -6.64, p < 0.05$) and liquidity ($z = -4.00, p < 0.05$) were significant determinants of capital adequacy in Nigerian money deposit banks. The results also showed that the influence of bank size on operational efficiency was negative and

insignificant ($t = -0.08$, $p > 0.05$) and that debt to total equity ($t = -3.17$, $p < 0.05$), core capital ratio ($t = 4.65$, $p < 0.05$), bank risk ($t = -3.89$, $p < 0.05$) were significant in evaluating the influence of capital adequacy on operational efficiency of the Nigerian money deposit banks. Banks like FCMB Bank ($t = 2.63$, $p < 0.05$), Fidelity Bank ($t = 2.23$, $p < 0.05$) and WEMA Bank ($t = 2.45$, $p < 0.05$), had heterogeneous operational efficiency in the year 2009 ($t = 3.06$, $p < 0.05$) and year 2010 ($t = 3.63$, $p < 0.05$) due to their intrinsic organizational factors such as managerial competence, technological acceptance and timeliness of decision making, towards sustaining operational efficiency.

The study concluded that Financial Ratio Analysis was a better method of measuring bank's operational efficiency.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

The banking sector is crucial to the survival of any economy and in order for the banking industry to achieve its objectives; the industry must be reliable, stable, safe and sound. However, banks all over the World have been undergoing crisis. In Nigeria, for example, the rapid increase in the number of bank failure has reached an unprecedented level. Hence, the regulatory authorities have adopted measures aimed at ensuring the reliability, safety and soundness of the banking industry. In 2004, the Central Bank of Nigeria embarked on banking sector reform which sought, among other things, to strengthen the Nigerian banking system and to improve its operational efficiency. At that time, the Nigerian banks were generally weak and inefficient.

The Central Bank of Nigeria's surveillance report as at the end of March, 2004 indicated that 62 banks out of 89 were classified as sound/ satisfactory and 14 as marginal. The number of unsound banks had risen from 9 as at the end of December, 2003 to 11 in May, 2004. Based on this, there was serious over-dependence on public sector funds and Central Bank of Nigeria credits as well as income from foreign exchange trading on the part of the banks.

Besides being grossly undercapitalized, the banking industry was characterized by several weaknesses including poor corporate governance, poor asset quality, inaccurate reporting and non-compliance with regulatory requirements, falling ethics and de-marketing of other banks in the industry, gross insider abuses resulting in huge non-performing insider related credits, oligopolistic structure with 10 of the 89 banks controlling more than 50 per cent

of the industry's assets and liabilities, lack of capacity to support the real sector of the economy and lack of competition by banks in savings mobilization to boost the level of deposits.

The above is an indication that Nigerian banks were not efficient during the period under review. According to Beck, Loayza and Levine (2000), the more efficient a financial system is in resource generation and in its allocation, the greater its contribution to productivity and economic growth. Management of operations has usually been a secondary concern, partly because it has been considered, for some reasons, to be less critical to profitability (Said, 2012). High income may not mean that banks are efficient, more sustainable and cost efficient. Banks generally tend to minimize their cost to generate certain amount of income. So, there is the need for the banks' management to understand the proper operational efficiency required for effective utilization of banks resources.

The banking systems of many developing economies have exhibited poor performance, perhaps, in part, due to excessive government regulations and unfavourable business environment. To address this problem, various financial liberalizations, reforms and restructuring programs have been implemented in an effort to foster banking efficiency and a better allocation of resources (Isik & Hassan, 2003). The impact of these measures on bank efficiency has been widely studied with approximately 95% of these works focusing on banks of industrialized countries. However, only a limited number of these studies have examined the impact of capital adequacy on banks operational efficiency on developing economies (Kwan, 2003).

Studies on the importance of operating efficiency for banks in other economies revealed that the key determinants of operational efficiency were affected by the global financial crisis (Siraj & Pillai, 2011). This reinforces the need to understand the drivers of operational efficiency

for proper risk management in the Nigerian Deposit Money Banks. The high interest charged by Nigerian banks could be attributed to the inability to push their operational costs downwards despite the increase in capital base of Nigerian banks. This may be due to many challenges in respect of costs and management of risks which banks are exposed to. Operating efficiency is one of the most critical risks faced by financial institutions in the Nigerian environment. For the banking institution to make the best use of their capital base, it is paramount for the sector to operate efficiently. Thus, this study is aimed at critically examining banks' capital adequacy and operational efficiency in Nigeria.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Deposit Money Banks provide liquid and relatively low risk saving facilities in flexible amount to households, business concerns and government. It also promotes the payment system by providing major forms of exchange such as demand deposit. All these facilities can only be made available if the banks are adequately capitalized. Banks, in performing their intermediary role between the surplus and deficit unit, need to mobilise deposits which will broaden their capital base.

In Nigeria, currently, it is evident that Deposit Money Banks have moved from 'Demand-following' to 'Supply-leading' institutions; all in an attempt to boost their business activities with a view to bringing down the unit cost. It is a general saying that the stronger and bigger a bank is, the better its performance arising from low cost of operations.

Going through the literature, it is evident that many researchers have worked on the impact of capital adequacy on bank performances. Some of these studies include: Berger (1995), Ben Nacuer (2003), Pasiouras (2006), Kosmidou (2008), Mohi-ud and Tabassum (2010), Babalola (2011), Asikhia and Sokefun (2013), Ezike and Oke (2013), Ogboi and Unuafe

(2013). All these studies considered profitability as the measure of banks' performance and their findings showed that capital adequacy has a positive relationship with banks' profitability. However, in few cases, the impact was not significant.

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY