

**COMPARATIVE EFFICIENCIES OF LIQUID HUMIC SUBSTANCES
EXTRACTED FROM RAW AND COMPOSTED AGRO-WASTES ON
PHOSPHORUS RELEASE FROM PHOSPHATE ROCK**

BY

ADEOYE, MARGARET TOFUNMI

**B. TECH. (AGRONOMY), LADOKE AKINTOLA UNIVERSITY OF
TECHNOLOGY, OGBOMOSO, 2008.**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF DEGREE OF MASTER OF
SCIENCE (M. Sc.) IN SOIL SCIENCE**

**DEPARTMENT OF SOIL SCIENCE AND LAND RESOURCES
MANAGEMENT,**

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE,

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY,

ILE-IFE, NIGERIA.

2015

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA.

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THEISIS

AUTHORISATION TO COPY

AUTHOR: ADEOYE, MARGARET TOFUNMI

TITLE: COMPARATIVE EFFICIENCIES OF LIQUID HUMIC SUBSTANCES
EXTRACTED FROM RAW AND COMPOSTED AGRO-WASTES
ON PHOSPHORUS RELEASE FROM PHOSPHATE ROCK

DEGREE: MASTER OF SCIENCE IN SOIL SCIENCE

YEAR: 2015

I, ADEOYE, MARGARET TOFUNMI, hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi
Library to copy my thesis in whole or part in response to request from individual
researcher or organization for the purpose of private study or research.

.....
Signature

.....
Date

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this work was carried out by ADEOYE, MARGARET TOFUNMI (AGP11/12/H/1943) of the Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management, Faculty of Agriculture, in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (M. Sc.) in Soil Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

.....
Supervisor

Dr. (Mrs.) O.O. Adesanwo

**Department of Soil Science and Land Resources Management,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile-Ife, Nigeria.**

.....
Date

.....
Co-supervisor

Dr. (Mrs.) G. O. Ogunlusi

**Department of Chemistry,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile-Ife, Nigeria.**

.....
Date

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to God Almighty, the source and giver of all good gifts, and to All who have contributed to the success of this programme.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere and profound gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. (Mrs.) O. O. Adesanwo, for her guidance, firmness and understanding throughout the course of this study. I am much obliged for your incessant, fastidious yet motherly corrections and guidance. I will forever be grateful.

My heart-felt appreciation goes to my Co-supervisor, Dr. (Mrs.) G. O. Ogunlusi, for her willingness and readiness to assist at all times which contributed immensely to the success of this research work. Thank you so much.

I sincerely appreciate the Head of Department of Soil and Science and Land Resources Management, Prof. D. J. Oyedele as well as Professors A. A. Amusan, A. Olayinka, J. A. Adepetu and T. A. Okusami for their professional guidance, fatherly counsel and constructive criticisms all through the period of this research work. Many thanks to Dr. (Mrs.) M. K. Idowu and Dr. S. A. Muda for their invaluable support and encouragement at all times.

With deep sense of appreciation, I acknowledge Baba Wojuade, Messrs M. O. Fadeyibi, M.K. Alofe, R.S. Oyeyemi, Abiodun and Opeyemi. Mesdames Fabode, Baruwa, Olanrewaju and Oyeboade for their support.

To my colleague Fashina Tokunbo Bidemi, I say “thank you” for being a brother and a friend indeed. Your prompt attention, unrelenting effort and contribution to the success of this thesis cannot be measured. I am very grateful. To my senior and my other colleagues, Messers: Azeez, Atoloye, Ojetade, Atere, Akinwumi, Ekundayo, Aduroja Shittu, Olatoberu Tope, and my sisters Ruth Adegbenro, Bola Ogunrewo, Bunmi, Funmi, Adesuwa, Lizzy and many others whose names are not included here, I appreciate your assistance and encouragement.

Great acknowledgements to my parents, Mr. and Mrs. Oluwagbemiga Adeoye, Mr. and Mrs. Felix Molade, for their understanding and immeasurable support (spiritually, morally, financially and otherwise). I also owe an immense debt of gratitude to my brother, Adeoye Oluwatomide, for being there all the way long, for taking time to look through my work and forever reminding me that time is short. Big thanks to my sisters, Adeoye Adetola and Adeoye Omowumi (Mrs) as well as Rev. Frs. Martin Badejo, Felix Emerue, Anthony Omodunbi, Charles Akinloye and Henry Molade, Mrs. Wuraola Odediran, Dr. Akinsomisoye, Dr. Richard Akinwale, Mr. and Mrs. David Alao, the entire Akande and Adeoye families. God bless you all.

I am blessed with the unalloyed friendship, spiritual and financial support, understanding and encouragement of my darling husband, Olayinka Francis Molade. Thank you for believing in me, your sacrifices are invaluable incentives that saw me through.

Above all, to God the Almighty, the most powerful, the most merciful, the Most High - who knows the end from the beginning, I give all the glory and honour.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT	PAGE
TITLE PAGE	i
AUTHORIZATION PAGE	ii
CERTIFICATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
APPENDIX	xvi
ABSTRACT	xvii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Phosphorus in soils	5

2.2 Agronomic importance of phosphorus	6
2.3 Forms of phosphorus in Soil	6
2.3.1 The solution P pool	6
2.3.2 The active P pool	7
2.3.3 The fixed P pool	7
2.4 Reactions of P with other elements in soil	8
2.4.1 Nitrogen	11
2.4.2 Potassium	11
2.4.3 Calcium	11
2.4.4 Magnesium	12
2.4.5 Sulphate	12
2.5 Sources of P	13
2.5.1 Synthetic P sources	13
2.5.2 Organic P sources	13
2.5.3 Discrete P sources	14
2.5.3.1 Geochemistry and mineralogy of phosphate rock	15
2.5.3.2 Phosphate rock deposits in Nigeria	15



2.5.3.3 Direct application of phosphate rock in agriculture	16
2.5.3.4 Advantages of Direct Application of Phosphate Rocks	16
2.5.3.4.1 Cost effectiveness and environmental viability	16
2.5.3.4.2 Liming effects	17
2.5.3.4.3 Source of macronutrients and micronutrients	17
2.5.3.5 Factors affecting the agronomic effectiveness of Phosphate Rock	17
2.5.3.6 Solubilization of phosphate rock	18
2.5.3.6.1 Partial Acidulation	19
2.5.3.6.2 Thermally amendment	19
2.5.3.6.3 Biological method	20
2.5.3.6.4 Use of humic substances	21
2.6 Humic substances	22
2.6.1 Sources of humic substances	23
2.6.2 Characteristics and structures of humic substances	23
2.6.3 Functions of humic substances	28
2.6.3.1 Agronomic importance	28
2.6.3.1.1 Nutrient bioavailability	28

2.6.3.1.2 Chelation and remediation effects	29
2.6.3.1.3 Effects on plants	29
2.6.3.2 Environmental importance	30
2.6.3.3 Health	31
2.7 Kinetics for P desorption and adsorption	31
2.7.1 Zero-order equation	32
2.7.2 First-order equation	33
2.7.3 Second-order equation	34
2.7.4 Elovich equation	34
2.7.5 Fractional power equation	34
2.7.6 Parabolic diffusion equation	35
2.7.7 Langmuir equation	35
2.7.8 Freundlich equations	37
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Materials	39
3.1.1 Agro-wastes samples	39
3.1.2 Soil sample	39



3.1.3 Source of phosphate rocks	39
3.1.4 Humic acid reference sample	40
3.2 Methods	40
3.2.1 Soil physical and chemical analyses	40
3.2.2 Chemical analyses of raw and composted agro-wastes	40
3.2.3 Extraction of humic substances and humic acid	41
3.2.4 Characterization of humic acid	41
3.2.5 Kinetics of Ogun phosphate rock dissolution	41
3.2.6 Incubation studies	42
3.2.7 Determination of phosphorus	42
3.2.8 Statistical analyses	43
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Physical and Chemical Properties of Soil used for the Experiment	44
4.2 Chemical Composition of Ogun Phosphate Rock	47
4.3 Chemical Properties of Agro-waste Samples and Extractable Humic Acids	47
4.4 Gravimetric Analysis of Humic Acids from Raw and Composted Agro-wastes	50



4.5 Characterization of Humic Acids	52
4.5.1 High Performance Liquid Chromatography	52
4.5.2 Fourier's Transform Infrared Spectroscopy	62
4.6 Kinetics of P Dissolution in Ogun Phosphate Rock using Liquid Humic Substances and Solid Agro-wastes	71
4.7 Incubation experiment	76
CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATION	78
REFERENCES	80
APPENDIX	128

LIST OF TABLES

TABLES	TITLE	PAGE
1.	Physical and chemical properties of the soil used for incubation	46
2.	Chemical composition of Ogun phosphate rock used for the study	48
3.	Chemical properties of agro-waste samples and humic acids extracts	49
4.	Weight of humic acids extracted from raw and composted agro-wastes	51
5.	Retention time and area of major components of humic acids as separated and quantified by HPLC	61
6.	Absorbance for the hydrophobic and hydrophilic components of extracted humic acids	69
7.	Absorbance for the acidic functional groups of extracted humic acids	70
8.	Effects of LHS from different agro-wastes on the concentration of P released from PR over a 48-hour Period	72
9.	Effects of SAW from different agro-wastes on the concentration of P released from PR over a 48-hour Period	75
10.	Soil available P after a 4-week incubation of Ogun phosphate rock-amended soil with LHS and SAW	77



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES	TITLES	PAGE
1.	Phosphorus availability at different pH ranges	10
2.	Model structure of humic acids	26
3.	Model structure of fulvic acids	27
4.	HPLC chromatogram of reference sample	54
5.	HPLC chromatogram of raw swine dung	55
6.	HPLC chromatogram of composted swine dung	56
7.	HPLC chromatogram of raw cocoa pod	57
8.	HPLC chromatogram of composted cocoa pod	58
9.	HPLC chromatogram of raw poultry dropping	59
10.	HPLC chromatogram of composted poultry dropping	60
11.	FITR spectra of raw swine dung	63
12.	FITR spectra of composted swine dung	64
13.	FITR spectra of raw cocoa pod	65
14.	FITR spectra of composted cocoa pod	66

- | | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 15. | FITR spectra of raw poultry dropping | 67 |
| 16. | FITR spectra of composted poultry dropping | 68 |

OBAFEMI AWOLowo UNIVERSITY



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1. FTIR – Fourier Transform Infrared
2. HA – Humic acid
3. HAs - Humic Acids
4. HPLC – High performance Liquid Chromatography
5. IHSS – International Humic Substance Society
6. LHS – Liquid Humic Substances
7. OPR – Ogun Phosphate Rock
8. P - Phosphorus
9. PR – Phosphate Rocks
10. SAW – Solid Agro-wastes
11. RCP – Raw Cocoa Pod
12. CCP – Composted Cocoa Pod
13. RPD - Raw Poultry Dropping
14. CPD – Composted Poultry Dropping
15. RSD – Raw Swine Dung
16. CSD - Composted Swine Dung



APPENDIX

1.	Comparing the kinetic models for raw swine dung (LHS)	128
2.	Comparing the kinetic models for composted swine dung (LHS)	129
3.	Comparing the kinetic models for raw cocoa pod (LHS)	130
4.	Comparing the kinetic models for composted cocoa pod (LHS)	131
5.	Comparing the kinetic models for raw poultry dropping (LHS)	132
6.	Comparing the kinetic models for composted poultry dropping (LHS)	133
7.	Comparing the kinetic models for raw swine dung (SAW)	134
8.	Comparing the kinetic models for composted swine dung (SAW)	135
9.	Comparing the kinetic models for raw cocoa pod (SAW)	136
10.	Comparing the kinetic models for composted cocoa pod (SAW)	137
11.	Comparing the kinetic models for raw poultry dropping (SAW)	138
12.	Comparing the kinetic models for composted poultry dropping (SAW)	139



ABSTRACT

The study compared the relative effectiveness of liquid humic substances (LHS) extracted from raw and composted agro-wastes on Phosphorus (P) released from solubilization of Ogun phosphate rock (OPR).

Liquid humic substances were extracted from dried raw and composted agro-wastes (swine dung, cocoa pod husk and poultry dropping) following the International Humic Substance Society (IHSS) standard procedure. Humic acid (HA) were precipitated by adjusting the LHS extracts to $\text{pH} < 2$ with concentrated H_2SO_4 . The HAs were characterized using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR). Kinetics of P released from the dissolution of PR was carried out in duplicates by shaking 10 g OPR in 20 mL dilute LHS (5% volume basis) extracted from the raw and composted agro-wastes. The experiment was repeated with 10 g of raw and composted solid agro-waste (SAW) and 20 mL distilled water. The mixtures were shaken at regular intervals and then filtered. The filtrates were collected over time (2, 4, 6, 8, 10... 48 hrs) and were analyzed for available P using iron oxide-impregnated paper method. An incubation experiment was carried out to further monitor the release of P from OPR (0, 30 and 60 kg P ha⁻¹) added to 100 g of air-dried and sieved soil sample (two soil series) using 20 mL LHS and 10 g SAW separately.

The HPLC chromatograms revealed the major peaks for all the samples at retention time (TR₁) of 1.43 -1.47 minutes with a larger peak area in all the raw than in the composted samples for example, HA in raw cocoa pod covered 67.25% while the composted cocoa pod was 46.57%. Analysis of the FTIR spectra showed that HAs from the raw samples had stronger absorption within the hydrophobic (except for poultry droppings) and hydrophilic signal regions than

composted samples, for example, absorbances of the raw and composted swine dung hydrophobic regions were 0.93 and 0.65 while the hydrophilic regions gave absorbances of 0.70 and 0.50 respectively. Similarly, the HA from raw samples showed stronger absorption for the acidic functional groups than composted samples (raw and composted poultry manure - 3.96, 3.69, cocoa pod - 3.90, 2.71 and swine dung - 2.37, 1.73, respectively). The kinetic results showed initial gradual increase in P concentration in all the treatments before a decline, followed by an increase and the data did not fit into any of the considered kinetic models. The incubation experiment showed higher concentrations of P released with SAW than LHS, there was no significant difference in P concentrations from raw LHS and composted LHS.

Therefore, LHS extracted from raw agro-wastes is recommended for OPR solubilization in order to save time and energy that would have been exerted into composting.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

Maintaining phosphorus (P) in the soil solution is important for sustainable crop production in the tropics. Nigeria soils, being tropical, are highly weathered, leached and have high P-fixation capacities as a result of the activities of aluminum (Al) and oxides of iron which fix P thereby rendering a substantial quantity of P unavailable for plant uptake (Lal, 1990; Adetunji 1995; Formoso, 1999). Phosphorus deficiency is so crucial and has been a major plant growth-limiting factor in West Africa (Sahrawat, *et al.*, 1995) and its importance as a yield-limiting factor in many Nigerian soils is well established (Enwezor and Moore, 1966; Udo and Ogunwale, 1977; Adepetu 1983; Adetunji, 1994; Akinrinde *et al.*, 2005; Osundare, 2008). Therefore, the low nutrient status of these soils necessitates frequent application of P fertilizer for intensive cropping systems which is now the practice in Nigeria to ensure growth and adequate food production (Adetunji, 1991; Date *et al.*, 1995; Omar, 1998). Rapid population increase, coupled with urban development, compete with agriculture for land use. Therefore, the need to intensify cropping on the available land for crop production informed the need for an imperative, urgent and sustainable means of replenishing soil solution P.

While nitrogen inputs can be obtained from sources such as biological nitrogen fixation (Ezama *et al.*, 2002), crop residues and other organic sources, appreciable P inputs is often sourced from “imported, scarce and expensive” water soluble P-based mineral fertilizers such as single superphosphates (SSP) and triple superphosphate (TSP) fertilizers by farmers in Nigeria. The average annual importation in the last 5 years was around 560,000 metric tons (Ahmed, 2012)

which are often in limited supply as well as very expensive for most farmers and neither can the financial resources in the country sustain importation nor establishment of more fertilizer plants. In addition, recent findings have shown that the excessive use of mineral fertilizers, due to lack of technical know-how on the part of farmers, could be detrimental to the soil and the environment (Sharpely *et al.*, 1994; Carpenter *et al.*, 1998; Ogbodo, 2013; Govinda, 2014) which necessitates the current campaign for a reduced use of agrochemicals and efficient application of natural materials in agro-ecosystems (Rajan *et al.*, 1996). For the aforementioned reasons and many more, the use of mineral fertilizer as a means of maintaining soil fertility is gradually reducing thus necessitating the need for a sustainable, environmental friendly and low-cost alternative. Direct application of Phosphate Rock (PR) as P supplement in soil is globally recognized and has been found to be suitable and effective on acid soils (Khasameh and Doll, 1978; Chien, 1992; Rajan *et al.*, 1996; Zapata, 2003; Fankem *et al.*, 2006) in Nigeria (Adediran *et al.*, 1998; Akande *et al.*, 1998). Vast deposits of PR have been discovered in different ecological zones in Nigeria (Adegoke *et al.*, 2003) which are currently being underutilized but can serve as the urgent alternative needed to solve the problem of high cost and scarcity of mineral P fertilizers (Adesanwo *et al.*, 2010). Phosphate rocks are slow-release P fertilizers and due to the extensive time lag needed for their solubilization, numerous studies have been conducted to enhance their rates of dissolution as well as increase their immediate P availability (Kpombrekou *et al.*, 1991; Rajan and Watkinson, 1993; Bolland, 1996; Adediran and Sobulo, 1998; Adel *et al.*, 2012) such as thermal amendment (Dash *et al.*, 1990; Rautaray *et al.*, 1995), partial acidulation (Rajan and Marwaha, 1993; Menon and Chien, 1996; Chien, 2003), biological methods using microbial organisms (Illmer and schemer, 1992; Goenadi *et al.*, 2000; Whitelaw, 2000; Alloush and Clark, 2001; Richardson, 2001; Nahas, 2004; Alikhani *et al.*, 2006; Chuang *et*

al., 2006) as well as the use of liquid humic substances (Martinez *et al.*, 1984; Chien and Aviad, 1990; ; Banfield and Hamers, 1997; Chien *et al.*, 1999).

Humic substances (HS), derived from plant and animal remains, are the largest constituents of soil organic matter (SOM) and are responsible for many complex chemical reactions in the soil (Stevenson, 1994). Because of their acidic nature as a result of the presence of functional phenolic and carboxylic groups, they have been employed to improve the effectiveness of PR by causing the release of phosphate and calcium ions from apatite (Sinha, 1971; Lobartini *et al.*, 1994). Huge quantities of agro-wastes are generated in Nigeria on daily basis and their poor management constitutes great threat to the welfare of the populace. Composting had been found to enhance P release from PR (Kamh *et al.*, 1999; Vanlauwe *et al.*, 2000a; Horst *et al.*, 2001; Akande *et al.*, 2006; 2005). However, the bulky nature of the compost, emission of odious gases during composting which also contribute to global warming and long period of the composting process are problems which limit its wide applicability. For instance, cocoa pods take eight months for maturity of its compost. It is then envisaged that since HS are the active components of the organic materials and can be extracted from organic wastes/materials, application of the liquid form of HS extracted from raw and composted agro-wastes should solubilize PR faster than the direct use agro-wastes. Thus, this research work was carried out to test the feasibility of using LHS and to compare the effect of LHS extracted from raw agro-wastes with LHS extracted from composted agro-wastes on the solubilization of PR. Hence, the specific objectives of this study were to

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY