

**EFFECTS OF SYNBIOTIC SUPPLEMENTATION OF HIGH FIBRE DIET ON  
GROWTH PERFORMANCE, INTESTINAL MICROBIAL ECOLOGY, JEJUNAL  
HISTOMORPHOLOGY AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF BROILER  
CHICKENS**

**AGBOOLA, NIHINYO BOSEDE**

B. Agric. Animal Science (Ife)

(AGP12/13/H/1822)

A thesis submitted to the Department of Animal Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Obafemi Awolowo University,  
Ile-Ife, Osun State

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

2016.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA  
HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY POSTGRADUATE THESIS

**AUTHORIZATION TO COPY**

**AUTHOR:** Agboola Nihinyo Bosede

**TITLE:** Effects of Synbiotic Supplementation of High Fibre Diet on Growth Performance, Intestinal Microbial Ecology, Jejunal Histomorphology and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chickens

**DEGREE:** M. Sc. (Animal Science)

**YEAR** 2016

I, Agboola Nihinyo Bosede, hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my thesis, in whole or in part in response to request from individual researchers and organizations for the purpose of private study or research.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

### CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this research project titled “Effects of Synbiotic Supplementation of High Fibre Diet on Growth Performance, Intestinal Microbial Ecology, Jejunal Histomorphology and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chickens was carried out by Miss. Agboola, Nihinyo Bosede under my supervision in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (M. Sc.) in Animal Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

---

Dr. A. A. Fatufe  
(Supervisor)

---

Date

## DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the ALMIGHTY God through our LORD Jesus Christ, the author and the finisher of our faith.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deep appreciation goes to GOD Almighty that made this programme a reality even amidst all odds. Also to my amiable supervisor, Dr. A. A. Fatufe who by his patience and tireless effort gave thorough supervision of this work.

Special thanks goes to the Director and Deputy Director of Obafemi Awolowo Teaching and Research Farm in person of Prof. S. A. Ajayi and Prof. A. O. Ajayi for the approval of sponsorship of the field work. My appreciation also goes to Mr. O. O. Oyegunle, Livestock Manager and all staff of the farm especially those at the Poultry Unit for their support.

I am grateful for the efforts of Dr. (Mrs) O. A. Igbeneghu from the Department of Pharmaceutics and Dr. D. O. Adeyemi from the Department of Anatomy and Cell biology for the co-supervision of the microbiology and anatomy aspects of this study.

I want to say a BIG thank you to my lecturers: Dr. S. I. Ola, Prof. E. B. Sonaiya, Prof. (Mrs) I.O. Matanmi, Prof. (Mrs) S. M. Odeyinka, Dr. E. O. Akinfala, Dr. S. O. Oseni, Dr. T. O. Akande, Dr. O. A. Makinde, Dr. T. O. Abegunde and to the technical staff of Animal Science department especially Mr. Kayode Ogunyemi and Mr. Sola Adegbaye.

I appreciate the effort of my colleagues especially Oni Ayodeji, Mr. Moses Akinsuyi, Ope Awe, Emmanuel Ajayi, Adua Tosin, Damola Babafemi, Funmi Adebisi and Taiwo Sunmola. I must commend the effort of my Parents, Elder and Mrs J. O. Agboola, my siblings especially Sister Seyi also to Adekunle Peter for their financial and spiritual support.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>PAGE</b>
Title page	i
Certification	ii
Authorization to copy	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of contents	vi
List of Tables	x
List of Plates	xi
Abstract	xii
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Justification of Study	3
1.2 Research Hypothesis	3
1.3 Objectives of Study	3
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Dietary fibre	4
2.1.1 Classification of Dietary fibre	4
2.1.1.1 Soluble Non-starch Polysaccharide	4
2.1.1.2 Insoluble Non-starch Polysaccharide	5
2.1.2 Agro-Industrial By-products (AIBPs)	5
2.1.2.1 Palm kernel cake (PKC)	6
2.1.2.2 Wheat bran	6



2.2	Role of Gastro-Intestinal (GI) tract in feed utilization	7
2.2.1	Effects of Fibre-rich diets on Intestinal Environment	8
2.3	Antibiotics as Growth Promoter	9
2.3.1	Mechanisms of Action	10
2.3.2	Ban of Antibiotics	10
2.3.3	Alternatives to Antibiotics	11
2.4	Phytobiotics	11
2.5	Organic Acids	11
2.6	Dietary Enzymes	12
2.7	Probiotics or Direct-fed microbials (DFM)	12
2.7.1	Main mechanisms of Action	13
2.7.1.1	Antagonistic Activity	13
2.7.1.2	Competitive Exclusion	14
2.8	Prebiotics	15
2.9	Synbiotics	18
<b>CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>		21
3.1	Experimental Station	21
3.2	Animal and Housing	21
3.3	Experimental Diets	21
3.4	Management of birds and experimental layout	22
3.5	Data collection	22
3.5.1	Growth performance traits	22
3.5.2	Digestibility Trial	23
3.5.3	Carcass analysis	23
3.6	Chemical analysis	24







4.3.2	Effects of fibre sources on nutrient digestibility of Broiler chickens fed high fibre diets	37
4.4	Intestinal Microbial Ecology of Broiler Chickens fed high fibre diet with or without synbiotic supplementation	40
4.4.1	Effects of synbiotic supplementation on intestinal microbial ecology of Broiler chickens fed high fibre diets	40
4.4.2	Effects of fibre sources on intestinal microbial ecology of Broiler chickens fed high fibre diets	40
4.5	Intestinal Histomorphology of Broiler Chickens fed high fibre diet with or without synbiotic supplementation	43
4.5.1	Effects of synbiotic supplementation on histomorphology of Broiler chickens fed high fibre diets	43
4.5.2	Effects of fibre sources on histomorphology of Broiler chickens fed high fibre diets	44
	<b>CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	52
	References	53
	Appendices	66

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE</b>		<b>PAGE</b>
Table 1.	Gross experimental diets (% , as fed basis)	29
Table 2.	Growth performance of broiler chickens fed high fibre diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	33
Table 3.	Relative carcass characteristics of broiler chickens fed high fibre diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	35
Table 4.	Nutrient digestibility of broiler chickens fed high fibre diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	39
Table 5.	Intestinal microbial ecology of broiler chickens fed high fibre diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	42
Table 6.	Intestinal histomorphology of broiler chickens fed high fibre diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	47

**LIST OF PLATES**

<b>PLATE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Plate 1 : Jejunal photomicrography (40×) of broiler chickens fed Wheat offal based diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	48
Plate 2 : Jejunal photomicrography (40×) of broiler chickens fed Palm kernel based diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	49
Plate 3 : Ileal photomicrography (40×) of broiler chickens Wheat offal based diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	50
Plate 4 : Ileal photomicrography (40×) of broiler chickens fed Palm kernel based diets with or without synbiotic supplementation	51

## ABSTRACT

This study determined effect of synbiotic supplementation on growth performance and carcass quality of broiler chickens fed high fibre diets and to evaluate the effects of synbiotic on intestinal microbial ecology and jejunal histomorphology of broiler chickens fed this diet with a view to establishing the beneficial effect of synbiotic supplementation in high fibre diet on broiler chickens.

The experiment was carried out at the Poultry Unit of the Teaching and Research Farm of Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. A total of three hundred and twenty (320) three-week old Arbor acre chicks were used for the research consisting of eight treatments (T<sub>1</sub>- T<sub>8</sub>) replicated four times and ten birds per replicate. The experimental design was a 2x2x2 factorial arrangement, consisting of two agro-industrial by-products at two inclusion levels with and without synbiotic (containing mannan oligosaccharide and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) supplementation. The synbiotic was supplemented at 1g/kg of feed at the expense of maize and birds on T<sub>1</sub>-T<sub>4</sub> received wheat offal based diet at 20% (without synbiotic), 20% (with synbiotic), 40% (without synbiotic) and 40% (with synbiotic) respectively while while T<sub>5</sub>-T<sub>8</sub> received palm kernel cake based diet at 20% (without synbiotic), 20% (with synbiotic), 40% (without synbiotic) and 40% (with synbiotic) respectively. At the end of the five-week study, three birds with weight representative of each treatment were selected and slaughtered for carcass characteristics and evaluation of jejunal histomorphology and microbial ecology in small intestine and caecum.

Birds fed diets supplemented with synbiotic did not differ ( $P>0.05$ ) in feed intake from the non-supplemented group and supplementation decreased ( $P<0.05$ ) final body weight (FBW), daily

weight gain (DWG) and increased feed conversion ratio. In terms of fibre sources, birds fed wheat offal based diets (WO) had higher ( $P<0.05$ ) feed intake than those fed palm kernel cake (PKC) based diets but did not translate to significant change in body weight gain. Relative weights of breast, wings, thigh and drumstick were not affected ( $P>0.05$ ) by synbiotic supplementation. Synbiotic supplementation had negative effect ( $P<0.05$ ) on nutrient digestibility except crude ash. Birds fed WO had higher ( $P<0.05$ ) dry matter digestibility compared to those fed PKC. Birds fed 20% fibre ingredient had higher ( $P<0.05$ ) nutrient digestibility values than those fed at 40% inclusion level. Birds fed synbiotic supplemented diets had higher ( $P<0.05$ ) colony count of enterobacteria in caeca and *E. coli* in both caeca and small intestine than the non-supplemented group. Birds fed WO had higher ( $P<0.05$ ) enterobacteria, *E. coli* and *Lactobacillus spp.* counts in caeca and small intestine compare to those fed PKC based diet. Birds fed diets without synbiotic had higher ( $P<0.05$ ) villi height, crypt depth, and full mucosal in the jejunum than the birds fed diets supplemented with synbiotics. Birds fed WO had increase ( $P<0.05$ ) in crypt depth and sub-mucosal than those fed PKC. Birds fed high levels of fibre diet had increased ( $P<0.05$ ) villi height, crypt depth and villi: crypt ratio.

The study concluded that synbiotic supplementation of high fibre diet had a negative effect on the overall performance of broiler chickens.

## CHAPTER ONE

### INTRODUCTION

The interest of previous researches into utilization of high fibre diets as an alternative to low fibre diets in poultry industry was as a result from the high prices of these conventional feedstuffs. However, these agro-industrial by-products consist of plant tissues such as lignin, hemicellulose and cellulose which are resistant to enzymatic digestion in the small intestine of poultry birds thus resulting in poor performance of the birds. In some studies conducted on the inclusion of agro-industrial by-products in broilers diet supplemented with antibiotics as antimicrobial growth promoters, it was reported that antibiotics enhanced the utilization of fibrous feedstuffs which are poorly digested by birds (Onifade and Odunsi, 1998).

Antibiotics are chemical substances derived initially from certain fungi, bacteria, and other organisms that can inhibit the growth of, and even destroy, harmful microorganisms (Davey, 2000). Antibiotics have therefore been used over decades both in prophylactic doses and as antimicrobial agents in poultry industry to prevent and control endemic and zoonotic pathogens in livestock. Numerous studies have reported that growth enhancement properties of antibiotics are closely related to interactions with the microbes in the gut. Antibiotics as antimicrobial growth promoters can help control diseases by selectively modifying and improving the gut microflora, reducing bacterial fermentation and preventing infectious diseases, thus increasing nutrient availability for the animal and improve growth performance (Dibner and Buttin, 2002; Hernández *et al.*, 2006).

However, the use of antibiotics as growth promoter has come under scrutiny because of its contribution to bacterial resistance, drug residues (Dipeolu *et al.*, 2002; Dipeolu *et al.*, 2004)

and high lipid (fat) content in animal products (Lipstein *et al.*, 1975), which are health hazards in both animals and humans. There is therefore increased interest in prebiotics, probiotics, phytobiotics, synbiotics, essential oils and organic acids. These bio-therapeutic agents have been shown to significantly increase feed efficiency and improve health status of livestock without any negative effects in animals or humans (Ezema, 2007).

A prebiotic is a non-digestible food ingredient that beneficially affects the host by selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, thus improves health (Gibson and Roberfroid, 1995). Prebiotics are assumed to be non-digestible by human or animal digestive enzymes, thus they serve as substrates for beneficial bacteria mainly located in the hind gut. Steiner (2006) reported that prebiotics may enhance the digestibility and performance parameters by creating the favourable conditions for beneficial bacteria.

Simon (2005), defined probiotics 'as viable micro-organisms, which after sufficient oral intake, lead to beneficial effects for the host by modifying the intestinal microbiota'. Direct-fed microbial (DFM) or probiotics as a means of maintaining gut health, controls endemic and zoonotic agents in poultry (La Ragione and Woodward, 2003). The antagonistic activity of DFMs towards the pathogens can be attributed to the production of bactericidal substances, including bacteriocins and organic acids.

Bacteriocins are active proteinaceous substances produced by a variety of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria that have a bactericidal action (Tagg *et al.*, 1976). The bactericidal properties of DFMs are species specific and have been considered as antibiotics with a narrow bacterial-host range of activity. They exert their lethal activity through adsorption to specific receptors located on the external surface of sensitive exogenous bacteria, followed by metabolic,

biological and morphological alterations resulting in the killing of bacteria (Daw and Falkiner, 1996; Jin *et al.*, 1997).

A synbiotic is, in its simplest definition, a combination of probiotics and prebiotics in a single preparation (Collins and Gibson, 1999). This combination could improve the survival of the probiotic organisms, because its specific substrate is available for fermentation. This could therefore result as an advantage to the host through the availability of the live microorganisms and the prebiotic. Sherief *et al.* (2012), concluded that synbiotic containing mannan oligosaccharide and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in broilers' diets yielded greater probiotic effect and improved growth performance, intestinal microbial ecology, histomorphology and the overall health of broiler chickens.

### **1.1 Justification of Study**

Though previous researches have established that in-feed antibiotics growth promoters enhanced the utilization of high fibre diets but the continuous use of antibiotics as growth promoter has come under close scrutiny in the poultry industry. The probable beneficial effect of synbiotic has not been systematically investigated in high fibre diets based on palm kernel meal and wheat offals in broilers. There is therefore the need to investigate the efficacy of this feed additive on fibre digestibility.

### **1.2 Research Hypothesis**

Research hypothesis of this study states that synbiotic supplementation (containing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and mannan oligosaccharide) of high fibre diet would improve overall performance of Broiler chickens.

### **1.3 Objectives of Study**



The objectives are

- (a) to determine the effects of synbiotic on growth performance and carcass quality of broiler chickens fed high fibre diets; and
- (b) to evaluate the effects of synbiotic on intestinal microbial ecology and jejunal histomorphology of broiler chickens fed high fibre diets.

For more information, please contact [ir-help@oauife.edu.ng](mailto:ir-help@oauife.edu.ng)

OBAFEMI AWOLowo UNIVERSITY