



**PROFIT EFFICIENCY OF SWEET POTATO PRODUCTION IN
KWARA STATE, NIGERIA**

BY

Amaka Patience OKEKE

(AGP12/13/R/0108)

B.TECH. (AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS AND EXTENSION), LAUTECH

**A THESIS SUBMITTED
IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE
DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS**

**TO THE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS,
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE,
OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, OSUN STATE, NIGERIA**

2016.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORISATION TO COPY

AUTHOR: OKEKE, PATIENCE AMAKA.

TITLE: Profit Efficiency of Sweet Potato Production in Kwara State, Nigeria

DEGREE: M. Sc. (Agricultural Economics)

YEAR: 2016

I, OKEKE Patience Amaka hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my thesis in whole or in part, in response to request from individual researchers or organizations for the purpose of private study or research.

Signature:

Date:

CERTIFICATION

This thesis, written by OKEKE Patience Amaka has been read, approved and adjudged to meet part of the requirements for the award of M.Sc. Degree in Agricultural Economics of Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

.....
Prof. T. Alimi

(Supervisor)

Department of Agricultural Economics,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

.....
Dr. O. Oluwasola

(Chief Examiner)

Department of Agricultural Economics,
Obafemi Awolowo University,
Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to God, the author and the finisher of my faith who has always been there and will always be there for me. May His mighty name be praised forevermore. Amen

OBAFEMI AWOLowo UNIVERSITY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My appreciation goes to Almighty God who is the creator of heaven and earth for wisdom, kindness, mercy, love, grace, provision and protection over my life throughout the period of this programme. May He be adored and glorified forever more. Amen

I wish to express my profound gratitude to my inestimable and incomparable supervisor, Prof. T.Alimi, for his encouragement and fatherly disposition. I also appreciate his expertise, comments and suggestions toward the successful completion of this work.

My heartfelt gratitude goes to the Acting Head of Department, Dr. O. Oluwasola for his support and encouragement for the success of this study. I am also grateful to all members of staff in the Department of Agricultural Economics; Prof. R. Adeyemo, Prof. A.B. Ayanwale, Prof. E. O. Idowu, Prof. A.S. Bamire, Prof. A. A. Tijani, Dr. (Mrs.) O.A. Yesufu, Dr. A. O. Adejobi (Late), Dr. R. Kassali, Dr. J.T.O Oke, Dr. A. A. Akinola, Dr. Adesiyan, Dr.(Mrs.) A.T. Adesiyan, Mrs. V.O. Tanimonure, Mr. Ogunleye, Mrs. C.A. Adelekun, Mr. T.O. Ojo, Mrs. A.O. Ige and Mr. O.D. Omodara and all the non-academic staff of the Department of Agricultural Economics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

I am indebted to my friends and colleagues for their immense support during the course of this study, most especially Agunbiade Moses, Adeniran Iyewumi, Adereti Mary Adedolapo and other postgraduate students.

I am sincerely grateful to my parents, Mr. and Mrs. Obinna Okeke and siblings for their prayers, love, physical and financial supports. May Almighty God continue to bless and strengthen them in every aspect of their lives. I pray both live to eat the fruits of their labour in sound health. More affectionately, I thank my beloved husband Awoyale Samuel for his love, support, prayers,

endurance and words of encouragement in accomplishing this task. God's favour will continue to speak for you in all your endeavours. My appreciation also goes to my mother-in-law (Mrs.) R.O. Awoyale for her prayers, love, support and encouragement from time to time. May Almighty God bless her with long life and sound health. Also to my late father-in-law (Mr.) J. D. Awoyale may Almighty God grant him eternal rest.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title	i
Authorization to Copy	ii
Certification	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgments	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables	x
List of figure	xii
Abstract	xiii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background to the study	1
1.2 Statement of the research problem	5
1.3 Objectives of the study	6
1.4 Justification of the study	7
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Theoretical framework	8



2.1.1	Theory of production	8	
2.1.2	Theory of efficiency	9	2.1.3
	Measurement of efficiency	9	
2.1.4	Stochastic profit frontier model	12	
2.2	Conceptual framework	13	
2.2.1	Concept of production	13	
2.2.2	Concept of profit efficiency and economic efficiency	14	
2.3	Constraints in agricultural production	15	
2.3	Review of Empirical Studies	17	
2.3.1	Review studies on sweet potato	17	
2.3.2	Review on profit efficiency studies	18	
2.3.3	Review studies on importance indices	19	
	CHAPTER THREE:RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	21	
3.1	Study Area	21	
3.2	Sampling procedure and sample size	21	
3.3	Method of data collection	22	
3.4	Analytical techniques	22	
3.4.1	Descriptive statistics	22	
3.4.2	Budgetary techniques	22	
3.4.3	Stochastic frontier production function	23	
3.5	“A prior” Expectations	25	
3.6	Importance indices	27	
3.7	Expected contribution to knowledge	29	
	CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	30	

4.1	Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents	30
4.1.1	Gender	31
4.1.2	Marital status	31
4.1.3	Literacy level	31
4.1.4	Age of respondents	33
4.1.5	Number of years spent in school	33
4.1.6	Years of farming experience	35
4.1.7	Household size of respondents	35
4.1.8	Primary occupation	37
4.1.9	Off-farm occupation	37
4.1.10	Different off-farm occupations	37
4.1.11	Mode of cropping	37
4.1.12	Membership of cooperative society	40
4.1.13	Major activities of the cooperative society	40
4.1.14	Benefits from the cooperative society	40
4.1.15	Total farmland	42
4.1.16	Current farmland cultivated	44
4.1.17	Mode of land acquisition	46
4.1.18	Extension agent visit	48
4.1.19	Number of times visited by extension agent	50
4.2	Budgetary analysis of sweet potato production	52
4.3	Determination of profit efficiency of sweet potato farmers	54
4.3.1	Estimated stochastic frontier production function	54

4.3.2	Profit efficiency of sweet potato farmers	57
4.4	Ranking of production constraints	59
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		61
5.1	Summary	61
5.2	Conclusion	62
5.3	Recommendations	62
References		64
Appendix		71

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1.	World sweet potato production by country	3
2.	A priori expectation for the explanatory variables	26
3.	Achievement of each objective	28
4.	Distribution of respondents by gender	32
5.	Marital status of respondents	32
6.	Literacy level of respondents	32
7.	Distribution of age of respondents	34
8.	Distribution of respondents by number of years spent in school	34
9.	Farming experience of respondents	36
10.	Distribution of respondents by household size	36
11.	Distribution of respondents by primary occupation	39
12.	Distribution of respondents by off-farm occupation	39
13.	Distribution of respondents by different off-farm occupations	39
14.	Distribution of respondents by mode of cropping	38
15.	Distribution of respondents by membership of cooperative society	41
16.	Distribution of respondents major activities	41



17.	Distribution of respondents by benefit derived from the cooperative	41
18.	Distribution of respondents by total farmland	43
19.	Distribution of respondents by current farmland	45
20.	Distribution of respondents method of land acquisition	47
21.	Distribution of respondents by extension agents' visit	49
22.	Distribution of respondents by number of times visited by extension agent	51
23.	Budgetary analysis of sweet potato farmers in Kwara State	53
24.	Maximum-likelihood estimates for parameters of the Cobb-Douglas stochastic frontier production for sweet potato production in Kwara State	56
25.	Distribution of sweet potato farmers based on their specific profit efficiency	58
26.	Relative ranking of production constraints	60

LIST OF FIGURE

Figure Title	Page
1 Yield of sweet potato production in Nigeria	4

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT

The study described the socio-economic characteristics of sweet potato farmers and farms; determined level of profit efficiency; factors affecting profit efficiency of sweet potato production; and identified and ranked the constraints to sweet potato production in the study area with a view to enhancing the efficiency of sweet potato in Kwara State, Nigeria.

A multistage sampling technique was employed for selection of 180 sweet potato farmers in the study area. The first stage was purposive selection of one agricultural zone. At the second stage, purposive selection of three Local Government Areas. The third stage was random selection of five villages in each of the Local Government Areas. The final stage was random selection of twelve sweet potato framers from each of the villages. Primary data were collected from each farmer with the use of pre-tested and structured questionnaire. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, budgeting analysis, stochastic frontier production function and importance ranking indices.

Results revealed that male (87%), married (93.9%) and formal education (83.3%). Mean age, mean farming experience and household size were 42.73 ± 9.28 , 13.63 ± 8.67 years and 8.72 ± 3.25 respectively. Budgeting technique showed that the estimated Total Variable Cost of ₦86,472.86 was incurred while Total Fixed Cost was ₦5,998.61. Total Revenue generated was ₦129,997.20 while Net Income and Gross Margin of the farmers were ₦37,525.73 and ₦43,524.34, respectively. The farmers' levels of profit efficiency ranged from 0.11 to 0.98 with a mean of 0.87. The mean level of profit efficiency was 87% which indicates that 13% loss in profit was due to combination of technical efficiency and allocative efficiency. Among the factors affecting the profit efficiency of the famers were labour, agrochemicals and planting materials which were positively related and statistically significant at 1%. Constraints of sweet

potato production in the study area were ranked in descending order of importance is; inadequate personal funds, non-availability of market and poor storage facilities.

The study concluded that sweet potato farmers were over 40 years of age and most of them were married. This study revealed that sweet potato farming in Kwara State was profitable and profit efficiency was affected positively by labour, agrochemicals and planting materials.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the study

The importance of sweet potato is increasing in the farming and food systems of developing countries because it is easy to plant, matures early and has enormous industrial and economic potentials (Chukwu, 1999). Sweetpotato is regarded as a food security crop, mainly because of its reliable yields. It is a tolerant crop and is easily propagated on soils of varying fertility levels. It grows with minimal input even in spite of bad climatic conditions. It has frequently proven its value as a disaster recovery crop, proving to be a tremendous advantage for poor households whose members depend on diverse livelihood strategies (Andrade *et al.*, 2009).

Asia produced 82.3% of global sweet potato output while Africa contributed about 14% of global production in 2010 (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2010). One hundred and fifteen countries produced 106,569,572 tons of sweet potatoes in 2010. China, with 81,175,660 tons, produced by far the largest part and possesses a little less than half the global acreage dedicated to sweet potato. A minor player in the global sweet potato arena, Latin America produced 1.97 million metric tonnes in 2010, i.e. a little more than 2% of global supply. Brazil holds first place on the continent, followed by Cuba and Argentina. As for the United States, they produce relatively little (around 1.0 Mt in 2010) but is the leading global exporter. In Europe, only Spain, Portugal and Italy produce sweet potatoes, albeit in very limited quantities on a global scale (Hinson and Picha, 2006)

Sub-Saharan Africa produces more than seven million tonnes of sweet potatoes annually, which constitutes 5% of global production (Ewell, 2002). The most important sweet potato producer in Africa is Uganda with a harvest that increased from around two million tons in 1999 to 2.83

million tons in 2010. Sweet potato is Uganda's third most important agricultural product in terms of volume after plantain and cassava. This expansion in production in Africa is explained by an increased demand linked to a strong demographic growth (Awaisu, 2015; FAO, 2012). Moreover, sweet potato plays an essential role in food security, especially in those regions prone to drought and with poor soils like Shinyanga and Kagera in Tanzania (FAO, 2010). In contrast to the Asian countries, in sub-Saharan Africa, the crop is cultivated mainly for human consumption. Africa's yields are quite low about one third of Asian yields indicating huge potential for future growth.

As indicated in Table 1, Nigeria is the second largest sweet potato producing country in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) with annual production estimated at 2.8 million metric in 2010 (Food and Agricultural Organization Statistics, 2012). Sweet potato is grown in all the 36 States of Nigeria, with significant production in each of the six geo-political regions, and about a third of total production concentrated in the North-Central States. According to (Food and Agricultural Organization Statistics, 2015) from 2007 to 2011, sweet potato ranked seventh in terms of aggregate national production in Nigeria after cassava, yam, rice, maize, sorghum and millet. Sweet potato is the fourth most important root and tuber crop, after cassava, yam and taro.

Table 1: World Sweet Potato Production by Country

Source: FAOSTAT (2012)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
China	81,039,000	75,600,000	78,830,000	76,772,393	81,175,660
Indonesia	1,854,230	188,868,652	1,876,944	2,057,913	2,050,810
Vietnam	1,460,900	1,437,600	1,325,600	1,207,600	1,317,060
India	1,066,500	1,067,200	1,094,000	1,119,700	1,094,700
Japan	988,900	968,400	1,011,000	863,600	1,026,000
Philippines	566,773	573,734	572,655	560,516	541,525
Uganda	2,628,000	2,602,600	2,707,000	2,710,000	2,838,300
Nigeria	3,462,000	2,432,000	3,318,000	2,746,817	2,838,000
Tanzania	1,396,400	1,322,000	1,379,000	1,381,120	1,400,000
Angola	684,756	949,104	819,772	982,588	986,563
Kenya	724,646	811,531	894,781	930,784	386,563
Madagascar	869,000	890,000	941,355	910,857	919,127
Mozambique	929,826	875,216	890,000	900,000	920,000
Rwanda	777,034	841,000	826,000	801,376	840,072
Ethiopia	388,814	388,814	526,487	450,763	401,600
Brazil	518,541	529,531	548,438	477,475	479,200
Cuba	303,000	414,000	375,000	437,000	384,700
UNITED State	743,937	819,641	836,560	883,099	1,081,590
Papua New Guinea	560,000	580,000	485,181	534,085	376,000

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY