

**ASSESSMENT OF THE ADOPTION OF AGRICULTURAL TECHNOLOGIES
DISSEMINATED BY COMMUNITY-BASED AGRICULTURE AND RURAL
DEVELOPMENT PROJECT IN KWARA STATE, NIGERIA**

BY

FABIYI Esther Oluwatosin

**B.TECH. (AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS AND EXTENSION) LAUTECH,
OGBOMOSHO, OYO STATE**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT
FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN
AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND RURAL SOCIOLOGY**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT,
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE,
OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE,
OSUN STATE, NIGERIA.**

2016

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORIZATION TO COPY

AUTHOR: Esther Oluwatosin FABIYI

TITLE: **ASSESSMENT OF THE ADOPTION OF AGRICULTURAL
TECHNOLOGIES DISSEMINATED BY COMMUNITY-BASED
AGRICULTURE AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT
IN
KWARA STATE, NIGERIA.**

DEGREE: M. Sc. (Agricultural Extension and Rural Sociology)

YEAR: 2016

I, Esther Oluwatosin FABIYI, hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my thesis in part or in whole, in response to request from individual researchers and organizations for the purpose of private study or research.

Signature:.....

Date:

CERTIFICATION

This research project written by Esther OluwatosinFABIYI has been read, approved, and adjudged to meet part of the requirements for the award of Master of Science Degree in Agricultural Extension and Rural Sociology of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

.....
Dr. S. A. Adesoji

(Supervisor)

Agricultural Extension and Rural Development,

Faculty of Agriculture,

Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State,

Nigeria.

.....
Dr. (Mrs.) O. F. Deji

(Chief Examiner)

Agricultural Extension and Rural Development,

Faculty of Agriculture,

Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State,

Nigeria.

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to GOD the Father, Son and Holy Spirit, the gracious, merciful, loving and ever faithful one.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my profound gratitude to God for being my all in all, ever faithful and ever present help in times of need, to you Christ my Lord I owe my existence.

My special and sincere appreciation goes to my supervisor, Dr. S. A. Adesoji for his patience, kindness, persistence, guidance, motivation and invaluable suggestions and supervision towards the successful completion of this work. May the Almighty God bless you richly. Also my appreciation goes to the Head of Department, Agricultural Extension and Rural Sociology Dr. (Mrs.) O. F. Deji for her dedication to work and motherly advices. I cannot but mention the selflessness, and concern of my first supervisor, Dr. F. O. Adereti who was on sabbatical at the commencement of this work, but was kind enough to hand me over to his colleague just for convenience and because he didn't want the work delayed. May God reward your kindness.

I am grateful to all my lecturers who impacted me from their wealth of knowledge and experiences, Prof. D. O. Torimiro, Prof. A. J. Farinde, Dr. A. O. Ajayi, Dr. B. O. Adisa, Dr. (Mrs.) F. B. H. Faborode, Dr. T. O. Ojo, Dr. J. O. Ayinde and Dr. V. O. Okorie, thanks so much. To all the non-academic staff of the Department, I say kudos to you all. Also, to Prof. B. C. A. Matanmi (Rtd.) thanks so much for your care and support.

To all my Postgraduate colleagues: Olajumoke (a sister from another mother!), Lola, Tomilola, Lateef, Dayo, Sulaimon, Falude, sis. Lara, Mr. Abiodun, Taoreed I want to say you are all wonderful set of people; just like sisters and brothers and it is a pleasure meeting you all. Also to all my roommates and friends Adekanle Aanu (you are lovely), Danni Fasuba, Ifeoluwa Ajayi, Tumininu Taiwo, Kehinde Bello (who was a friend in time of need), Tofunmi, Barr. Ajiri Edosio,

Shola Oladimeji, Barr. Ajijola, Bunmi Adeseko and Adeyemi Adejoke you really made my stay in P.G. Hall worthy. I am indebted to the following amiable people who helped me during my data collection and data analysis; Pastor J. Oluleye, and Mr. Bashiru of KWADP Ilorin and Dr. Alabi Stephen, who showed a high level of patience and understanding. Mr. Famakinwa, Mr. Lamide and Mr. Niyi who put me through the analysis, may you always find favour of God. And also to Mr. Olaniyi (D-xplora) and Fola may God's love always radiate your life. To a wonderful family of God's people at R.C.C.G Jesus House parish, Ile-Ife and to my Seasoned Daddy and Mummy Pastor and A/P (Mrs.) Adebisi, God bless you really good

A unique and unquantifiable appreciation goes to my rare gems Pastor David and A/P (Mrs.) Rebecca Fabiyi my parents, whose love, care and support spiritually, financially, physically and morally are worth more than treasures. To all my siblings: Mrs. Christianah Folademi, Mr. Peter Fabiyi, Barr. Paul Fabiyi, and Mr. John Fabiyi thanks for always strengthening that strong cord of love; to my brother-in-law Mr. D. O. Folademi thanks, and to my lovely nephew Tiwalope Folademi your birth was like soft summer rain and breathe of spring to the family. To my aunts, Mrs. Olu Amuda, Pastor (Mrs.) Rhoda and Mrs. Nihinlolamiwa Babatunde I say a big thank you.

I cannot but acknowledge my Sweet treasure, Mr. Abiodun Temitope Alabi my Husband, for his prayers, tender love, care and patience throughout the course of my study, you are really lovely. Finally, my sincere appreciation goes to all who had at one time or at one area contributed morally and physically to the successful completion of this piece, thanks to you all, you are all part of my success story.

FABIYI Esther Oluwatosin

2016.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Authorization to copy	ii
Certification	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of Content	vi
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
ABSTRACT	xiv
CHAPTER ONE	
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background information	1
1.2 Statement of research problem	4
1.3 Research questions	5
1.4 Objectives of the study	5
1.5 Hypotheses of the study	6
1.6 Significance of the study	6
1.7 Operational definition of terms	6
1.8 List of acronyms	8

CHAPTER TWO

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Overview of CBARDP	9
2.1.1 Components of AfDB-CBARDP	10
2.2 Implementation of CBARDP	14
2.2.1 Implementation at the federal level	14
2.2.2 Relevant institutions of CBARDP	14
2.3 African Development Bank (AfDB)	16
2.4 Technologies of CBARDP	18
2.5 Community-Driven Development Approaches in Nigeria	23
2.5.1 Benefits of CDD	25
2.5.2 Stakeholders of CDD	30
2.6 Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development	33
2.6.1 Rural development	33
2.6.2 Approaches to rural development	35
2.7 Constraints to effective performance of agricultural and rural development in Nigeria.	38
2.8 Concepts of adoption of technology and innovation in agriculture	40
2.8.1 Adoption of Agricultural technology and innovation	42
2.9 Roger's diffusion of innovation theories	44

2.10 The Concept of Value Chain in Agricultural Development	55
2.10.1 Actors of Agricultural value chains	54
2.11 Theoretical framework	57
2.12 Operational model of the study	62
CHAPTER THREE	
3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	65
3.1 The study area	65
3.2 Population of the study	69
3.3 Sample size and sampling techniques	69
3.4 Research instruments and data collection	70
3.5 Validation of research instruments	71
3.6 Data analysis	71
3.7 Justification of the study	72
3.8 Measurement of variables	73
CHAPTER FOUR	76
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	76
4.1 Farmers' socio-economic characteristics	76
4.2 Profile of agricultural technologies disseminated to farmers	96
4.3 Level of adoption of CBARDP technologies by farmers	111
4.4 Perception of respondents based on technologies introduced	113
4.5 Results of factor analysis	115

4.6 Results of hypotheses	133
CHAPTER FIVE	148
5.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	148
5.1 Summary	148
5.2 Conclusion	153
5.3 Recommendations	154
5.4 Suggestion for further research	154
REFERENCES	156
APPENDIX 1	164

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Distribution of respondents sample for the study	70
Table 2: Distribution of respondents' socio-economic characteristics	79
Table 3: Distribution of respondents by selected socio-economic characteristics	81
Table 4: Distribution of respondents by selected socio-economic characteristics	83
Table 5: Distribution of respondents by selected socio-economic characteristics	85
Table 6: Distribution of respondents by selected socio-economic characteristics	87
Table 7: Distribution of respondents by selected socio-economic characteristics	89
Table 8: Distribution of respondents by their training/information channels	91
Table 9: Distribution of respondents by their major and minor occupations	93
Table 10: Profile of CBARDP technologies disseminated to farmers	97
Table 11: Profile of CBARDP technologies disseminated to farmers(cont'd)	100
Table 12: Distribution of respondents by their stages of adoption	104
Table 13: Distribution of respondents by their stages of adoption (cont'd)	106
Table 14: Distribution of respondents by their stages of adoption (cont'd)	108
Table 15: Distribution of respondents by their CBARDP technology packages	110
Table 16: Distribution of respondents by their levels of adoption base on packages	112
Table 17: Distribution of respondents by their perception of CBARDP technologies	116

Table 18: Summary of correlation analysis	118
Table 19: Summary of chi-square analysis	120
Table 20: Summary of correlation analysis (cont'd)	122
Table 21: Summary of the result of chi-square analysis	124
Table 22: Results of principal component of factor analysis	126
Table 23: Results of factors analysis showing factor names and eigen values	128
Table 24: Results showing factors contributing to educational factor	130
Table 25: Results showing factors contributing to technology characteristics factor	132
Table 26: Results showing factors contributing to experience factor	134
Table 27: Results showing factors contributing to farm-related factor	136
Table 28: Results showing factors contributing to exposure factor	139
Table 29: Results showing factors contributing to gender-related factor	142
Table 30: Results showing factors contributing to awareness factor	144
Table 31: Results of regression analysis	147

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
Figure 1: Theory of Reasoned Action	59
Figure 2: Hypothetical model of the study	64
Figure 3: Map of the study area	68
Figure 4: Pie chart showing the distribution of respondents' packages	96
Figure 5: Bar chart showing respondents adoption level	114

ABSTRACT

The study Specifically profiled the agricultural technologies disseminated by Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development Project (CBARDP) extension agents among farmers, determined the level of adoption of agricultural technologies disseminated by CBARDP and examined factors influencing adoption of agricultural technologies with a view of assessing adoption of CBARDP technologies in Kwara state

The study was carried out in the nine Local Government Areas of the study area where the CBARDP was staged. A multi-stage sampling procedure was employed to select respondents for the study. Two communities each were selected from the participating LGAs making a total of 18 communities. At the second stage, between nine and 20 respondents were proportionally selected from each community based on the registration of participating farmers in the communities. In all, a total of 270 respondents were selected for the study. Structured interview schedule was used to source for information while, the data were described using frequency counts, percentages, mean and standard deviation. Chi-square, regression and correlation analyses were used to draw inferences from the two hypotheses and factor analysis was used to isolate crucial factors influencing level of adoption.

The result of the study showed that mean age was 52.1 ± 6 years, with a majority (74.1%) male participants who had 4.4 ± 4.3 years in education, 69.6 percent were Muslims, and 92.2 percent were married with mean household size of 7 ± 2 people. CBARDP farmers' adoption level for crops, fishery, poultry, processing and cattle enterprise respectively were moderate. While

livestock had a low adoption, orchard had a high adoption level and agro-processing enterprise had 50 percents each for high and low level adoption of technologies among farmers in the study area. It was also revealed that the overall adoption level of technologies among farmers was moderate (66.0%). Chi-square analyses showed that there were significant association between sex ($\chi^2= 23.934$; $p= 0.01$), marital status ($\chi^2= 34.66$; $p= 0.01$), types of farmland ($\chi^2= 222.404$; $p= 0.01$), position held in the organization ($\chi^2=23.429$; $p= 0.01$), nature of farming ($\chi^2= 13.594$; $p= 0.001$), Cosmopolitaness ($\chi^2= 23.583$; $p= 0.01$) and farmers' level of adoption. Correlation analysis revealed a positive and significant relationship between household size ($r= 0.201$ $p \leq 0.05$), farm size (H) ($r= 0.537$; $p \leq 0.05$) and farmers' adoption of technologies. Furthermore the study revealed a significant correlation between complexity ($r= 0.439$; $p \leq 0.05$) as one of the technology characteristics and farmers' adoption level. Regression analysis revealed that Complexity ($b= -0.181$; $p \leq 0.05$), divisibility ($b= -0.270$; $p \leq 0.01$), compatibility ($b= -0.696$; $p \leq 0.01$), and year of involvement in farming activities ($b= -0.150$; $p \leq 0.05$), were significant but negatively contributed to the level of adoption of CBARDP technology among farmers while, only year joined CBARDP ($b= 0.082$; $p \leq 0.05$) had a positive significant contribution to adoption level. Also, seven crucial factors: education, technology characteristic, experience, farm-related, exposure, gender-related and awareness factor had influence on farmers' level of adoption of technologies.

In conclusion, adoption level of CBARDP farmers in Kwara State was found to be moderate (66.0%) and seven crucial factors influenced their level of adoption.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the study

Agriculture in Nigeria economy is as paramount for the survival and overall wellbeing of her citizens as the air we breathe because it provides strategic assets and sources of food, clothing, and foreign exchange earnings for the country. According to Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries OPEC Fund for International Development (2013)'s assertion that Agriculture represents the main driver of economic growth and poverty reduction in the developing world, it has become imperative to ensure that the goal of agricultural productivity is attained in Nigeria.

African Development Bank assisted Community Based Agriculture and Rural Development Project [AfDB-CBARDP] is one of the poverty reduction projects given birth to, as a result of rural sector neglect and was initiated in 2000, in which the Government adopted the rural development strategy for poverty alleviation in 2001. It is to contribute to food security and increase access to rural infrastructures and to reduce poverty from 70 percent to 50 percent by the year 2011(KWADP Farmers' focus, 2006). In line with the National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy [NEEDS], the Community Driven Development (CDD) was seen as the most efficient and effective approach to poverty alleviation in Nigeria. Under this arrangement, funds for sustainable development would actually get to the communities with the intention of reducing poverty through improvement of the livelihood and living condition of the rural communities.

AfDB-CBARDP handbook revealed that, the project is a National programme supported by the three tiers of government namely: the Federal, States and the Local Government councils and assisted by the African Development Bank. The project has been specially designed to implement the rural development strategy with emphasis on vulnerable groups especially women and youths. It ensures community participation in the design and implementation of the project activities and sustainability by providing the institutional support that will enable service providers to participate effectively, and be more responsive to the desire of the rural village areas (RVAs). It also provides financing framework for demand driven development and has activities related to agriculture and rural infrastructure as well as capacity building.

Also, the project has a goal of reducing poverty which according to Sidi (2008), is ‘a condition of having little or no money or other endowments and not being able to get the necessities of life’, through improving the livelihoods and the living conditions of the rural poor by empowering and supporting them to effectively manage their own development. The objective is to contribute to the country’s food security efforts, increase access to rural infrastructural facilities and reduce poverty in project areas (AfDB-CBARDP Manual, 2006). According to FAO (1996), food security is a “condition in which all people at all time have physical and economic access to sufficient safe and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs and food preference for an active and healthy life”. With the above goal and objectives, the project has four components namely; capacity building and training; production development; community development, and project management and coordination. The coordination, monitoring, evaluation, supervision and technical backstopping were handled by the projects coordinating unit-project support office (PCU-PSO) of the Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (FMARD). The Federal Ministry of Finance (FMF) and The National Planning

Commission (NPC) provides the enabling environment and also participated in the joint monitoring and supervision visits (AfDB-CBARDP Manual 2006). At the State level, the ADPs provide necessary technical support to both the Local Government and Communities. In line with the AfDB working document, a ten member technical support team (TST) drawn from the ADPs runs the implementation of the project at the State level. At the local level, Local Government Councils (LGCs) provides the resources needed for the implementation of the project, are responsible for the overall project planning, coordination and policy development (AfDB-CBARDP Manual 2006). At the community level:

i) Community Driven Development Teams (CDDTs) are the most important implementation agency in the CBARDP activities because they serve as a link between the communities and the various agencies including the Community Based Associations (CBAs), Community Based Organizations (CBOs), and Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs). This leads the project activities in each rural village area by assisting community groups to plan, implement monitor, and evaluate project activities. Other agencies involved at community level are:

ii) Rural Village Apex Development Association represents both the outer (wards) and the inner (village) communities in the implementation of the CBARD project in their area. The apex association is the umbrella association of all the various associations that exist in the village area. The Apex Development Association with the help of the CDDTs coordinate and support project activities in the village areas to ensure that poor and vulnerable groups (women and men, youths and adults) benefits from the activities. The Apex Association receive periodic training in areas such as group dynamics, advocacy, conflict management and resolution.

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY