

INFLUENCE OF TWO CONTRASTING CASSAVA (Manihot esculenta Crantz) CANOPIES ON WEED CONTROL AND CASSAVA YIELD

OLA OLUWASEUN TEMITOPE B. Agric. Tech. (Agronomy), Akure

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN PLANT SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF CROP PRODUCTION AND PROTECTION FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY ILE-IFE, NIGERIA

2012



OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY POSTGRADUATE THESIS AUTHORIZATION TO COPY

AUTHOR:	OLA Oluwaseun Temitope	
TITLE:	INFLUENCE OF TWO CONTRASTING CASSAVA (Manihot esculenta	
	Crantz) CANOPIES ON WEED CONTROL AND CASSAVA YIELD	
DEGREE:	MASTER OF SCIENCE (PLANT SCIENCE)	
I, OLA Oluw	waseun Temitope, hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy	my
thesis in who	ole or part in response to request from individual researchers or organizations for	r the
purpose of pr	rivate study or research.	
Signa	nature Date	



CERTIFICATION

We certify that this work was done by OLA Oluwaseun Temitope in the Department of Crop

Production and Protection in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree

of Master of Science in Plant Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

Prof. O. A. Akinyemiju Supervisor

Dr. A. O. Salami

Ag. Head

Department of Crop Production
and Protection



DEDICATION

This work is hereby dedicated to the Almighty God.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I give glory to God the Father, Son and the Holy Spirit for the unmerited grace bestowed on me during this programme.

I would like to express my unreserved appreciation to Professor O. A. Akinyemiju for taking time to supervise this research.

I sincerely thank Professor M. A. K. Smith, Professor D. J. Oyedele, Dr. (Mrs.) A. O. Salami, Dr. B. J. Amujoyegbe, Dr. G. O. Adesina and Dr. J. T. Opabode for their contributions. I express my gratitude to Mr. Tanimojo, Mr. Frank Ekator, Mr. R. O. Akinwale, all the members of staff of the Department of Crop Production and Protection and Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University (OAU), Ile-Ife for their input during this study.

I deeply appreciate my parents for their training, love and care. My father transited to glory during this programme; may his gentle soul rest in perfect peace. I thank my elder brother, Dr. B. A. Ola for his fatherly role; may God continue to shower his family with abundant blessings. I express my sincere thanks to Mr. and Mrs. A. A. Izuagie, Mr. and Mrs. O. A. Ola and Mojisola Ola for their love and encouragement.

I would like to thank Pastor A. A. Makanjuola, Kabir Kolapo, Mr. and Mrs. K. Kolapo, Mojisola Odeyinde, Bukola Sijuwola, Mr. & Mrs. F. E. Awosanmi, Mr. and Mrs. O. Udah, Oladunni Akinbo, Wale Sangodipe, Sunday Odeniyi, all members of The Redeemed Evangelical Mission (TREM) Osogbo, all members of Post Graduate Christian Fellowship, Obafemi Awolowo University (PGCF, OAU), my friends and loved ones; may God continue to prosper you in all your endeavours.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	page		i
Autho	orizatio	n to copy	ii
Certif	fication		iii
Dedic	cation		iv
Ackn	owledge	ements	v
Table	of cont	tents	vi
List c	of tables		ix
List c	of figure	es ·	xi
List o	of appen	dices	xii
Abstr	act		xiv
1.0	СНА	PTER ONE	1
1.0	INTE	RODUCTION	1
2.0	СНА	PTER TWO	4
2.0	REV	IEW OF LITERATURE	4
	2.1	The cassava crop	4
	2.2	Origin of cassava	4
	2.3	Taxonomy and biology	5
	2.4	Canopy development	5
	2.5	Cassava leaf area	6
	2.6	The inflorescence	6



	2.7	Fruits and seeds	7
	2.8	The leaves	7
	2.9	The stem	8
	2.10	Cassava types under study	9
		2.10.1 TME 1	9
		2.10.2 TMS 30572	10
	2.11	Cassava production	11
	2.12	Cassava utilization	11
	2.13	Weed competition	12
		2.13.1 Competition for light	13
	2.14	Weed control in cassava	16
		2.14.1 Mechanical weed control	16
		2.14.2 Cultural weed control	17
		2.14.3 Chemical weed control	19
		2.14.4 Integrated Weed Management	20
3.0	CHAI	PTER THREE	21
3.0	MATI	ERIALS AND METHODS	21
	3.1	Experimental site	21
	3.2	Site history	21
	3.3	Soil sample analysis	21
	3.4	Experimental design and layout	24
	3.5	Sources of planting material	24
	3.6	Land preparation	24



	3.7	Field establishment and management	26
	3.8	Sampling and data collection	26
		3.8.1 Weed sampling	26
		3.8.2 Morphological measurements on the two cassava varieties	27
		3.8.2 Crop data at harvest	27
	3.9	Statistical analysis	27
4.0	СНА	APTER FOUR	29
4.0	RES	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	29
	4.1	Fallow weed species	29
	4.2	Influence of crop canopy architecture on weeds	37
	4.3	Influence of crop canopy architecture on cassava yield	46
5.0	СНА	APTER FIVE	55
5.0	SUM	IMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	55
REFI	ERENC	EES 57	
ΔΡΡΙ	ENDICI	FS 75	



LIST OF TABLES

	Title	Pag
1.	Average daily temperature, daily relative humidity and	
	Precipitation at two experimental locations, Teaching and	-
	Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	2)
	(June 2010 - May 2011)	22
2.	Physical and chemical properties of the soil at two experimental	
	locations at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	23
3.	Fallow weed species at the experimental locations, Teaching	
	and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	30
4.	Weed species family as influenced by cassava variety and weed	
	control method at Location 1 at the Teaching and Research Farm,	
	Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	31
5.	Weed species family as influenced by cassava variety and weed	
	control method at Location 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm,	
	Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	33
6.	Density and Shannon-Wiener index of diversity of weed species	
	Under TMS 30572 and TME 1 canopies at different weeding	
	methods at two Locations at the Teaching and Research Farm,	
	Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	38



1.	Frequency of weed occurrence in TMS 305/2 and TME 1 canopies	
	under different weeding methods at two locations at the Teaching	
	and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	39
8.	Mean squares derived from analysis of variance of growth and	
	yield parameters of cassava varieties (TMS 30572, TME 1) and	
	weed biomass as affected by weed control method in Location 1	
	and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	\mathcal{O}_{I}
	University, Ile-Ife	41
9.	Canopy diameter (cm), leaf area (cm ²), number of leaves per plant,	
	number of tubers per plant, fresh shoot weight per plant (g/plant),	
	root fresh yield (t ha ⁻¹) and weed biomass (g m ²) of cassava varieties	
	TMS 30572 and TME 1 under different weed control treatments at	
	two locations at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi	
	Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	42



LIST OF FIGURES

	Title	Page
1.	Experimental layout	25
2.	Growth and yield parameters of cassava cultivars TMS 30572 and	
	TME 1 at two locations at the Teaching and Research Farm,	<i>)</i>)
	Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	47
3.	Growth and yield parameters of cassava cultivars TMS 30572 and	
	TME 1 at two locations at the Teaching and Research Farm,	
	Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria (cont'd)	48
4.	Growth and yield parameters of cassava cultivars TMS 30572 and	
	TME 1 as influenced by weed control methods at the Teaching and	
	Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	51



LIST OF APPENDICES

	Title	Pag
1.	Analysis of variance table for establishment count of cassava	
	(Manihot esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1)	
	at two locations at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi	
	Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	75
2.	Analysis of variance table for canopy diameter of cassava	
	(Manihot esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at	
	Locations at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	76
3.	Analysis of variance table for crop height of cassava (Manihot	
	esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at Locations 1	
	and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	77
4.	Analysis of variance table for leaf area of cassava (Manihot	
	esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at two	
	Locations at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	78
5.	Analysis of variance table for number of leaves per plant of cassava	
	(Manihot esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at	
	Locations 1 and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi	
	Awolowo University Ile-Ife Nigeria	79



6.	Analysis of variance table for number of tubers per plant of cassava	
	(Manihot esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at	
	Locations 1 and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi	
	Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	80
7.	Analysis of variance table for fresh shoot weight per plant of cassava	
	(Manihot esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at	
	Locations 1 and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi	
	Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	81
8.	Analysis of variance table for root fresh yield of cassava (Manihot	
	esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at Locations 1	
	and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	82
9.	Analysis of variance table for dry matter content of cassava (Manihot	
	esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at Locations 1	
	and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	83
10.	Analysis of variance table for weed biomass of cassava (Manihot	
	esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at Locations 1	
	and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	84
11.	Analysis of variance table for number of rot per plant of cassava	
	(Manihot esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at	
	Locations 1 and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi	



	Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	85
12.	Analysis of variance table for sprout per stand of cassava (Manihot	
	esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at Locations 1	
	and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	86
13.	Analysis of variance table for stem girth of cassava (Manihot	
	esculenta Crantz) cultivars (TMS 30572 and TME 1) at Locations 1),
	and 2 at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo	
	University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria	87



ABSTRACT

The study assessed the effect of cassava canopy on weed biomass reduction and determined its effect on weed floral composition. It also evaluated the relative effect of weed incidence on the yield of two varieties of cassava with a view to evaluating the influence of two contrasting cassava canopies on weed control and cassava yield.

Two cassava cultivars with contrasting canopy architectures (TMS 30572 and TME 1) were selected for this study in two locations of different soil characteristics at the Teaching and Research Farm, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria using a randomized complete block with a split plot arrangement laid in three replications. The weed control treatments (handweeded, herbicidal treatment, unweeded check) constituted the main plot while cassava cultivars (TMS 30572, TME 1) were the sub-plots. The weed control treatments were separated from one another by 1 m spacing while an alley of 2 m separated the replicates. The cassava cultivars were planted 1 m × 1 m to have 12 rows per sub-plot with 288 plant density. This amounted to 5,184 per hectare. Each sub-plot was separated by 1 m. Crop data collected were establishment count, crop height, canopy diameter, stem diameter, number of sprouts per stand, number of leaves, and leaf area. Data taken on weeds were fallow weed species composition, weed density, frequency of occurrence, fresh weight and dry weight. These data were collected at monthly interval until nine months after planting. Data taken at harvest were total number of tubers, total number of rotten tubers, fresh root weight, shoot fresh weight, root dry matter, Similarity Index and Shannon Wiener index (H'). Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) to analyze main plot effect, sub-plot effect and interaction between the main plot and sub-plot



effects. The significant effect mean values were compared using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) and the Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5 % level of probability, where appropriate.

The results of the study revealed that in Location 1, the canopy diameter of TMS 30572 was broader than that of TME 1 by 45.4%. TMS 30572 significantly (P<0.05) lowered weed flora (species) composition by 55.5% but not the frequency of weed occurrence in the unweeded plots. TMS 30572 suppressed weed growth by 20% in unweeded plots when compared to TME 1. The weed interference in unweeded TMS 30572 reduced cassava fresh root yield by 63% when compared to the yield in the hand-weeded plots. In the same location, TME 1 reduced weed flora (species) composition by 44% but not the frequency of weed occurrence. The root fresh yield of TME 1 was reduced by 70.8% in the unweeded plots when compared to the yield in the hand-weeded plots. In Location 2, the canopy diameter of TMS 30572 was broader than that of TME 1 by 42.4%. TMS 30572 significantly (P<0.05) reduced weed flora (species) composition by 53.3% but not the frequency of weed occurrence in unweeded plots. TMS 30572 in the unweeded plots suppressed weed growth by 30% when compared to TME 1. The fresh root yield of TMS 30572 was reduced by 68.5% due to season-long weed interference when compared to the yield in the hand-weeded plots. However, in the same location, TME 1 lowered weed flora (species) composition by 33.3% but not the frequency of weed occurrence which was generally high. The root fresh yield of TME 1 was reduced by 77.5% in the unweeded plots when compared to the yield in the hand-weeded plots.



The study concluded that TMS 30572 has a better weed-suppressing ability than TME 1. The broader canopy architecture of TMS 30572 enabled the cultivar to intercept maximum solar radiation for greater assimilate supply to the storage roots and higher fresh root yield than TME 1, even when weeds were left to grow with the crop throughout the season.



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) is a perennial shrub which serves as one of the common food crops grown and consumed in many parts of Africa (James *et al.*, 2000; El-Sharkawy, 2004). Recent research report reconfirmed that cassava originated in the lax forests of the Central Brazilian State of Goiás (Allem, 2002). It is an important source of energy in the diet of about 600 million people in the tropical countries. The global production in 2010 was 229,540,896 t from 18,457,612 hectares of land (FAO, 2012). The annual cassava production in Africa was 95,336,232 t from 11,013,777 ha of land in 2000 however, annual production increased to 121,360,638 t from 11,870,412 ha of land in 2010 (FAO, 2012). Nigeria was the fourth largest producer of cassava in 1961 after Brazil, Indonesia and Congo with 7,384,000 t from 780,000 ha of land (FAO, 2012). However, Nigeria became the world's largest producer of cassava in 2000 with 32,010,000 t from 3,300,000 ha of land while in 2010, 37,504,100 t was produced from 3,125,340 ha of land (FAO, 2012).

The cassava crop has recently undergone a change from just a mere subsistent crop found on the field of peasants to a commercial crop grown in large quantities in plantations. This development is due to the discovery of cassava as an economical source of edible carbohydrate which could be processed into different forms of human delicacies such as *gari*, *fufu* and *akpu*. (Okorji *et al.*, 2003). These cassava products are in high demand due to the continuous increase in population in Africa.



The average production of cassava in Africa is currently below the world average due to some limitations such as diseases, pests, weeds, soil factors, agronomic factors, and socioeconomic factors (IITA, 1990). Weeds interfere with crop growth and reduce their yield. This interference includes competition with crops for nutrients, light and water (Akobundu, 1987; James *et al.*, 2000). Generally, cassava yield loss due to weed infestation is up to 65% in Nigeria (Akobundu, 1987). However, specific weeds like *Convolvulus arvensis* L. compete with all crops for water (Stahler, 1948) while the shading of crops by weeds leads to reduction in the maximum rate of crop's photosynthesis and earlier senescence of leaves (Puckridge and Donald, 1967; Bowes *et al.*, 1972). Speargrass [*Imperata cylindrical* (L) Raeuschel] commonly found in cassava has been estimated to cause yield losses as high as 80% (Koch *et al.*, 1990; Chikoye *et al.*, 2001) if not controlled.

The tropical cassava farmers spend about 41% of their time on weed management (Ezumah and Okigbo, 1980). This measure of interest is given to weeds not only because they compete with crops for limited resources but because they also promote disease problems and harbor pests and diseases that are deleterious to crops (Oudhia, 2004). This yield loss and time consumption caused by weed interference therefore call for a judicious weed management. However, there are ways by which weeds are being controlled in cassava farms. Some of the weed control methods that have been implemented in cassava farms are mechanical, cultural, chemical, and integrated management (Akobundu, 1987; IITA, 1990).

These weed control methods have been observed to have some limitations despite their good level of success. For example, hoe-weeding is time-consuming and can consume at least 70% of the total labour budget (Sauerborn and Kroschel, 1996; Chikoye *et al.*, 2002). Furthermore, labour is in short supply and therefore expensive; causing weeds to remain a very difficult



problem to deal with in Nigeria (Ogunwolu, 2004). The use of mulching such as melon [Citrullus lanatus (thumb.) Matsum & Nakai] is not very effective against grasses such as I. cylindrical (Melifonwu, et al., 2000). Chemical control has some short-comings such as development of herbicide-resistant weeds, prohibitive economic cost of herbicides, environmental pollution and non-biodegradable characteristic of some herbicides (Kim et al., 2001; Swanton and Weise, 1991; Olabode and Adesina, 2007).

The canopy of crops has been reported to suppress weeds. For example, Hock et al. (2006) reported reduction in weed density under soybean canopy closure. The weed density in 76 cm row spacing was 1.296 m³ plant ⁻¹ while it was reduced to 0.680 m³ plant ⁻¹ in 19 cm row spacing. Likewise, spring wheat 'Clearfield BW755' canopy without herbicide application was able to reduce weed biomass to as low as 10 g m⁻² while canola (rapeseed, *Brassica napus* L.) 'Clearfield 46A76' under the same condition reduced weed biomass to 12 g m⁻² and field pea (Pisum sativum L.) reduced weed biomass to 4 g m⁻² (Szumigalski and Acker, 2005). In the same vein, cassava canopy when fully formed also has potential to control weeds when cultivated at optimum density (Onwueme and Sinha, 1991; Dahniya and Jalloh, 1998). However, the level of control and the specific influence on the weed species dynamics have not been adequately documented. Ross and Lembi (1985) stated that it was unfortunate that the contribution that crop competition makes to weed control is often ignored. Hence, knowledge on the level of control of weeds in cassava using crop canopy (i.e. without supplementary chemical application) is therefore desirable in order to fully take advantage of the canopy potential. Sit et al. (2007) reported that the nature of crop, cultural practices and cropping pattern/system, soil type, moisture availability, location and season cause variations in the abundance or distribution of weed species in cultivated fields. It was further emphasized that a vivid knowledge of the



existence of various weed flora under the shade of different crops is essential in order to ensure the use of appropriate herbicide(s) and formulate other appropriate management strategies. The specific objectives of this study are to

- a. determine the influence of cassava canopy on weed flora composition;
- b. assess the influence of cassava canopy on weed biomass reduction and cassava yield; and
- c. evaluate the relative influence of weed incidence on the yield of two varieties of cassava.

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng