

THE STUDY OF DEEPWATER DEPOSITIONAL ELEMENTS USING SEISMIC GEOMORPHIC AND STRATIGRAPHIC TECHNIQUES IN "ACE" FIELD, NIGER DELTA

John OlaoluAKINDULURENI SCP14/15/H/0016 B.Sc. (Hons.) Geology, OAU

A THESISSUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF GEOLOGY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc.) IN APPLIED GEOLOGY.

2017



CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this workwas carried out by AKINDULURENI John Olaolu(SCP14/15/H/0016) in the Department of Geology, Faculty of Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife. The thesis has been read and approved as meeting part of the requirements for the award of Master of Science (M.Sc.) Degree in Applied Geology. To the best of our knowledge, the thesis has not been presented elsewhere for the award of any degree.

Dr. A. A. Adepelumi (Supervisor)	Dr. U. K. Benjamin (Co-Supervisor)
(Date)	(Date)
OBAHLMA	(Date)



DEDICATION

Rest in peace, Akerele Tayo

OBHERMIANO UNIVERSITY



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The All-Sufficient God, Hallowed be Your Name. I remain, always, in Your debt.

Adepelumi, A. A. (Ph.D.), there are no words to express my gratitude to you for your support for almost a decade. You have proven, beyond doubt, that it is indeed possible to care for another man's offspring like yours. You will in no way lose your reward.

Dr. U. K. Benjamin, I indeed appreciate your openness, humility, guidance and support. Working under you has been a blessing.

Immense gratitude to the Acting Head of Department, Dr. S. A. Adekola, for granting access to the Departmental Workstation to carry out this research. I also appreciate the Sedimentary/Petroleum Geology option Coordinator, Dr. S. L. Fadiya, American Association of Petroleum Geologists' 2016 Imperial Barrel Award (IBA) Advisors (Dr. O. A. Alao and Dr. D. E. Falebita), Part Adviser (Mr. B. M. Salami), all Professors and members of staff of the Department. You have all made valuable contributions to my development.

My IBA Team (Ayobami Abegunrin, Abayomi Osotuyi, Timothy Tella, Vincent Mgbakogu), it was indeed an honour to serve, lead, suffer with, and win with you. Remain Champions.

I cannot but mention my support system, The Church of Christ. Break a man's spirit, and he is totally vulnerable. Thank you for standing by me.

Family is that one group that stays no matter what. I love you Joseph, Modupe, Damilola, Deborah and Olumuyiwa AKINDULURENI.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION	2
DEDICATION	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	4
TABLE OF CONTENTS	5
LIST OF FIGURES	9
LIST OF TABLES	11
ABSTRACT	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.1 Background	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2 Location of Study Area	4
1.3 Statement of Research Problem	4
1.4 Justification	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.5 Review of Previous Works	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.6 Aim and Specific Objectives of Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.7 Contribution to Knowledge	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER TWO: GEOLOGY OF THE NIGER DELT	TA AND THE CONCEPT OF
DEEPWATER DEPOSITIONAL E	LEMENTSError! Bookmark
not defined.	
2.1 Geology of the Niger Delta	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1.1 Introduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.

© Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria For more information contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng



	2.1.2 Stratigraphy of the Niger Delta	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.1.2.1 The Akata Formation	
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	2.1.2.2 The Agbada Formation	
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	2.1.2.3 The Benin Formation	
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	2.1.3 Depobelts of the Niger Delta	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.1.4 Structural Zones in the Niger Delta	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2	Deepwater Elements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.2.1 Channels	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.2.2 Depositional Lobes	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.2.3 Sheet Sands	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.2.4 Overbank Deposits (Levees)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.2.5 Mass-transport Complexes	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.3	Overview of Seismic Geomorphology	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.3.1 Introduction	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.3.2 Workflow	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.3.3 Seismic Data Analytical Techniques	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAF	TER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1	Research Materials	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	3.1.1 3D Seismic Volume	Error! Bookmark not defined.



	3.1.2 Well Data	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2	Research Methodology	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	3.2.1 Well Log Interpretation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	3.2.1.1 Identification of Lithofacies	
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	3.2.1.2 Prediction of Gross Depositional Envi	ironment (GDE)
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	, oSI'
	3.2.2 Well-to-Seismic Tie	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	3.2.3 Seismic Interpretation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	3.2.3.1 Structural Interpretation	2,
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	3.2.3.2 Horizon Interpretation	
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	3.2.3.3 Seismic Stratigraphic Analyses	
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	3.2.3.4 Attibute and Geomorphic Analyses	
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
CHAF	PTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1	Well Log Interpretation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	4.1.1 Lithofacies and Gross Depositional Environme	ent Interpretation Error!
	Bookmark not defined.	
4.2	Well-To-Seismic Tie	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3	Seismic Interpretation	Error! Bookmark not defined.

© Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria For more information contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng



- 4.3.1 Structural Framework
- 4.3.2 Horizon Interpretation
- 4.3.3 Seismic Stratigraphic Analyses
 - 4.3.3.1 Seismic Sequence A

Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.3.3.2 Seismic Sequence B

Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.3.3.3 Seismic Sequence C

Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.3.3.4 Seismic Sequence D

Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.3.3.5 Seismic Sequence E

Error! Bookmark not defined.

- 4.4 Depositional Elements
 - 4.4.1 Submarine Incised Valley
 - 4.4.1.1 Incision
 - Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.4.1.2 Phase 1 (Deposition of Slump/Mass-Transport Deposits)

Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.4.1.2 Phase 2 (Meandering Channel Belt)

Error! Bookmark not defined.

4.4.1.3 Phase 3 (Passive Fill)

Error! Bookmark not defined.

Error! Bookmark not defined.

- Error! Bookmark not defined.
- Error! Bookmark not defined.

Error! Bookmark not defined.

Error! Bookmark not defined.

Page 8



4.4.1.4 Phase 4 (Last-Stage Channel-Fill)

Error! Bookmark not defined.

	4.4.2 Erosional Channel Belt		Error! Bookmark not defined.
	4.4.3 Leveed Channel		Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.5	Exploration Significance and	Reservoir Potential of	f the Identified Depositional
	Elements		Error! Bookmark not defined.
СНАР	TER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS		Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.1	Conclusions		Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.2	Further Work		Error! Bookmark not defined.
REFE	RENCES	0.	Error! Bookmark not defined.
APPE	NDIXA		Error! Bookmark not defined.



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	e Caption		Page
1.1	Controls on the Development of Deepwater Clastic Depositional Systems		2
1.2	Schematic Cross Section across a Margin, Illustrating theInfluence of the		
	Sediment Delivery System on Reservoir Characteristics	3	
1.3	Regional Map of the Niger Delta, showing the Study Area		5
2.1	Map of Nigeria showing the Main Sedimentary Basins and Tectonic Features	12	
2.2	Regional Geologic Cross Section through the Central Niger Delta		
2.3	Schematic Diagram of the Regional Stratigraphy of the Niger Delta	15	
2.4	Stratigraphic Section of Anambra Basin from the Late Cretaceous through the		
	Eocene and Time Equivalent Formations in the Niger Delta		17
2.5	Niger Delta Coastline Progradation since 35Ma	20	
2.6	Main Structural Zones in the Niger Delta	21	
2.7	Uninterpreted and Interpreted Regional Seismic Profile across the Niger Delta		
	showing the Link between the Extensional Province on the Shelf and the		
	Contraction in theToe-Thrust Systems in the Deepwater	22	
2.8	Schematic Depiction of Principal Depositional Elements in Deepwater Settings	24	
2.9	Principal Architectural Elements of Deepwater Clastic Systems	25	
2.10	Seismic Horizon Slice Display of the Joshua Channel at 172 ms from DeSoto		
	Canyon Area		26
2.11	Uninterpreted and Interpreted Seismic Amplitude Maps showingDepositional		
	Elements within a Deep-Offshore Environment	28	
2.12	Seismic Map and Seismic Section along A-A' of a Leveed Aggradational		
	Channel Belt		29
2.13	Uninterpreted and Interpreted Seismic Profiles showing the Principal		
	Architectural Components of a Channel-Levee Systemin the Arabian Sea	30	
3.1	Base Map of the Study Area showing the Inline and Crosslines of the Seismic		
	Data and Location of the Well X	34	
3.2	"European" and "American" Polarity Conventions for Display of Seismic Data		
	for an Impedance Increase		35
3.3	Research Methodology Workflow		37
3.4	General Gamma Ray Responses to Variations in Grain Size		38
4.1	The Identified Sand Units within Well X	44	
4.2	Gamma Ray Log Motifs of (a) Sand 1 (b) Sand 2 (c) Sand 3 Identified in	Well X	47
4.3	Gamma Ray Log Motifs of (a) Sand 4 (b) Sand 5 (c) Sand 6 Identified in	Well X	48
4.4	Gamma Ray Log Motifs of (a) Sand 7 (b) Sand 8 (c) Sand 9 Identified in	Well X	50
4.5	Well-To-Seismictie for "ACE" Field		51
4.6	Synthetic Seismogram of Well X Superimposed on the Seismic Inline 3227at		
	Well Location showing the Tops of the Sand Units		52
4.7	Seismic Inline 3225 showing the Fault Distribution within the Study Area	54	
4.8	Seismic Inline 3001 Display showing the Mapped Horizons within the Volume	55	
4.9	(a) Two-way Time Structure Map for the Seafloor (b) Depth Structure Map		



	for the Seafloor	56	
4.10	(a) Two-way Time Structure Map for the Seafloor (b) Depth structure Map		57
4.11	(a) Two-way Time Structure Map for the Seafloor (b) Depth Structure Map		57
	for H2		58
4.12	Seismic Sequences Identified within the Study Area		59
4.13	Wiggle Display of the Seismic Data on Inline 2247 showing the Positive		
	Deflection corresponding to theSeafloor	64	
4.14	Edge Detection Attribute Map of the Seafloor, with Associated Depositional		
	Features and Elements	65	
4.15	Seismic Inline 3001 Display and Line Drawing showing the Submarine		
	Incised Valley	\sim	67
4.16	Schematic Drawing showing the Evolution and Fill-History of the Submarine		
	Incised Valley		69
4.17	Seismic Inline 2465 Display showing Fault-Scarped Terraces bounding the		
	Margin of the Submarine Incised Valley	72	
4.18	Meandering Channel Belt in Phase 2 showing the Cut-Off Meander	74	
4.19	Iso-proportional Slices, flattened on the Seafloor showing the Four Phases of		
	Evolution of the Submarine Incised Valley at different Time Windows below		
	the Seafloor		75
4.20	Seismic Attribute Maps showing the Erosional Channel Belt	77	
4.21	Interpreted Seismic Section and Line Drawing along X-Y in Figure 4.18		
	showing the Erosional Channel Belt		/8
4.22	Uninterpreted and Interpreted Average Energy Maps showing the Leveed		~~~
4.20	Channel	04	80
4.23	Seismic Inline Display 2801 and Line Drawing showing the Leveed Channel	81	
4.24	Degrees of Amaigamation in Channel Complexes formed by Migrating Sinuous	04	
	Contined Channels and Lithoracles Association	84	



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Caption	Page
3.1	Seismic Facies Classification	41
4.1 4.2 4.3	Summary of Characteristics of Identified Sand Units in Well X Seismic Stratigraphic Description of the Study Area Main Characteristics of Submarine Incised Valley	45 62 68
		RSIT
Ċ	BHE	



ABSTRACT

This study analyzed well log data from "ACE" Field in deep-offshore settings of the Niger Delta, identified the deepwater depositional elements from 3D seismic data and characterized the geomorphology and stratigraphy of the identified depositional elements. These were with a view to investigating the occurrence of depositional elements, their formative processes and reservoir potential in the study area.

The dataset included processed 3D seismic data (1160 inlines and 1117 crosslines), geophysical well logs (Gamma Ray, Density, Sonic, Neutron, Resistivity) and checkshot data for one well. A synthetic seismogram was generated to tie the well data to the seismic data. Lithofacies within the well were identified and their gross depositional environments were predicted from the gamma ray log motifs. Seismic interpretation involved the picking of faults based on abrupt termination of events and change in reflection pattern. Horizons inferred to be candidate sequence boundaries corresponding to depositional surfaces indicative of documented depositional elements were mapped across the seismic volume. The mapped horizons served as input for generating seismic surfaces and attribute maps that gave planform images of identified depositional surfaces and their associated depositional elements. Seismic stratigraphic analysis was also carried out to determine the seismic sequences in the study area and their associated seismic facies.

The well log analysis revealed that the studied interval was predominantly characterized by thick sequences of shale, deposited in a low-energy environment, interbedded by relatively thin hydrocarbon bearing turbidite sands. Twenty-nine (29) faults with approximate E-W trends and steep dips were mapped in the study area. Seismic sequence analysis revealed five (5) seismic sequences (Sequence A through to Sequence E) with their characteristic seismic facies. The depositional elements delineated included a submarine incised valley, an erosional channel belt and a leveed channel. Other features include; erosional scallops, erosional and fault-scarped terraces, linear grooves as well as scours of mass transport deposits (MTDs). The meandering laterally migrating channel-fills observed within the submarine incised valley, the isolated channel-fills within the erosional channel and the levee deposits were found to be characterized by high amplitude sandprone deposits.

The study concluded that the depositional elements within the study area were formed from repeated erosive turbidity flows and other mass transport processes. The fill-deposits assessed within the depositional elements had good reservoir potential and hydrocarbon exploration significance.



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Deepwater environments are sedimentary environments where marine gravity-flow processes occur. These gravity flows are mixtures of sediment and water moving under the influence of gravity, such as turbidity currents, debris flows, grain flows, fluidized flows, cohesive flows (Emery and Myers, 1996). They are located in present-day sea depths in excess of 200 m from the shelf break through the slope and down to the basin floor. Deepwater systems, which are a combination of the marine-sediment gravity-flow processes, their environments and associated deposits, are also commonly referred to as turbidite systems (Mutti and Normark, 1987; 1991), turbidite system complex (Stelting *et al.*, 2000), and submarine fans (Bouma *et al.*, 1985). They are formed as a result of the complex interaction of many factors that affect all sedimentary basins such as tectonics, climate, sediment supply, eustatic sea-level etc. (Stow *et al.*, 1985). The three main influences that control the nature of deepwater depositional systems are tectonics, sediment supply, and sea-level fluctuations (Fig. 1.1).

Tectonics affect deepwater deposition in four ways; where sediments are delivered to the basin, the geometry of the basin margin and the basin itself, the bathymetry of the basin, and the way local tectonics affect the distribution of a deepwater system. Hinterland and climate affect the rate, type, and source of sediment supply; these in turn affect the depositional processes of the basin and the shape, type, and nature of both near-shore and shelf systems.

The sediment delivery systems in deepwater are affected by sedimentary processes at play in the basin and these ultimately impact on the reservoir characteristics (Fig. 1.2). The factors that influence the complex sedimentary cycle include;





Figure 1.1: Controls on the Development of Deepwater Clastic Depositional Systems(Richards *et al.*, 1998).





Figure 1.2: Schematic Cross Section across a Margin, Illustrating the Influence of the Sediment Delivery System on Reservoir Characteristics (Garfield *et al.*, 1998).



- (a) the nature of the basin's drainage in terms of gradients, provenance, sediment type, and climate;
- (b) the shelf (widths, gradients, accommodation);
- (c) the capacity of the shelf edge to store shallow-marine sediments prior to their resedimentation to deepwater;
- (d) the nature of the sediment gravity flows to deepwater (large catastrophic flows from earthquakes,

moderate episodic flows from major floods, or small continuous hyperpycnal flows from continuous

floods); and

(e) the rheology of the flows (low-concentration versus high-concentration flows versus sandy-debris

flows) and their resulting deposits.

Sea-level fluctuations can affect deepwater systems through eustatic changes and tectonically induced changes and by varying the supply of clastic input (Weimer and Slatt, 2004).

1.1 Location of Study Area

The Niger Delta is located along the western margin of Africa in the Gulf of Guinea. The study area is a field located 120 km offshore southwestern Niger Delta, beyond the continental shelf, at about 1300 m water depth between Latitudes 4° 26' N and 4° 42' N and Longitudes 4° 28' E and 4° 43' E (Fig. 1.3).

1.2 Statement of Research Problem

Research works on the deepwater depositional systems and processes in the Niger Delta are sparse resulting in limited understanding of the geology at play in the region. The need for further studies of the submarine depositional system in the basin to enhance current understanding of the system and improve the prediction of hydrocarbon exploration targets becomes imperative, hence this study.

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng