

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORIZATION TO COPY

AUTHOR:	Emmanuel Makanjuola OGU	UNJEMILUA
	IMPACT OF KNOWLEDG ORMANCE OF MICRO ANI RPRISES IN SOUTHWESTI	
DEGREE:	M.Sc. (Technology Manager	ment)
YEAR:	2015	
Library to co	opy my thesis in whole or	JA, hereby authorized the Hezekiah Oluwasanmin part, in response to requests from individual se of private study and research.
Signature:	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Date:



CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this research work was carried out by **Emmanuel Makanjuola OGUNJEMILUA** in the African Institute for Science Policy and Innovation (AISPI),

Faculty of Technology, ObafemiAwolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

Dr. T.O. Olaposi Supervisor	Date
Prof. M.O. Ilori Co-Supervisor	Date
Prof. O.O. Jegede	Date



DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to ALMIGHTY GOD, the only source of knowledge.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I give all glory and praise to the Almighty God, the Alpha and Omega, who is glorious in goodness. I praise Him for giving me the capability to start and complete this M.Sc. programme.

My immense appreciation goesto my supervisor, Dr. T.O. Olaposifor her effortsand thoroughness in the supervision of this work. Her wealth of experience was reflected in the quality of her contributions at all the stages of this work. I also acknowledge the useful and immense contributions of my co-supervisor, Professor M.O. Ilori, who in spite of his tight schedule was painstaking in supervising this work.

I acknowledge the support of the Director of AfricanInstitute for Science Policy and Innovation (AISPI), Prof. O.O. Jegede, as well as Professors J.B. Akarakiri, T.O. Oyebisi and F.E. Ogbimi; Drs. I.A. Irefin, G. O. Binuyo, B.A. Oluwale, Messrs P.O. Ayoola and I.A. Oyebola, as well as all the administrative staff of the Institute for their love, support and encouragement.

I appreciate people from National Centre for Technology Management (NACETEM); Drs. A.D. Dada and Ogundari I, Mssrs. Adepoju, Akinwalea.k.a.Management and Olomu, M.O. Also, I appreciate my colleagues at the African Institute for Science Policy and Innovation for their moral support during the course of the study especially, Oyebisi O.O., Yemi A., and Olowu Opeyemi.

Words cannot express my heartfelt appreciation to my parents, Pastor and Mrs. Ogunjemilua as well as my siblings; Ebenezer A., Gbenga E., Hellen, Y., they have been wonderful to me.

I will not forget the Aluko family; Dayo, Kolawole Omobolanle, Bisola, Olalekan, Olayinka and most especially, my pal Tolulope Israel a.k.aLULUGAGA), I love you all.



I also acknowledge the member of Adeyemo family (Imole, Ayomidea.k.a. Bobo, Tope, Ibukunoluwa). Likewise,Mr. and Mrs. Adeniyi, are acknowledge.

I acknowledge the care, prayers, encouragement and support of Pastor (Dr.) A.A. Abayomi, Pastor F.O. Afolabi, Pastor Sam., Ayodele, Pastor (Mrs.) Awofisoye, Evang. Samson, Lady EvangelistOlafare and most importantly SisterFadiora A. Omowumi, Bamise, Aanuoluwapo, Taiwo and Kehinde Afolabi; you are wonderful.

Finally, I am grateful to all those who participated at seminar sessions for their very useful observations, comments and suggestions. I thank you all.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title		Page
Title	Page	i
Auth	orization to Copy	ii
Certi	fication	iii
Dedi	cation	iv
Ackn	nowledgement	v
Table	e of Contents	vii
List	of Tables	xii
Abstı	ract	xiv
СНА	APTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background to the Study	1
1.2	Statement of Research Problem	3
1.3	Research Questions	4
1.4	Objectives of the Study	4
1.5	Significance of the Study	4
1.6	The Scope of the Study	5
1.7	Contributions to Knowledge	5
1.8	Limitations of the Study	5
1.9	Operational Definition of Terms	6
СНА	PTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Knowledge and Knowledge Management	8
	2.1.1 Importance of knowledge management	14



	2.1.2	Sources of knowledge	16
	2.1.3	Types of knowledge	16
	2.1.4	Schools of thought of knowledge	17
	2.1.5	Nature of knowledge	18
	2.1.6	Knowledge creation process	21
	2.1.7	Knowledge acquisition	21
2.2	2.2 Network Ties		
	2.2.1	Composition of network ties	26
	2.2.2	Forms of network ties	26
2.3	Empir	rical Review	28
	2.3.1	Nexus of business-related knowledge and business	
		Performance	28
	2.3.2	Nexus of network ties and business Performance	31
2.4	Perfor	rmance Measurement	37
	2.4.1	Performance measurement criteria	38
2.6	Theor	etical Framework of Knowledge and Network Ties	40
CHA	PTER T	THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.0	Introd	uction	41
3.1	Study	Area and Population	41
3.2	Sampl	ling Techniques	41
3.3	Metho	od of Data Collection	41
	3.3.1	Validity of research instrument	44
3.4	Metho	od of Data Analysis	45
3.5	Mode	l Specifications	47
	3.5.1	Model specification for impact of knowledge and network	ζ.



tieson the performance of technical entrepreneurship 47

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Chara	cteristics of the Respondents	49
	4.1.1	Proportional distribution of respondents by state	49
	4.1.2	Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents	49
	4.1.3	Distribution of respondents by specialties	55
	4.1.4	Distribution of respondents by means of business	
		ownership and location	55
	4.1.5	Distribution of respondents by target market and	
		others forms of jobs	58
	4.1.6	Distribution of respondents by opening hour and firm's	
		age	58
	4.1.7	Distribution of respondents by motive of business	
		establishment	61
4.2	Know	ledge Areas of Operators of Micro and Small Technical	
	Entrep	preneurs	63
	4.2.1	Distribution of respondents by technical school,	
	1	apprenticeship and technical workshop/seminar attended	63
	4.2.2	Distribution of respondents by years spent in technical	
		school, apprenticeship and number of technical	
		workshop/seminar attended	65
	4.2.3	Distribution of respondents by business conception and	
		comprehensiveness of business-related knowledge	65
	4.2.4	Distribution of respondents by number of business-related	



		knowledge	67
4.3	Extent	ts and Depth of Operator's Collaborations	67
	4.3.1	Distribution of respondents by collaboration with people	
		of related business	70
	4.3.2	Distribution of respondents by number of collaboration	
		that ishelpful to theirbusinesses and how they start	
		collaborations	72
	4.3.3	Distribution of respondents by forms of collaborations	74
	4.3.4	Distribution of respondents by benefits of collaborations	74
	4.3.5	Proportional distribution of respondents by scale of	
		operation,mean and standard deviation	81
4.4	Factor	rs influencing the Performance of Micro and Small	
	Techn	ical Enterprises	83
	4.4.1	Performance measurement	83
	4.4.2	Result of multinomial logistic regression of forms of	
		collaborations and comprehensiveness of business-related	
		knowledge on profit	85
	4.4.3	Result of multinomial logistic regression of forms of	
		collaborations and comprehensiveness of business-related	
		knowledge on sales volume	86
	4.4.4	Result of multinomial logistic regression of forms of	
		collaborations and comprehensiveness of business-related	
		knowledge on staff strength	89
	4.4.5	Results of controls for this study	94



CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Summary of Findings	99
5.2	Conclusion	101
5.3	Recommendations	101
5.4	Suggestions for Further Research	102
References		103
Appendices		116



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
4.1	Proportional Distribution of Technical Entrepreneurs by State	50
4.2	Distribution of Respondents by Age and Gender	52
4.3	Distribution of Respondents by Marital Status and Highest	
	Educational Qualification	54
4.4	Distribution of Respondents by Specialties	56
4.5	Distribution of Respondents by Means of Business Ownership and	
	Location	57
4.6	Distribution of Respondents by Target Market and Other Forms	
	Jobs	59
4.7	Distribution of Respondents by Opening Hour and Firm's Age	60
4.8	Distribution of Respondents by Business Motives	62
4.9	Distribution of respondents by technical school, apprenticeship	
	andTechnical Workshop/Seminar Attended	64
4.10	Distribution of Respondents by Years Spent in Technical School,	
	Apprenticeship and Number of Technical Workshop/ Seminar	
	Attended	66
4.11	Distribution of Respondents by Business Conception and	
	Comprehensiveness of Business-Related Knowledge	68
4.12	Distribution of Respondents by Number of Business-Related	
	Knowledge	69
4.13	Distribution of Respondents by Collaboration with People of	
	Related Business	71
4.14	Distribution of Respondents by Number of Collaborations That is	



	Helpful ToTheir Businesses and How They Start Collaboration	73
4.15	Distribution of Respondents by Forms of Collaboration	75
4.16a	Distribution of Respondents by Benefits of Collaboration	76
4.16b	Distribution of Respondents by Benefits of Collaboration	78
4.16c	Distribution of Respondents by Benefits of Collaboration	80
4.16d	Collaborations and its Variable Measurement	82
4.17	Proportional Distribution of Respondents by Scale of Operation,	
	Mean and SD	84
4.18a	Results of Multinomial Logistic Regression of Forms Of	
	Collaborations and Comprehensiveness of Business-Related	
	knowledge on profit	87
4.18b	Results of Multinomial Logistic Regression of Forms of	
	Collaborations and Comprehensiveness of Business-Related	
	Knowledge on Sales Volume	90
4.18c	Results of Multinomial Logistic Regression of Forms of	
	Collaborations and Comprehensiveness of Business-Related	
	Knowledge on Staff Strength	92
4.19	Results of Controls for this Study	9



ABSTRACT

The study examined the knowledge areas of operators of micro and small technical enterprises (MSTEs) in Southwestern Nigeria and investigated the extents and depth of the operators' collaborations. It evaluated the influence of operators' knowledge and network ties on the performance of the enterprises. This was with a view to recommending policy interventions that could lead to significant improvement in the performance of MSTEs.

The study covered Technical Entrepreneurs in Lagos, Ekiti, Oyo and Ondo states. Data were collected from primary and secondary sources. Primary data were collected using a set of questionnaire and supported with guided interview. Multi-stage sampling technique was used for the study. Three Local Governments were randomly selected from each of the states. Twenty five micro and small technical enterprises were purposively selected in each Local Government, making a total of three hundred respondents. The questionnaire elicited information on the socio-economic characteristics, knowledge areas, extents and depth of collaboration and the performance of the respondents. Secondary data were sourced from the records of the firms. The data collected were analyzed with the aid of descriptive statistics and inferential statistics.

The study revealed that majority of the respondents specialized in wood and wood products (20.9%) and their opening hour was 8 am because the business was their career (79.5%) and most of them had 14 years and above of establishment (firm's age). Moreover, many of them did not attend technical school (83.5%) but larger percentages of them were apprentice (73.5%). Majority spent 3 years and 3-5 years in technical school and apprentice training respectively. The business-related knowledge areas of the majority (34.9%) and (38.6%) were between low (3-4 knowledge areas) and average (5-6 knowledge areas) respectively. About 88.4% of the respondents had collaboration with people of related business, majority of them



had both formal and informal forms of collaboration (73.9%) and strongly agreed with proposed ten benefits of collaborations. Most of the proposed ten benefits of collaborations were significant on the response variables. Comprehensiveness of knowledge areas and forms of collaborations significantly influenced the performance measures; profit ($X^2=102.101$, P=0.000**), sales volume ($X^2=87.086$, P=0.000**) and staff strength ($X^2=47.313$, Y=0.001**). In addition, certain control variables were significant while some were not.

The study concludes that improved knowledge of the operators of MSTEs and effective collaboration among them could lead to significant improvement of their performance.



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Small scale business started gaining prominence in Nigeria in the early 1970s and many personal enterprises started springing up and served as a catalyst in the socio-economic development of Nigeria (Osotimehinet al., 2012). Micro, small and medium scale enterprises (MSMEs) is accepted globally as a tool for empowering the citizenry and economic growth. "In a developing economy like Nigeria, micro, small and medium enterprises play tremendous role in reengineering the socio-economic landscape of the country and these enterprises largely represent a stage in industrial transition from traditional to modern technology. Micro, small and medium enterprises are vital in developing the Nigerian economy for the following reasons: income generation, social and political role in local employment creation, balanced resources utilization, utilization of local technology and raw materials in helping to promote change in a gradual and peaceful manner" (NMSMECS, 2010). Moreover, the importance of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in the growth process is considered to be a key engine of economic growth and development in Nigeria (NMSMECS, 2010). In addition, with the overall objective of reducing poverty through wealth and job creation and facilitating national economic development, the micro, small and medium enterprises are perceived as the oil required to lubricate the engine of socioeconomic transformation (NMSMECS, 2010).

Micro and small enterprises (MSEs) are one in which the general and functional management is in the hands of one or at most two or three people who make all the important decisions in those enterprises (Oladele, 2007). Hence, Fabayo (1989) observed that one major claim for focus on SMEs is that they are large employers of labour and this makes them vital in



coping with the problems of unemployment and poverty. According to Fabayo(1989) "strong evidences based on country and regional experiences exist to show that small firms are major sources of employment opportunities for a wide cross-section of the workforce: the young, old, part-time workers and the cyclically unemployed". Ukpabio (2004) noted that micro and small scale enterprises (MSEs) play intermediate role in the development of large scale enterprises. They reduce regional disparities through the creation of employment opportunities in the rural areas and mobilize local resources more readily than large-scale industries. Uzor (2004) opined that (MSEs) contribute to national development by positively influencing the distribution of income both in functional terms, wages and profits in nominal terms. Uzor (2004) noted that focus on SMEs help to decentralize industries thereby not only accelerating rural development but also stemming urban immigration and the consequent problems of congestion in the cities. Uzor (2004) asserted that MSEs could be more readily used to achieve industrial dispersal and regional balance in economic development.

With the realization of the potentials of the MSEs, governments at different levels in Nigeria have put up a lot of support programmes to promote and sustain their development (Osotimehinet al., 2012). It is believed that massive assistance(financial, technical, marketing and managerial) from the government are necessary for the MSEs to grow (Osotimehinet al., 2012). Governments have stepped up efforts to promote the development of MSEs through increased incentive schemes including enhanced budgetary allocation for technical assistance programmes (Osotimehinet al., 2012). Despite government intervention, micro and small scale enterprises (MSEs) in Nigeria have not performed creditably well and they have not played expected significant role in economic growth and apprentice training so as to accelerate employment and poverty alleviation in order to foster Nigeria's economic development. In addition, Fatai (2011) posits that small and medium scale enterprises in Nigeria performed at



very abysmally and this low performance has further exacerbated poverty, hunger, unemployment and low standard of living of citizens.

1.2 Statement of Research Problem

Micro and small technical enterprises (MSTEs) are drivers of economic growth (Osotimehin et al., 2012). This was recognized by federal, state governments and other organizations in Nigeria and this led to various supports and policies to enhance and encourage entrepreneurship development. The establishment of research institutes and technology incubation centres (TICs), the Small and Medium Enterprise Development Agency of Nigeria (SMEDAN), National Directorate for Employment (NDE) are some of the means through which the governments in Nigeria is encouraging entrepreneurship development. The degree of efficiency of government and other organizational aid to boost entrepreneurship development and enhance entrepreneurs' performance are challenged because the performance of MSTEs in Nigeria is below expectation. There are unemployed graduates, retrenchment is on the increase, and citizens are wallowing in poverty. In contrast, the contributions of entrepreneurship to the economic growth of most advanced countries have been spectacular, especially in the area of employment generation and gross domestic product (GDP) (World Bank, 2013).

In view of the foregoing, the abysmal performance of entrepreneurs have been of great concern to governments, citizens, practitioners and organized private sectors in Nigeria. This phenomenon may not be unrelated to the knowledge areas and



For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng