

**EFFECT OF FISCAL POLICY ON PRIVATE CONSUMPTION IN NIGERIA (1981 –
2011)**

ISIAQ OLASUNKANMI OSENI

B Sc. (Economics) OOU, MSc. (Economics) Ife

Being a Thesis submitted to the Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Sciences, In Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D) in
Economics of Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

2014

CERTIFICATION

I certify that this work was carried out by **Oseni Isiaq Oasunkanni**, under my supervision in the Department of Economics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

.....

Prof. P. A. Oomla

Supervisor

.....

Date

.....

Prof. P. A. Oomla

Head of Department of Economics

Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

.....

Date

AUTHORISATION

AUTHOR: Isiaq Oasunkanmi Oeni

TITLE: Effect of Fiscal Policy on Private Consumption in Nigeria (1981-2011)

DEGREE: Ph. D (Economics)

YEAR: 2014

I, Isiaq Oasunkanmi Oeni, hereby authorized the Hezekial Oasunkanmi Library to this thesis in part or in whole, in response to request from individuals or organizations for the purpose of private study or research.

.....

Signature

.....

Date

DEDICATION

This little contribution of mine is dedicated to Almighty God, my able supervisor- Prof. P. A. Omonla and all other well wishers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, my unreserved appreciation goes to the Head of the Department for his tireless effort towards the success of this research work. He is equally my mentor, father and supervisor- Prof. Philip Akanni Oomla who despite his tight schedule found time to guide me through the successful completion of this research work. Sir, you are indeed a man of worship. May God reward you abundantly.

“A tree does not make a forest” said the elder. Were it not for the following people this research work might not be completed. They are: Professor J. A. Fabayo, Professor S.I. Oadeji (former Provost, Postgraduate College), Professor (Ms) J. O. Ousi, Professor E. A. Akinlo, Professor A. A. Adebayo, Dr. B. A. Folorunsho, Dr. T. P. Ogun, Dr. T. O. Akinbonbola, Dr. Obenbe, Dr. R. A. Ajisafe, Dr. R. O. Olayeni, Dr. (Ms.) Grisadare, Mr. S. A. Adedokun, Mr. O. O. Ayegbusi, Mr. Muiwa Apanisile and other lecturers in the Department, I thank you all for your useful contribution.

My sincere gratitude goes to Professor Gris Ajila for his unprecedented and unquantifiable contributions towards the completion of this programme. I equally thank Mr. Waki Olatunde Oadunjoye for his moral, financial and spiritual support towards this course, thank you Sir. Also, I thank Dr. S. M. A. Posu, Mr. Adekunle Adedeji, Mr. Ogunmuyiwa, and Dr. A. B. Onakoya for their financial support and words of encouragement. My unreserved appreciation goes to Brother La wal Rasaq Temitope for his encouragement and editorial work that makes this work free from grammatical error. Thank you Sir.

“A friend in need is a friend indeed”, they say. Come rain, come shine, I can’t forget the contributions of the following friends and brothers: Mr. Philips Nwosa, Moses Clinton Ekperiware, Nathaniel Opeyemi, Mrs. Victoria Foye (My Big sister), and Mrs. O O Adebisi. I thank you all for your invaluable contributions and criticisms. I will be a complete ingrate if I failed to appreciate the contribution of Bells University of Technology community. Therefore, I appreciate the Vice-Chancellor of Bellstech – Prof. Isaac Adeyemi for giving me this opportunity to complete the Ph.D programme and other management board, thank you sir/ma. I equally appreciate the contributions of Prof. Gbadebo Osemebo, Dr. Abiodun Daranmola (My Brother) and other colleagues in the college of management sciences – Dr. Adigun, Dr. O S Enidobo, Mr. A E Ajayi, Miss O J. oyetayo, Mr. S O Oadipo, Mr. A O Adedeji, Mr. A A Ajibola, Mr. B A Bello, Mr. Opele (Ifa) and others.

I will be a complete ingrate if my family is left unappreciated; they are sister Nosirat, Mom Yunus, Mom Olanide, Brother Akeem and his wife, Ibraheem Mom Rodoot, Abdul Razaq and Khadijah (last born) for their laudable contributions towards the completion of this programme. Also I appreciate the contributions of my step mother, Mrs T. Oseni and my parents- Mr. J. O Oseni & Mrs. N A Oseni who paddled me from heaven and deemed it fit to give me the best they could by directing me to the right path and providing everlasting joy for my life through education. Thank you ‘Ma’ and ‘Sir’, may you live to reap what you sow (Amen).

“Behind a successful man, there is a successful woman” I equally appreciate the contribution of my better half, jewel and priceless pearl - Mrs. I. F. Oseni.

I also appreciate the contributions of the following students and friends: Sanni Hauwa Yetunde, Okezi Jemima, Sulaimon Onilogbo, Adeyemo Abdul-Wasiu, Asif Babatunde Samson and Ougbenro Ogunle Brown. The insight derived from their advice, encomium and criticism remains a prop to the realization of this dream

Isiaq Oasunkanmi Oseni



TABLE OF CONTENT	PAGES
Title	i
Certification	ii
Authorization	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Content	vii
List of Table	xiii
List of Figure	xv
Abstract	xvi
Chapter One: Introduction	
1.1 Background to the Study	1
1.2 Statements of Problem	4
1.3 Statement of the Research Questions	10
1.4 Objectives of the Study	10
1.5 Justification of Study	11
1.6 Scope of the Study	13
1.7 Plans of the Study	14

Chapter Two: Literature Review

2.1	Review of Theoretical Literature	15
2.1.1	Theories of Consumption	21
2.1.1.1	Keynes's Absolute Income Hypothesis	21
2.1.1.2	Relative-Income Hypothesis	21
2.1.1.3	Permanent Income Hypothesis	22
2.1.1.4	Life-Cycle Hypothesis	24
2.1.2	Keynesian Consumption Function	25
2.1.3	Ricardian Equivalence Theory	26
2.1.3.1	The Linkage between Generations	27
2.1.3.2	The Liquidity Constraints	29
2.1.3.3	Other Assumptions of REH	29
2.1.3.4	The Ricardian Equivalence Theorem	31
2.1.4	Non-Keynesian Effects of Fiscal Policy	36
2.1.5	Crowding-Out Hypothesis	40
2.1.6	The Savers-Spenders Theory	41
2.1.7	Theories of Government Expenditure	42
2.1.7.1	Peacock and Wseman's Theory of Expenditure	42

2.1.7.2 Ernest Engel's Theory of Public Expenditure	43
2.1.7.3 Wagner Law of Increasing State Activities	43
2.2 Review of Empirical Studies on the Relationship between Fiscal Policy and Private Consumption	44
2.2.1 Evidences from Developed Countries	44
2.2.2 Evidences from Developing Countries	67
2.2.3 Evidences from Nigeria	86
2.3 Gaps from the Review of the Literature	129
Chapter Three: Methodology	
3.1 Theoretical Framework	130
3.1.1 Firms	130
3.1.2 Households	131
3.1.3 The Government (Fiscal Policy)	133
3.1.4 Equilibrium Condition	134
3.2 Conceptual Framework	135
3.3 Empirical Models	139
3.3.1 Ricardian Equivalence Hypothesis (REH), Debt and Private Consumption	139
3.3.2 Fiscal Policy Shock and Private Consumption	140
3.3.3 Fiscal Policy Shock and Private Consumption: Role of Anticipated and Unanticipated Fiscal Shocks	141

3.4	Estimation Techniques	143
3.4.1	Identification of Fiscal Policy Shocks	143
3.4.2	Blanchard-Perotti Approach	144
3.4.3	Formulation of Exogenous Elasticities	151
3.5	Measurement of Variables	156
3.6	Sources of Data	159

Chapter Four: Trend and Pattern of Fiscal Policy and Private Consumption in Nigeria

4.1	Trend and Pattern of Government Spending and Private Consumption in Nigeria	160
4.1.1	Public Expenditure Policies in Nigeria	165
4.1.2	Fiscal Responsibility Act of Government Expenditure in Nigeria	166
4.1.2.1	Capital Expenditure	166
4.1.2.2	Reduced Capital Expenditure	168
4.2	Trend and Pattern of Government Revenue and Private Consumption in Nigeria	168
4.3	Trend and Pattern of Government Debts and Private Consumption in Nigeria	171
4.3.1	Public Debts Portfolio	173

4.3.2	Review of Public Debts	174
4.3.2.1	External Debt Stock	174
4.3.2.2	Government Domestic Debt Stock	174
4.3.2.3	The Quantum of Fiscal Responsibility Act (FRA) 2010	175
4.3.2.3.1	Deficit Financing and Borrowing	176
4.3.2.3.2	Debt Repayment	179
4.4	Trend and Pattern of Fiscal Balance and Private Consumption in Nigeria	180
4.4.1	Sources of Deficit Financing	182
4.5	Trend and Pattern of Government Revenue and Private Consumption in Nigeria	183
Chapter Five: Fiscal Policy Shock, Government Debt and Private Consumption in Nigeria		
5.1	Diagnostic Tests	185
5.1.1	Summary of Descriptive Statistics of All Variables in the Data Set	185
5.1.2	Time Series Properties of the Variables Employed	191
5.1.3	Co-integration Test and Vector Error Correction Model	195
5.1.4	Exogeneity Test Results	199



5.1.5	Result of Structural Break Test	202
5.2	Testing the Validity of Ricardian Equivalence Hypothesis in Nigeria	205
5.2.1	Results and Discussions on Validity of Ricardian Equivalence Hypothesis in Nigeria	205
5.2.2	Robustness Test of the Validity of Ricardian Equivalence Hypothesis	208
5.3	Effect of Government Debts on Private Consumption	213
5.3.1	Results and Discussions on the Relationship between Government Debts and Private Consumption	213
5.4	Fiscal Policy shocks and Private Consumption	216
5.4.1	Estimation of Lag Length of the SVAR Model	216
5.4.2	Effect of Fiscal Policy shocks on Private Consumption	219
5.4.3	Variance Decomposition of Fiscal Policy Shocks	223
5.4.4	Responses of Private Consumption to Fiscal Shocks: Impulse Response of Structural VAR Result	230
5.4.4.1	Effects of Shocks on Private Consumption	231
5.4.4.2	Effects of Shocks on Government Expenditure	231
5.4.4.3	Effects on Shocks on Tax revenue	232
5.4.5	Cumulative Multiplier for the Private Consumption	235
5.4.6	Robustness Check of Structural VAR Result	237



5.5 Impacts of Anticipated and Unanticipated Fiscal Shocks on

Private Consumption 243

5.5.1 Results and Discussions on the Relationship among Anticipated, Unanticipated Fiscal
Policy Shocks and Private Consumption 243

Chapter Six: Summary, Policy Recommendation and Conclusion

6.1 Summary 247

6.2 Conclusion 250

6.3 Policy Recommendations 252

6.4 Contribution to Knowledge 253

References 254

Appendix A Derivation of Equations 273

Appendix B Raw Data Analysis Tables 292

Appendix C Graphs of Fiscal Variables and Private Consumption 296

Appendix D Analyses 302

List of Tables	Pages
Table 2.1 Summary of Empirical Literature	98
Table 3.1: Construction of Elasticities of Government Revenue to Private Consumption	154
Table 3.2 Construction of Elasticities of Government Revenue to Government Debt	155
Table 3.3 Definitions and Sources of the Variables	158
Table 5.1 Descriptive Statistics and Normality Test for All Variables in Data Set	189
Table 5.2 Correlation Analysis Matrix of the Set of Variables Employed	190
Table 5.3a: Unit Root Test using Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Technique	193
Table 5.3b: Unit Root Test using Phillips-Perron Technique	194
Table 5.4: Johansen Maximum Likelihood Test for Co-integration	197
Table 5.5: Parsimonious Dynamic Regression Result	198
Table 5.6 VAR Granger Causality/Block Exogeneity Wald Tests	200
Table 5.6a: Empirical Analysis of Ricardian Equivalence	



	Hypothesis Result in Nigeria	210
Table 5.6b	Testing the Validity of the Assumptions of REH Result in Nigeria	
	Using Wald Test	211
Table 5.7	Empirical Result of the Robustness Test using Wald Test	212
Table 5.8	Empirical Analysis of the Relationship between Governments Debt and Private Consumption	215
Table 5.9	Estimated Results of VAR Lag Length Selection Criteria	217
Table 5.10	VAR Lag Exclusion Wald Test	218
Table 5.11:	Empirical Analysis of Fiscal Policy Shocks- Blanchard and Perotti (2002) Approach	222
Table 5.12:	Variance Decomposition of Response of Government Debt	226
Table 5.13:	Variance Decomposition of Response of Tax Revenue	227
Table 5.14:	Variance Decomposition of Response of Government Expenditure	228
Table 5.15:	Variance Decomposition of Response of Private Consumption	229
Table 5.16:	Cumulative Multiplier for the Private Consumption	236
Table 5.17	Estimated Coefficients of Matrices A and B using Blanchard-Perotti Method Given that $\beta_{ir}^{gexp} = 0$ (Short-run Response Pattern)	240

Table 5.18	Estimated Coefficients of Matrices A and B using Blanchard-Perotti Method Given that $\beta_{g\exp}^{lr} = 0$ (Short-run Response Pattern)	241
Table 5.19	Estimated Structural VAR Result for Long-run Response Pattern	242
Table 5.20	Empirical Analysis of the Relationship among Anticipated, Unanticipated Fiscal Shocks and Private Consumption	246

List of Figures		Pages
Figure 1	Trend of Private Consumption	7
Figure 2	Fiscal Policy Variable and Private Consumption	8
Figure 3.1	Theoretical Relationship between Fiscal Policy and Private Consumption	138
Figure 4.1	Government Expenditure and Private Consumption	164
Figure 4.2	Government Revenue and Private Consumption	170
Figure 4.3	Government Debt and Private Consumption	172
Figure 4.4	Fiscal Balance and Private Consumption	181
Figure 4.5	Distortionary Taxes and Private Consumption	184
Figure 5.1	Cumulative Sum of Recursive Residual	203
Figure 5.2	Cumulative Sum of Square Recursive Residual	204
Figure 5.3	Responses to Cholesky One S.D Innovations in Private Consumption to Shocks in Fiscal Policy Variables	234
Figure 5.4	Stability Test Result	239

ABSTRACT

The study examined the trend and pattern of fiscal policy variables and private consumption; established the existence of Ricardian Equivalence Hypothesis using Nigerian data; analyzed the relationship between government debt and private consumption; determined the impact of fiscal policy shocks on private consumption and investigated the effects of anticipated and unanticipated fiscal policy shocks on private consumption. This was with a view to providing information for announcement and post implementation effects of changes in fiscal policy variables on private consumption in Nigeria between 1981 and 2011.

Secondary data were used for the study. Quarterly time series data on the Government Revenue, Government Expenditure, Government Debt, Interest Rates and Private Consumption from 1981:1 to 2011:4 were collected from Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Statistical Bulletin for various years (2008 – 2011) while data on Total Wealth, Disposable Income and Distortionary taxes were collected from World Development Indication, 2011. Data collected were analyzed using descriptive statistics and econometric techniques.

The results of the trend and pattern analysis showed that there were cyclical movement between fiscal policy variables and private consumption in Nigeria for the periods of study. The results on the existence of Ricardian Equivalence Hypothesis in Nigeria indicated that only government expenditure confirmed the existence of Ricardian Equivalence Hypothesis in Nigeria ($\beta = -0.1598$, $p < 0.05$) while other variables like distortionary taxes ($\beta = 0.3837$, $p < 0.05$ instead of $\beta = 0$, $p < 0.05$); disposable income ($\beta = 0.0244$, $p > 0.05$ instead of $\beta \neq 0$, $p < 0.05$); government debt ($\beta = -0.3881$, $p < 0.05$ instead of $\beta = -0$, $p < 0.05$) and total wealth ($\beta = 0.0793$,

$p < 0.05$ instead $\beta = 0$, $p < 0.05$) showed that Ricardian Equivalence Hypothesis did not hold in Nigeria. The study also showed that government debt ($\beta = -0.1208$, $p < 0.05$) had negative and significant effect on private consumption in Nigeria. The SVAR results revealed that government revenue shock ($\beta = -0.97$, $p < 0.05$) and government spending shock ($\beta = -0.36$, $p < 0.05$) had negative and significant impacts on private consumption while government debt shock ($\beta = -0.38$, $p > 0.05$) had positive and insignificant effect on private consumption. Finally, the study also revealed that the coefficients of anticipated fiscal policy shocks ($\varepsilon_t^{ag} = 1.6313$, $p < 0.05$; $\varepsilon_t^{ab} = 0.1730$, $p < 0.1$ and $\varepsilon_t^{atr} = -0.4003$, $p > 0.05$) had significant impacts on private consumption while the coefficients of unanticipated fiscal policy shocks ($\varepsilon_t^{ug} = 9.81E-07$, $p < 0.05$; $\varepsilon_t^{ub} = -2.33E-07$, $p < 0.05$ and $\varepsilon_t^{utr} = -7.50E-08$, $p > 0.05$) had no significant effect on private consumption in Nigeria.

The study concluded that the existence of REH in Nigeria was inconclusive. Also the announcement of government policies had immediate effect on private consumption while the implementation period had no effect on private consumption.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

One of the tenets of macroeconomics is how fiscal policy can be effective in stimulating aggregate demand and reviving a stagnant private consumption. Effective fiscal policies provide a stable macro environment for investment and increased productivity. Fiscal policy involves the deliberate actions of government in spending money and levying taxes with a view to influencing macro-economic variables to attain a desired direction. This includes sustainable economic growth via increase in aggregate demand, high employment creation and low inflation (Abata et al, 2012). Increase in government spending or a reduction in taxes tend to pull the consumption level (most especially private consumption) out of a recession; while reduced spending or increased taxes slow down a boom (Dornbusch and Fischer, 1990).

Evidently, the achievement of sustainable increase or decrease in private consumption through fiscal policy in Nigeria has remained unclear. Despite the substantial increases in government expenditure from 1980s to 2011, private consumption has not grown with the expected rates, Abata et al (2012). The deprived performance of fiscal policy has been apparently blamed on the problems of policy inconsistencies, high level of corruption, wasteful spending, poor policy implementation and lack of feedback mechanism from implemented policies between 1985 and 2005 (Omitogun and Ayinla, 2007; Abata et al 2012). In the debate on economic policy, fiscal policy is predominantly viewed as an instrument to mitigate short-run fluctuations in private consumption and employment. By varying government spending or taxation, fiscal policy aims at altering aggregate demand in order to move the present level of

private consumption closer to potential level, (Eggertsson et al, 2010; Christiano, Eichenbaum and Rebelo, 2011).

Fiscal policy also entails how the creation of public debt affects the private sector (Onitogun and Ayinla, 2007). This aspect is particularly vital when evaluating stabilization policies with a notion to affect aggregate demand. The Keynesian perspective on this issue is that, for a given path of government consumption, by decreasing taxes today, the private sector will increase its spending, and thus aggregate consumption increases. To this end, the private consumption today is determined by today's disposable income; hence with a tax cut, both disposable income and private consumption will increase. This conclusion implies that households regard their holding of government bonds as net wealth, i.e. the households do not discount any part of the future tax increases that are necessary to repay the public debt. A similar conclusion of the effects of debt policy is obtained in neoclassical models of the Yaari-Blanchard type (see Blanchard, 1985; and Buiter et al, 2002), where new households enter the economy in the future, and thus a part of the tax burden is evaded by the households that are presently part of the economy. In this type of model, households discount only a part of future tax payments, and thus a tax cut today increases private consumption, but by a smaller amount than in a Keynesian model.

The Ricardian equivalence hypothesis (REH) stands in sharp contrast to the conventional view by arguing that government deficit financing merely generates the private saving necessary to absorb the additional government debt, leaving national saving and private consumption unaltered. In other words, Ricardian equivalence holds that an increase in the government deficit will be exactly offset by an increase in private sector savings. Furthermore, as national saving does not change, the real interest rate need not rise in a closed economy to

maintain the balance between national saving and investment and hence, there is no effect on investment as well as private consumption either. In an open economy, there would be no effect on the current account balance because private saving rises enough to avoid the need to borrow from abroad. Therefore, budget deficits would not cause current account deficits.

These conclusions are formally based on Barro's (1974) seminal paper. By introducing rational behaviour and fiscal expectations into a forward-looking permanent income-life cycle consumption model, it showed that inter-temporally maximizing rational consumers will not view government debt as a part of their net wealth if they accurately anticipate the future tax liability of that debt. Instead, rational consumers would realize that the public debt created now by government borrowing must be repaid in the future by an increase in taxes. Hence, a lowering of taxes today will merely induce consumers to increase saving in order to avoid a sharp decline in their future disposable income and consumption due to higher taxes. Private consumption thus remains unchanged provided that the present value of government expenditures is not affected by the choice of budget deficits and surpluses, i.e. by the timing of taxes. If this is a correct representation of consumer behaviour, the Ricardian equivalence proposition leads to a quite drastic policy implications: since a deficit-financed tax cut has no effect on aggregate consumer demand, even in the short run, attempts to stabilize the economy are doomed to be futile.

Also, there has emerged a third line of reasoning called the non-Keynesian view which stresses the importance of the current fiscal policy in shaping consumers' expectations about the future policy mix. Specifically, if the level of government debt affects consumers' expectations on the future path of government budget variables, expansionary fiscal policy today in association with a high government debt to GDP ratio and large budget deficit may have a

contractionary effect on private consumption and aggregate demand. For example, Sutherland (1995) shows that if a high level of government debt signals an imminent need for fiscal stabilization, forward-looking rational consumers anticipate the eventual consequences of accumulating government debt and decrease their consumption in response.