

DEVELOPMENT OF A COMPUTATIONAL SYSTEM FOR INTEGRATING USAGE INTO DOCUMENT INDEXING

By

LUKMAN ADEWALE AKANBI M.Sc. (Computer Science), Ife



A THESIS SUBMITTED TO DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

2014



OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY ILE-IFE, NIGERIA

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORISATION TO COPY

Author:	AKANBI Lukman Adewale
Title:	DEVELOPMENT OF A COMPUTATIONAL SYSTEM FOR INTEGRATING USAGE INTO DOCUMENT INDEXING
Degree:	Ph.D. (Computer Science)
Year:	2014
I, AKANBI L	ukman Adewale, hereby authorise the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my
thesis in part o	or whole in response to request from individuals and or organisations for the purpose
of private stud	ly or research.
Signature of	Author and Date



CERTIFICATION

I, Lukman Adewale Akanbi with registration num	ber TP08/09/R0033 in the Department of
Computer Science and Engineering, Faculty of Techn	ology, Obafemi Awolowo University certify
that this is an original research carried out by me und	er the supervision of:
Supervisor:	(CPS)
	Prof. E. R. Adagunodo
Co-Supervisor:	Prof. A. A. David
Head of Department:	Dr. A. I. Oluwaranti



DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to glory of Almighty Allah, by whose permission the work have been completed.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All glorification and adoration are due to Allah, the Almighty, who out of His mercy, made the dream of having a Doctorate degree became reality. I am most grateful to my employer, the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife for giving me the opportunity and the required supports. My sincere appreciation goes to the Heads of Department of Computer Science and Engineering, past and present for their support and advice throughout the course of the research work. I am particularly grateful to all the members of staff of the Department, for their supports and holding forth for me when I had to travel.

My profound gratitude goes to my supervisors, Professors Emmanuel Rotimi Adagunodo and Amos Abayomi David, for their time and resources. I appreciate your fatherly role and the sacrifices you have to make throughout the course of the work. I pray God almighty reward you with good in manifolds. The effort of Prof. David at securing grant that made it possible for me to visit France twice during the course of the work is highly appreciated. His hosting me in France and making my staying in France very rewarding is highly appreciated.

My appreciation goes to the French Government through the French embassy in Nigeria, for supporting this work through the provision of grant that facilitated my visit to France in 2012 and 2013. I also appreciate my colleagues in France, Toyin Oguntunde and Bunmi Akere for making me feeling at home in France.

I am most grateful to Dr. B. S. Afolabi for his immense contribution towards the success of this work. The advice and inspiration from Dr. O. A. Odejobi is highly appreciated. I am also grateful to Drs. S. I. Eludiora, A. O. Ajayi, F. O. Asahiah, S. A. Bello, Mrs. K. C. Olufokunbi, Mrs. D. F. Ninan, Mr. J. A. Hassan and other members of the CISRG for their supports during the



course of the research work. Dr. AdulWaheed Bamgbade is highly appreciated for his professional support through timely review of the grammatical structure of the thesis.

My friends, AbdulAzeem Ewenla, Lukman Olawoyin, Azeez Adebisi, Taofeek Olusesi, Mikail Farinde and others are appreciated for their love and care. I thank you all. The contribution of Mahmood Oyewo in coding at the initial stage of the work is highly appreciated.

I am particularly grateful to my loving wife, Mrs. Adebimpe Monsurah Akanbi and my beautiful daughter Rodiat Adeola Akanbi for their patient and support throughout the course of this research work. I cannot appreciate you enough. I love you. My appreciation goes to other members of my family for their understanding and support in the course of this study.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title Page	i
Authorisation to Copy	i
Certification	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	iz
List of Figures	X
List of Figures	xvi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background to the Study	
1.2 Statement of Research Problem	8
1.3 Research Aim and Objectives	g
1.4 Research Methodology	g
1.5 Research Philosophy	10
1.6 Research Motivation	10
1.7 Scope of Research	11
1.8 Organisation of Thesis	11
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1 The Concept and Definition of Document	12
2.1.1 Types of Document	16
2.1.2 Classification of Document	16



2.2 Competitive Intelligence	18
2.2.1 Actors in Competitive Intelligence Process	20
2.2.2 Competitive Intelligence System Architecture	22
2.3 Information Retrieval	26
2.3.1 Boolean Model of IR	26
2.3.2 Vector Model of IR	28
2.3.3 Probabilistic Model of IR	30
2.4 Document Indexing	30
2.5 Document Annotation	31
2.6 Document Annotation Tools	32
2.6.1 ComMentor annotation tool	32
2.6.2 Yawas annotation tool	35
2.6.3 Annotea annotation tool	36
2.6.4 AMIE annotation tool	40
2.6.5 AMTEA annotation tool	45
2.7 The Fuzzy Logic	46
2.7.1 Fuzzy set	46
2.7.2 Linguistic variable	49
2.7.3 Membership function	49
2.7.4 Operations with fuzzy sets	52



2.7.5 Fuzzy rules	55
2.7.6 Structure of fuzzy inference system	55
2.7.7 Procedure of fuzzy reasoning	58
2.8 Related Works on Document Indexing	58
2.9 Chapter Summary	62
CHAPTER THREE: MODEL DEVELOPMENT	63
3.1 Overview	63
3.2 Architecture of the CIDUCE System	63
3.2.1 The Information World	
3.2.2 The Information Base	65
3.3 Structure of the Proposed System	66
3.3.1 Usage Creation Module	68
3.3.2 Usage Exploration Module	71
3.4 Document Usage Model	73
3.4.1 The User (<i>U</i>)	74
3.4.2 Decision Problem (P)	75
3.4.3 The Document (<i>D</i>)	77
3.4.4 The Environment (<i>E</i>)	78
3.5 Document Degree of Relevance to the Resolution of DPs	79
3.5.1 Fuzzification Process for the Input Data	79



3.5.2 The Output Data	86
3.5.3 Data for the Fuzzy Logic Model	89
3.5.4 Fuzzy Inference Engine	91
3.5.5 Defuzzification Process	96
3.6 The Document Usage Index	97
3.7 Document Usage Model Evaluation	98
3.7.1 Data for the Usage Model Evaluation	102
3.7.2 Data Extracted from the Questionnaire	102
3.8 Chapter Summary	104
CHAPTER FOUR: SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION	105
4.1 Overview	105
4.2 Object-Oriented Model of the CIDUCE System	105
4.2.1 Use Case Diagram	105
4.2.2 Class Diagrams	106
4.2.3 Sequence Diagrams	112
4.3 System Prototype Implementation	115
4.3.1. Hardware Requirements	119
4.3.2 Software Requirements	120
4.4 User Interface of CIDUCE System	120
4.5 Model Evaluation and Result Discussion	127



CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 145	i
5.1 Summary	i
5.2 Conclusion	j.
5.3 Contribution to Knowledge	,
5.4 Application Area and Future Work	
REFERENCES149)
APPENDIX A: QUESTIONNAIRE158	}
APPENDIX B: DATA EXTRACTED FROM THE QUESTIONAIRE168	}
APPENDIX C: DOCUMENTS AND DECISION PROBLEMS REPRESENTATION IN	VSM
FOR RESPONDENTS)
APPENDIX D: RESULTS OF SIMILARITY MEASURE BETWEEN DECISION PROE	BLEMS
AND DOCUMENTS FOR OTHER RESPONDENTS221	



LIST OF TABLES

1.1: Information Search through Web Search Facilities
2.1: Categorization of Documents
4.1: Document Upload Use Case Scenario
4.2: Scenario for Usage Creation Use Case
4.3: Scenario for Cross Analysis Use Case
4.4: Document by Term Matrix in VSM for keyterm based index for Respondent-1
4.5: Document by Term Matrix in VSM for usage based index for Respondent-1
4.6: Result of Similarity Measure between DP and Documents for Respondent-1140
4.7: Result of Similarity Measure between Thesis Title and Documents for Respondent-1



LIST OF FIGURES

1.1: A Sample Information Search through Web Search Facilities (Google) with plain
query terms4
1.2: A Sample Information Search through Web Search Facilities (Google) with query term
quoted5
2.1: Document Use at Different Stage of Research Project
2.2 Relationship between Decision Maker and Information Watcher24
2.3: Competitive Intelligence System Architecture25
2.4: The Architecture of ComMentor Annotation Tool
2.5: Screen Shot of Yawas for Creating New Annotation
2.6: Using the Context Menu to call the Yawas Options
2.7: The Basic Architecture of Annotea
2.8: Information Search from the Annotation Extension
2.9: Logical view of Annotation-as-a-process
2.10: An Example for membership function - <i>positive small temperature</i> 52
2.11: Universe of Discourse for Linguistic Variable temperature53
2.12: Membership functions represented with triangular shape (a) with minimum operator and
(b) with maximum operator56
2.13: Structure of Fuzzy Inference System



2.14: Structure of the FUZZY Part of the System	59
3.1: Architecture of the CI-DUCE System	68
3.2: Structural Model of the CI-DUCE System	70
3.3: Flowchart of the CI-DUCE System	72
3.4: Flowchart of Document Usage Creation Module	74
3.5: Flowchart of Document Usage Exploration Module	76
3.6: Fuzzy Logic for Deriving Document Relevance to DP	85
3.7: Membership Function for NSP handled by the user	86
3.8: Membership Function for Number of Years Spent	89
3.9: Membership Function for Users' Specified Degree of Relevance of Document	to DP
	91
3.10: Membership Function for Document Degree of Relevance	94
3.11: The Rule Base of the FL Inference Engine in Tabular form	97
3.12: The Rule Base of the FL Inference Engine	98
3.13: Formal description of the usage-based document representation schemes	104
3.14: Sample Data Extracted from the Questionnaire	108
4.1: Use Case Diagram of the CIDUCE System	112
4.2: System Class Diagram showing Composition Relationship	116
4.3: System Class Diagram showing Association	118
4.4: Sequence Diagram for Search Operation	119
4.5: Sequence Diagram for Usage Based Search Operation	121
4.6: Sequence Diagram for Usage Creation Operation	122
4.7: Sequence Diagram for Usage Exploration Operation	123
4.8: Home Page of the CIDUCE System.	126



4.9: Interface for Adding Usage to a Document	28
4.10: Interface for Cross Analysis Task	129
4.11: Result of Cross Analysis Task	30
4.12: Graphical Representation of Cross Analysis Result	31
4.13: Interface for Search Operation	133
4.14: Result of Normal Search Operation	134
4.15: Result of Usage Based Search Operation	135
4.16: Similarity between DP and Documents	42
4.17: Number of Relevant Documents to DP at Different Thresholds	145
4.18: Number of Relevant Documents to DP at Different Thresholds in the case of Thes	sis
Titles as DPs	46



ABSTRACT

The study formulated a model that augments document with usage, designed, implemented and evaluated a system based on the model. This is with the view of enhancing the quality and quantity of useful documents that are returned during document search operation.

Attribute Value Pair technique of data abstraction in document annotation and vector model technique of Information Retrieval were used to formulate the document usage model. Unifying Modelling Language (UML 2.0) was used to design the Competitive Intelligence based Document Usage Creation and Exploration (CIDUCE) system. The prototype was implemented with the use of PHP and MySQL technology. Data on document usage was collected through questionnaire administration and guided interview from 20 selected postgraduate students (M.Sc. and Ph.D.) in various departments in the Faculty of Technology. Ninety-nine (99) documents and twenty (20) decision problems were extracted from the questionnaire and used to populate the database of the system. Document recall rate, a function of the similarity measure between identified relevant documents by the respondents and their decision problems (i.e. research problems) was used to evaluate the system.

The results showed that the usage-based document index consistently produce high recall rate, that is, identified high number of relevant documents at different retrieval thresholds than the keyterm-based index. For example, at the retrieval thresholds of 0.20, 0.30, 0.40, 0.50, 0.60, 0.70 and 0.80, the keyterm-based index has 47.47, 27.27, 14.14, 9.09, 2.02, 1.01 and 0.00% recall rates, respectively as compare with the usage-based index with recall rate of 100.00, 100.00, 100.00, 100.00, 91.92 and 61.62%, respectively. These recall rates at different thresholds translated to 47, 27, 14, 9, 2, 1 and 0 documents, respectively in the keyterm-based index and 99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 92 and 62 documents, respectively in the usage-based index.



The study concluded that in an information seeking process, there are usually documents in the document collection space whose index may not contain terms in the users query but which are very relevant to users' need.