

STUDIES INTO THE PRODUCTION OF BIOETHANOL FROM CORNCOB USING CRUDE CELLULASE ENZYME AND DILUTE ACID

BY

OMOWUMI SARAH AGBONJINMI

B.Sc. (Agricultural Engineering)

Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY, OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE IFE, NIGERIA

2015

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE IFE, NIGERIA



HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORIZATION TO COPY

AUTHOR: AGBONJINMI Omowumi Sarah

TITLE: Studies into the production of bioethanol from corncob using crude cellulase enzyme and dilute acid.

DEGREE: M.Sc. (Agricultural Engineering)

YEAR: 2015

I, AGBONJINMI Omowumi Sarah hereby authorise the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my thesis, in whole or in part, in response to request from individual researchers and organizations for the purpose of private study research.

Signature:

Date:



CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that AGBONJINMI Omowumi Sarah executed this research work in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of Master of Science Degree in Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Technology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

••••••	•••••
Dr. L.A.O. Ogunjimi	Date
(Supervisor)	
	•••••
Dr. (Mrs.).E.F. Aransiola	Date
(Co- Supervisor)	
Professor O.B. Aluko	Date
(Chief Examiner)	



DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to the almighty God and my family.

OBATEMIANOLOMO UNIVERSITY



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All glory, honour and adoration be to the Lord Jesus Christ, the author and finisher of my faith, the creator of the heavens and earth, my all in all, the lifter of my head, my father, the closest friend anyone could ask for.

Gratitude is one of the things in life that cannot be bought; hence my profound gratitude goes to my supervisor, Dr. L.A.O. Ogunjimi. Throughout the duration of this program, you have been more than my supervisor; in you, I have found a caring and loving father, a true friend. May God lengthen your days and live to reap bountiful harvests of the good seeds you have sown into the lives of many people. I am very grateful sir. God bless you. I also appreciate my co supervisor, Dr. Mrs. E.F. Aransiola. In the course of this program, I have been opportuned to draw from your wealth of knowledge and also benefit from your advice on different issues. God bless you.

I appreciate the head of department of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, Prof. O.B. Aluko, as well as the entire academic and non academic staff of the department. I am very grateful for the time and efforts of these lecturers in particular, towards the success of my program in persons of Dr. J. A. Osunbitan, Prof. O.K. Owolarafe, Prof. J.A. Osunade, Dr. Ogunsina and Dr (Mrs) O.A. Aregbesola, just to mention a few. It has been a great privilege to learn from these erudite scholars. My sincere appreciation also goes to the department of Chemical Engineering, for accessibility into the Biochemical Laboratory under the supervision of Dr. E. Betiku and Mrs. Olayemi. I also thank all my colleagues in the department, the workshop attendants, and the administrative staff of the department. Special thanks go to Emeko Harrison, Quadri Omolabake, Osunkanmibi Omotola and Owolabi Temitayo for their help during the execution of the experiments.



I greatly appreciate my parents, Mr and Dns. Agbonjinmi and my siblings; Olamide, Monisola and Ayoyinka, for their support; moral, financial and spiritual. I pray that your labour of love on me will not be in vain. I am grateful to my life partner and best friend, Ibukunoluwa Fagbeyiro, just as your name implies, you are my blessing from God. Thank you for your love and care. God bless you.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title		Page	
Title			i
Author	rization to Copy		ii
Certifi	cation		iii
Dedica	ation		iv
Ackno	wledgements		V
Table	of Contents		vi
List of	Figures		xi
List of Plates			xiii
List of Tables			xiv
Abstra	ct		xvii
CHAP	TER ONE		
INTR	ODUCTION		
1.1	Background		1
1.2	Justification		4
1.3	Aims and Objectives		4
1.4	Scope of the Study		5



CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Fossil Fuels and Energy Usage	6
2.2	Biofuels and Biomass	8
2.3	Bioethanol Production from Biomass	9
2.4	Bioethanol Properties	15
2.5	Biomass Pretreatment and Hydrolysis	18
CHAP	TER THREE	
MATH	ERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1	The Study Area	21
3.2	Experimental Procedure	21
3.3	Experimental Design	23
3.4	Pretreatment Processes	25
3.4.1	Mechanical pretreatment (Milling)	25
3.4.2	Chemical pretreatment (Alkaline-Sodium Hydroxide pretreatment)	26
3.5	Hydrolysis	26
3.5.1	Enzymatic hydrolysis	26
3.5.2	Acid hydrolysis	27
3.6	Reducing Sugar Analysis	27



3.6.1	Preparation of Dinitrosalicylic Acid (DNS) for sugar analysis	27
3.6.2	Quantitative analysis of reducing sugar	30
3.7	Ethanol production through fermentation	30
3.7.1	Yeast Inoculum preparation	30
3.7.2	Shake Culture Experiment	31
3.8	Analysis of Ethanol Yield	31
3.9	Data Analysis	31
CHAPTER FOUR		
CHAI	PTER FOUR	
CHAI RESU	PTER FOUR	
CHAI RESU 4.1	PTER FOUR FLTS AND DISCUSSION Interactions of factors on glucose yield during acid hydrolysis	32
 CHAI RESU 4.1 4.2 	PTER FOUR LTS AND DISCUSSION Interactions of factors on glucose yield during acid hydrolysis Effect of alkaline pretreatment and acid hydrolysis on glucose production	32 37
 CHAI RESU 4.1 4.2 4.3 	PTER FOUR ULTS AND DISCUSSION Interactions of factors on glucose yield during acid hydrolysis Effect of alkaline pretreatment and acid hydrolysis on glucose production Interactions of factors on ethanol yield during fermentation of acid hydrolysates	32 37 42
 CHAI RESU 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 	PTER FOUR LITS AND DISCUSSION Interactions of factors on glucose yield during acid hydrolysis Effect of alkaline pretreatment and acid hydrolysis on glucose production Interactions of factors on ethanol yield during fermentation of acid hydrolysates Effect of alkaline pretreatment and acid hydrolysis on glucose production	32 37 42 43

- 4.6 Effect of alkaline pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis on glucose production 55
- 4.7 Interactions of factors on ethanol yield during fermentation of enzymatic hydrolysates
- 4.8 Effect of alkaline pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis on glucose fermentation

to ethanol		64

60



CHAPTER FIVE

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1	Conclusions	69
5.2	Recommendations	70
REFE	RENCES	71
APPE	NDIX A	82
APPE	NDIX B	84
APPE	NDIX C	86
APPE	NDIX D	94
APPE	NDIX E	95
APPE	NDIX F	98



LIST OF FIGURES

3.1:	Flowchart for the production of bioethanol from corncob	23
4.1a:	Contour of interaction between temperature and NaOH concentration on glucose	
	yield during acid hydrolysis	34
4.1b:	Interaction between temperature and NaOH concentration on glucose during acid hydrolysis	34
4.2a:	Contour of interaction between H ₂ SO ₄ concentration and temperature on glucose	
	yield during acid hydrolysis	35
4.2b:	Interaction between H ₂ SO ₄ concentration and temperature on glucose yield during	
	acid hydrolysis	35
4.3a:	Contour of interaction between NaOH and H ₂ SO ₄ concentrations on glucose yield	1
	during acid hydrolysis	36
4.3b:	Interaction between NaOH and H ₂ SO ₄ concentrations on glucose yield during aci- hydrolysis	d 36
4.4a:	Contour of interaction between temperature and NaOH concentration on ethanol	
	yield during acid hydrolysis	44
4.4b:	Interaction between temperature and NaOH concentration on ethanol yield during	5
	acid hydrolysis	44
4.5a:	Contour of interaction between temperature and H ₂ SO ₄ concentration on ethanol	



	yield during acid hydrolysis	45
4.5b:	Interaction between temperature and H ₂ SO ₄ concentration on ethanol yield during	5
	acid hydrolysis	45
4.6a:	Contour of interaction between H ₂ SO ₄ and NaOH concentrations on ethanol yield	
	during acid hydrolysis	46
4.6b:	Interaction between H ₂ SO ₄ and NaOH concentrations on ethanol yield during acid	ł
	hydrolysis	46
4.7:	Interaction between NaOH concentration and temperature on ethanol yield during	5
	enzymatic hydrolysis	52
4.8:	Interaction between temperature and cellulase enzyme on ethanol yield during	
	enzymatic hydrolysis	53
4.9:	Interaction between NaOH concentration and cellulase enzyme on ethanol yield	
	during enzymatic hydrolysis	54
4.10:	Interaction between NaOH concentration and temperature on ethanol yield during	5
	fermentation of glucose obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis	61
4.11:	Interaction between cellulase enzyme and temperature on ethanol yield during	
	fermentation of glucose obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis	62
4.12:	Interaction between cellulase enzyme and NaOH concentration on ethanol yield	
	during fermentation of glucose obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis	63



LIST OF PLATE

Plate 3.1:	Hammer mill used for mechanical pretreatment	25
Plate 3.2	Alkaline pretreatment using sodium hydroxide	28
Plate 3.3:	Hydrolysed Corncobs	29



LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1:	World oil reserves and US imports based on leading producers	7
Table 2.2:	Energy balances for bioethanol production from different feedstock	11
Table 2.3:	Selected international bioethanol production	12
Table 3.1:	Central Composite Design (CCD) for the three independent factors for	
	24	
Table 4.1:	Result of Experimental value; predicted value of glucose yield and the resi	idual
	value during acid hydrolysis	38
Table 4.2a:	Test of significance for every regression coefficient for glucose production	1
	during acid hydrolysis	39
Table 4.2b:	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for response surface cubic model for gluce	ose
	production during acid hydrolysis	41
Table 4.3:	Result of experimental and predicted value for glucose and ethanol yields	
	during fermentation	
	47	
Table 4.4a:	Test of significance for every regression coefficient for ethanol production	1
	from glucose fermentation	
	48	
Table 4.4b:	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for response surface cubic model for ethan	nol

production from glucose fermentation

50



- Table 4.5:Result of experimental value; predicted value for glucose yield and the
residual values during enzymatic hydrolysis56
- Table 4.6a:Test of significance for every regression coefficient for glucose productionfrom enzymatic hydrolysis

57

- Table 4.6b:Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for response surface cubic model for glucoseproduction from enzymatic hydrolysis59
- Table 4.7:
 Result of experimental and predicted value for glucose and ethanol yields

 during fermentation

65

Table 4.8a:Test of significance for every regression coefficient for ethanol productionfrom enzymatic hydrolysis

66

Table 4.8b:Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for response surface cubic model for ethanolproduction from enzymatic hydrolysis68



ABSTRACT

This study investigated the production of bioethanol from corncob using crude cellulase enzyme and dilute tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid. The conversion of the cellulosic content of corncob to glucose and the fermentation of the hydrolysates to bioethanol was also studied. This is with the view to converting this abundant agricultural waste into value-added product.

The dried corncob was pretreated mechanically by milling with a hammer mill, sieved with a screen of 1mm, then chemically pretreated with sodium hydroxide in concentrations ranging from (1-2) %v/v. The enzymatic hydrolysis was carried out on a portion of the substrate with the use of crude cellulase enzyme in liquid form which was isolated from corncob-decomposing bacterium (Bacillus pantothenticus) by mixing with the pretreated biomass and thoroughly agitated. The acid hydrolysis was done by adding the dilute tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid to the pretreated substrate, then thoroughly agitated and heated. The concentration of the glucose yields obtained during hydrolysis was determined using the Dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method. Fermentation of the glucose was done with the use of fermenting organism Saccharomyces cerevisaie (Brewers' yeast). Shake culture experiment was carried out on the glucose for the ethanol production by introducing 5 % innoculum size into each glucose sample. Acid dichromate method was used in determining the bioethanol concentration. The study was carried out as a fractional factorial design with temperature A (50, 60, 70 °C), NaOH concentration B (1, 1.5, 2 (% v/v) and H₂SO₄ concentration C (1.5, 2, 2.5 mol/dm³) as factors that generated twenty experimental runs. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was used for the analytical and optimization studies using the Central Composite Design (CCD).



Results obtained showed that the factors A, B and C are significant model terms (p<0.05). in the production of glucose and ethanol from acid hydrolysis. The highest glucose obtained during acid hydrolysis was 1467.78 g/L at 60 °C temperature, 1.5% v/v NaOH concentration and 2.5 mol/dm^3 H₂SO₄ while the lowest glucose yield obtained was 141.43 g/L at 70 °C temperature, 1.5% v/v NaOH concentration and 2.00 mol/dm^3 H₂SO₄ concentration. The highest and lowest ethanol yield obtained from fermentation of acid hydrolysates was 45.34 g/L and 30 g/L respectively. The optimum condition for the ethanol production from acid hydrolysates was found to be temperature (69.74 °C), NaOH concentration (2.00% v/v) and H₂SO₄ concentration (2.50 mol/dm^3). The highest and lowest glucose obtained during enzymatic hydrolysis was 253.99 g/L and 23.23 g/L respectively while the highest and lowest bioethanol yields from enzymatic hydrolysates are 1 °C temperature, 1.5% v/v NaOH concentration.

It can be concluded from this study that crude cellulase enzyme is more effective and cheap in converting corncob to bioethanol than dilute tetraoxosulphate (VI) acid.



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Farm waste materials are classified into two broad groups namely livestock wastes and plant waste materials. Most of these farm wastes are biodegradable and some had already been discovered as suitable materials for biogas production through research (Adelekan and Bamgboye, 2009). However, large quantities of this agricultural residue produced in Nigeria and other developing countries are still underutilized and not properly managed. The current management practice of these wastes is usually that of burning or leaving the wastes on the farm to decompose contributing to land and air pollution. Agricultural by-products such as rice husk, corncob, cornstalk, palm kernel shell and many others are generated in very large quantities in Nigeria and other agrarian countries annually (Onuegbu *et al.*, 2012).

Corn is so proficient at supplying essential calories that they are considered the quintessential subsistent crops. However, the success of this starch crop as staple food limits its potential development as renewable energy source For instance, carbohydrate crops such as corn and cassava which has become an important feedstock in biofuel production, may to a large extent have fuelled the current food crises worldwide by direct competition with food supply and arable land (Datar *et al.*, 2007; Srinorakutara *et al.*, 2008; Akponah and Akpomie, 2011). It therefore becomes imperative that the searchlight be turned at present to the use of non-food starchy items for the production of bioethanol. Corn is one of the most abundant cereal produced in Africa amongst all the staple food crops grown, as a result, corncob, a renewable resource of lignocellulosic biomass (Caye *et al.*, 2008) from agricultural processing; represents about 30% of



agro-wastes (Asante 2004; Kumar *et al.*, 2008; Zakpaa, *et al.*, 2009). Presently, corncobs are being burnt as fuel in the households of peasant rural farmers, as a result, the gases emitted during burning increases air pollution and other potential health hazards. In some other places, the corncobs are left on the land for a long time to decay, thereby, claiming farmland that could be used for the cultivation of other food crops. The constant dumping of corncobs indiscriminately in the surroundings constitutes nuisance and also pose serious health risks to the human occupants as a result of the environmental pollution. The typical composition of corncob by dry base cellulose is (30-40 % w/w), hemicellulose (35-40 % w/w), and lignin (10-20 %, w/w) (Demirbas 2005; Mosier *et al.*, 2005). This unusually high content of cellulose and hemicellulose in corncob justifies it as an attractive feedstock for bioethanol (Chandrakant and Bisaria 1998; Wyman 2007). Cellulose and hemicellulose can be hydrolysed with chemicals and/or enzymes to monomeric sugars, which can be subsequently converted biologically to bioethanol (Caye *et al.*, 2008).

Wyman, (1996) defines bioethanol as a versatile transportation fuel and fuel additive that offers excellent performance and reduces air pollution compared to conventional fuels. Its production and use adds little, if any, net release of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere, drastically reducing the potential for global climate changes. Bioethanol, also called ethyl alcohol (C_2H_5OH) was described as a biodegradable, renewable, low in toxicity clear liquid fuel that burns completely in cars without leaving any carbon residue, causes little or no environmental pollution (Jason, 2009; Adelekan, 2010). As a renewable energy source, bioethanol is expected to significantly reduce carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions when the entire fuel cycle is considered and can also replace diesel fuel in Compression Ignition (CI) engines (Brent and Bailey, 1996). Bioethanol can be added to gasoline to improve its combustion, thereby reducing tailpipe emissions of carbon monoxide, CO and unburned hydrocarbons that form smog; and as a neat or pure fuel, bioethanol



has extremely favourable properties that can reduce smog-forming emissions (Wyman, 1996). The global energy usage depends on fossil fuel supply which produces greenhouse gases and pollutants, causing climate change and other environmental problems, (Chien- Lun, 2007) while according to Wyman (1996), the economic and social effects of global climate change would likely pale anything that has occurred in recent times. As pressing as economic issues are, the world is also faced with potentially even greater environmental consequences, if care is not taken with these effects, it might be difficult to reverse. On the other hand, there is growing evidence that global conventional fossil fuel is close to the point where half of the accessible reserves have been depleted; pointing towards the real possibility that production will not be able to sustain the world demand in the near future (Kerr, 2005) and all over the globe, there are several reports on the increase in demand for bioethanol production through the process of fermentation due to the dwindling number of oil reserves resulting in soaring prices of fossil fuels (Brooks, 2008). Bioethanol is being considered as a potential liquid fuel due to the limited amount of natural resources (Masami et al., 2007) and in particular, that it is produced from non-food lignocellulosic waste products, such as wood chips and straw, or non- food crops such as willow, could be an environmentally- friendly alternative (Wyman and Goodman, 1993). Therefore, through a sustained research program and an emerging economic competitiveness, the technology for bioethanol production is poised for immediate widespread commercial applications (Wyman, 1996).

Production of bioethanol from maize agro-waste has been attempted with enzymes from different sources for hydrolysis of lignocellulose and with different organisms for fermentation (Zakpaa *et al.*, 2009). According to Wijffels and Barbosa, (2010), feedstock for producing bioethanol should come from non - food crops or agricultural wastes and residues which are referred to as second



and third generation feedstock that includes lignocellulosic materials (wood straw, grasses), sucrose-based (sugar cane and molasses), starch-based (wheat, corn, barley, sweet sorghum and sweet potato, cassava, yam).