

**EXCHANGE RATE VOLATILITY, FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT
AND MANUFACTURING SECTOR PERFORMANCE IN NIGERIA
(1986 - 2014)**

DAHUNSI Ousda Joseph

**BEING A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
ECONOMICS, IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE AWARD OF THE MASTER OF SCIENCE (MSc.) DEGREE
IN ECONOMICS OF OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE**

2016

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this research work is carried out under my supervision by Ousola Joseph DAHUNSI with the registration number SSP13/14/H0094 in the Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Sciences, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

.....
Dr. O Awomo
(Supervisor)

.....
Date

.....
Prof. P. A Oomla
(Head of Department)

.....
Date

AUTHORISATION

OBAFEM AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

Author: OLUSOLA JOSEPH DAHUNSI

**Title: EXCHANGE RATE VOLATILITY, FOREIGN DIRECT
INVESTMENT AND MANUFACTURING SECTOR PERFORMANCE
IN NIGERIA (1986 – 2014)**

Degree: M Sc. (Economics)

Year: 2016

I, Ousola Joseph DAHUNSI, hereby authorise the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my thesis in part or whole in response to request from individuals and or organisations for the purpose of private study or research.

.....
Signature of Author and Date

DEDICATION

To the only wise God our savior, be glory and majesty, dominion and power, both now and
ever. Amen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Should a horse be ridden upon in my heart, it will be a smooth ride without any obstacle for all that the Lord has done in my life and particularly for the successful completion of this research work. May His name alone be praised. I also appreciate my Supervisor, Dr. Omosola Arawomo, for all his time and efforts towards the completion of this study. I celebrate you sir. In the same vein, I acknowledge all the members of staff in Economics department, Obafemi Awolowo University Ile-Ife for being instrumental to making me a better person in life. May the good Lord bless you all.

I cannot but appreciate my parents, Late Pa. Michael Ayodele Dahunsi and Dns. D O Dahunsi for their parental love, provisions and care. May the Lord reward you bountifully. Also, I appreciate my Uncles, Aunts and their families – Mr. & Mrs. Tunji Augustus, Prof. & Mrs. M O Adeoye, Mr. & Dr. (Mrs) I. A Adeoye, Mr. & Mrs. Shanniyi and Mr. & Mrs. Tunde Adeoye for their supports, provisions, care and love. I pray that the good Lord will reward every act of kindness you have shown to me. I appreciate you all.

Also, I am grateful to my Brothers, Sisters and their families – Mr. & Mrs. Oukayode Adunoye, Pst & Mrs. A S. Dahunsi, Dr. and Mrs. Hodun Gbolahan, Revd & Mrs. Samuel Oadipupo for all the supports I have enjoyed in you. May the Lord shower His blessings upon you all in Jesus name. To all my cousins, friends and classmates that time and space will not permit me to mention, I say ‘Thank You’ from the depth of my heart.

How can I forget the Beulah family - Revd & Mrs. J. A Abegunde and every member of the church for the enormous role that all of you have played throughout the period of my stay in school, a five-letter word is not enough to express my profound gratitude to all of you and I pray that God will reward you abundantly. THANK you all. Oamide Ijinlefeni, a black, bold and beautiful woman, you are priceless. Thank you. To GOD be the Glory!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION	ii
AUTHORISATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
ABSTRACT	xi
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background to the study	1
1.2 Statement of Research Problem	Error! Bookmark not defined
1.3 Research Questions	Error! Bookmark not defined
1.4 Objectives of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined
1.5 Justification of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined
1.6 Scope of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined
1.7 Organisation of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined
CHAPTER TWO	Error! Bookmark not defined
LITERATURE REVIEW	Error! Bookmark not defined
2.1 THEORETICAL LITERATURE	Error! Bookmark not defined
2.1.1 Foreign Direct Investment Theory – The Spillover Theory ..	Error! Bookmark not defined
2.1.2 Exchange Rate and Foreign Direct Investments Theories ..	Error! Bookmark not defined
2.1.3 The Neo-classical Theory	Error! Bookmark not defined
2.2 EMPIRICAL LITERATURE REVIEW	Error! Bookmark not defined
2.2.1 Exchange Rate and Foreign Direct Investments	Error! Bookmark not defined
2.2.2 Exchange Rate Volatility and FDI Investment	23

2.2.3	Exchange Rate Volatility and Manufacturing Industry Performance	31
2.2.4	FDI and Manufacturing Sector Performance.....	34
CHAPTER THREE		Error! Bookmark not defined
METHODOLOGY.....		Error! Bookmark not defined
3.1	Theoretical Framework	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.2	Model Specification.....	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.2.1	Long Run Relationship among Exchange Rate, FDI and Manufacturing Performance. .	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.2.2	Impact of Exchange Rate Volatility on FDI Inflow in Nigeria	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.2.3	Interactive Effect of Exchange Rate Volatility and FDI Inflow in Nigeria	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.3	Technique of Estimation	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.3.1	Unit Root.....	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.3.2	Measure of Exchange Rate Volatility.....	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.3.3	Measure of Total Factor Productivity (TFP)	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.4	Measurement of Variable.....	Error! Bookmark not defined
3.5	Source of Data	Error! Bookmark not defined
CHAPTER FOUR		Error! Bookmark not defined
ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS.....		Error! Bookmark not defined
4.1.1	Descriptive Statistics of Data.....	Error! Bookmark not defined
4.1.2	Correlation Matrix	Error! Bookmark not defined
4.1.3	Trend Analysis of the Data Series.....	Error! Bookmark not defined
4.1.4	Unit Root Tests	Error! Bookmark not defined
4.2	Long Run Relationship among Exchange Rate, FDI and Manufacturing Performance. .	Error! Bookmark not defined
4.3	The Impact of Exchange Rate Volatility on FDI to Manufacturing Performance.	Error! Bookmark not defined
4.4	The Interactive Effect between Exchange Rate Volatility and Manufacturing FDI	Error! Bookmark not defined

CHAPTER FIVE	Error! Bookmark not defined
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS . . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
5.1 Introduction	Error! Bookmark not defined
5.2 Summary.	Error! Bookmark not defined
5.3 Policy Recommendations	Error! Bookmark not defined
5.4 Conclusion.	Error! Bookmark not defined
5.5 Contribution to Knowledge	Error! Bookmark not defined
5.6 Suggestions for Further Research	Error! Bookmark not defined
REFERENCES	Error! Bookmark not defined

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Descriptive Characteristics of the variable	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.2: Correlation Matrix	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.3: The Results of Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Phillips-Perron Tests . . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.4: Lag Order Selection Criteria	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.5a: Statistics for testing the existence of Long run relationship . . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.5b: Pesaran et al. (2001) Critical Value Bounds	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.6: Results of the Error Correction Model (ECM): Long run Coefficients	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.7: Results of the Error Correction Model (ECM): Co-integrating Form	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.8a: Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test:	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.8b: Heteroskedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey. . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.9: Lag Order Selection Criteria	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.10a: Statistics for testing the existence of Long run relationship . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.10b: Pesaran et al. (2001) Critical Value Bounds	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.11: Results of the Error Correction Model (ECM): Long run Coefficients	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.12: Results of the Error Correction Model (ECM): Co-integrating Form	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.13a: Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test:	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.13b: Heteroskedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey. . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.14: Lag Order Selection Criteria	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.15a: Statistics for testing the existence of Long run relationship . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.15b: Pesaran et al. (2001) Critical Value Bounds	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.16: Estimated short run coefficients using the Autoregressive Distributed Lag . . .	Error! Bookmark not defined

Table 4.17a: Breusch- Godfrey Serial Correlation LMTest:	Error! Bookmark not defined
Table 4.17b: Heteroskedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey . .	Error! Bookmark not defined

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1: Trend of manufacturing FDI in Nigeria (1986-2014)	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.2: The Trend of Exchange Rate in Nigeria	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.3: Manufacturing Sector performance proxied with MVA . . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.4: Exchange Rate Volatility obtained through ARCH estimation techniques. . .	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.5: Normality Test for the Model	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.6a: CUSUMtest for stability	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.6b: CUSUMSQ of Squares test for stability	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.7: Normality Test for the Model	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.8a: CUSUMtest for stability	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.8b: CUSUMSQ of Squares test for stability	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.9: Normality Test for the Model	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.10a: CUSUMtest for stability.	Error! Bookmark not defined
Figure 4.10b: CUSUMSQ of Squares test for stability.	Error! Bookmark not defined

ABSTRACT

The study established the long run relationship that exists among exchange rate volatility, foreign direct investment (FDI) and the manufacturing sector performance from 1986 to 2014 and examined the impacts of exchange rate volatility on FDI in Nigeria. It also analysed the interaction of exchange rate volatility and FDI inflow. These were with a view to providing information on the effects of exchange rate volatility and FDI on the manufacturing sector performance in Nigeria.

Secondary data covering the period between 1986 and 2014 were employed in the analysis. Annual data on manufacturing value added, real interest rate, inflation rate, degree of trade openness, gross fixed capital formation, total natural resources were sourced from World Development Indicators (WDI). Also, annual data on manufacturing FDI and monthly data on exchange rate were obtained from Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) statistical bulletin. Data collected were analysed using appropriate descriptive statistics and Autoregressive Distribution Lag (ARDL) regression technique.

The bound test results showed that there exists a long run relationship among exchange rate volatility, FDI inflow and manufacturing sector performance. It was observed that the F-statistic (10.553) is greater than the upper bound (3.61) which connotes the existence of a long run relationship among the variables. The results also showed that in the long run, exchange rate volatility ($t = -55.413$; $p < 0.05$) had negative and significant effect on FDI inflow. In the short run, exchange rate volatility ($t = 209.117$; $p < 0.05$) had a significantly positive effect on manufacturing FDI inflow. The results of the interaction of exchange rate volatility and FDI inflow ($t = 3.348$; $p < 0.05$) showed a positive and significant effect on the manufacturing sector performance.

The study concluded that exchange rate volatility and manufacturing FDI inflow had negative impact on the performance of the manufacturing sector performance in the long run. Also, the effect of the interactions between exchange rate volatility and manufacturing FDI inflow is positive on the manufacturing sector performance in Nigeria between 1986 and 2014

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the study

The manufacturing sector is one that drives industrialisation which helps countries to develop. Developed countries of the world such as United Kingdom, United States, Germany, France, Russia, and China just to mention but a few have walked the path of industrialization to attain all-round development. In the early 1960s, countries like South Korea, Taiwan, Singapore and Hong Kong (the “Asian Tigers”) were considered to be part of the third world but the rapid economic growth of the ‘Tigers’ through their industrialisation experience from the period between 1960s and 1990s has assisted them to successfully achieve development in a manner that no one could have predicted about fifty-five years earlier.

Since independence in 1960, Nigeria being one of the third world countries, has pursued industrialisation with the hope of transforming the economy from a monolithic, inefficient and import-dependent economy to a more dynamic and export-oriented economy, especially exporter of industrial outputs. This aspiration was contained in the successive development plans from the first national development plan (1962-1968) to the fourth national development plan (1981-1985) was further reinforced by the windfall gains from crude oil boom of the 1972/73 and 1979/80 periods (Adeoye, 2005). However, from 1990 till the present period, the only changes that have taken place was just a mere shift in exported products indicating a sign of export substitution from primary agro industry-based exports to primary mining industry-based exports (i.e. crude oil). As a result of this, the industrial sector performance is still far from being adequate and the manufacturing sector has continued to decline in growth and value-added.

In Europe, Japan and other developed countries, large share of foreign capital is concentrated in their manufacturing industries. Thus, capital flow plays a significant role in their developmental process by enhancing the manufacturing sector which serves as a great catalyst for economic growth and development (Akinlo, 2004). The reasons for the increased capital inflow in these countries can be traced to the adoption of liberal economic policies by many countries. However, since 1960s the explosion of capital flow across many developing countries particularly the Asian economies has been made possible through the official direct assistance, grants, soft loan facilities and foreign direct investment (Chirmay and Cadet, 1998).

In Nigeria, favourable economic conditions like large domestic market, abundant natural resources and seemingly low unit labour cost have made the country to be positioned for large inflow of foreign direct investment (FDI). Generally, FDI flow to Nigeria are mostly in oil sector giving the apprehension as regards the benefits from extractive FDI. Hence, since 1970, there has been a significant growth of FDI particularly in the manufacturing sector (MFDI) and the trend has grown from ₦224.8m in 1970 to ₦506.2m in 1975, to ₦1503.9m in 1980 to ₦2278.1m in 1985 and to ₦2810.2m in 1986. Since SAP in 1986, the trend of MFDI inflow that was hitherto noticed still continue to increase from ₦3122.3m in 1987 to ₦6339.0m in 1990 to ₦27668.8 in 1995 to ₦37333.6m in 2000 to ₦133894.5m in 2005 to ₦179275.2m in 2010 and to ₦194160.1m in 2014 (CBN Statistical Bulletin, 2015).

Given the significant roles which FDI inflow can play in the development of industrial sector of developing economies like Nigeria, one important factor that must be reckoned with is the exchange rate (Uwambwen and Ajao, 2012). Exchange rate plays an important role in transactions that involves transfers of funds, movement of goods and services and technology transfers from one country to another. Thus, the real and money shocks are responsible for

exchange rate volatility in emerging countries (Caporale *et al.*, 2009). Exchange rate volatility therefore, influences the total amount of FDI inflows and also the allocation of this investment spending across a range of countries. Hence, it constitutes a major source of worry to both foreign investors and the host countries as it influence the volume of foreign investments that could be undertaken in an economy and subsequently the level of manufacturing activities that could be achieved.

In Nigeria, exchange rate witnessed a radical change from the long operated fixed system that was between the period of 1960s and the first half of the 1980s. During that period, it was observed that naira was relatively stable with respect to the dollar ranging from ₦0.7143 per dollar in 1960 to ₦0.89375 per dollar in 1985. Thereafter, exchange rate regime shifted drastically from the second half of 1986 when structural adjustment programme (SAP) policy was introduced to enhance export promotion thereby making locally made goods cheaper to the rest of the world.

With the introduction of SAP and the subsequent liberalisation of some aspects of Nigeria economy which was accompanied by flexible exchange rate system, the trend that was hitherto observed between naira and dollar was reversed. This is evident from the fact that the exchange rate drastically depreciated from ₦0.89375 per dollar in 1985 to ₦2.020575 per dollar in 1986 and since then, exchange rate has been unstable and has continued to depreciate. As at 2014, the exchange rate stood at ₦158.5526 per dollar (CBN Statistical Bulletin, 2015) and is about ₦400.00 per dollar in 2016.

In conclusion, it cannot be overemphasised that exchange rate and its volatility play a critical role in the ability of the economy to attain optimal level of production in the manufacturing sector. Exchange rate is also an important variable that dictates how much of FDI goes into a

country given its high sensitivity (volatility) to capital movements and market fundamentals. However, FDI inflow which is often accompanied by foreign technology, managerial expertise, and infrastructural development is inevitable for the development of industrial sector in developing countries judging by the role it played in the developmental

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY