

# Investigation of Air Emissions from Optimally Mixed Wood Chips and Palm Kernel Shell Energy Carriers in Portland Cement Manufacturing Plant.

# BY

# NURAIN GBADEBO AREMU

B Tech. (Chemical Engineering) Federal University of Technology, Minna.

# A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULLFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc) IN CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

# FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA.

2015



# OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY POSTGRADUATE THESIS

#### AUTHORIZATION TO COPY

- AUTHOR: Nurain Gbadebo AREMU
- **TITLE:** Investigation of Air Emissions from Optimally Mixed Wood Chips and Palm Kernel Shell Energy Carriers in Portland Cement Manufacturing Plant
- **DEGREE:** M.Sc. (Chemical Engineering)

**YEAR:** 2015

I, Nurain Gbadebo AREMU hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my thesis in parts or in whole in response to request from individuals and organizations for the purpose of private study or research.

Date:

Signature:\_\_\_\_\_



#### CERTIFICATION

We certify that this project was carried out by Nurain Gbadebo AREMU (TP11/12/H/2253) of the Department of Chemical Engineering in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of M.Sc. Degree in Chemical Engineering of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

Prof. J. A. Sonibare	Date
(Supervisor)	IB.
Dr.A.S. Osunleke	Date
(Chief Examiner)	
Opr.	



#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

To God be the glory, the giver of life. My profound gratitude goes to Him for His Love, faithfulness and unmerited favours which He demonstrated towards me throughout the study. May His name be praised for ever.

I use this opportunity to express my appreciation and deep regards to my supervisor, Prof. J.A Sonibare for his exemplary guidance, monitoring and constant encouragement throughout the course of this research work. I am very grateful and may God bless you abundantly. I also use this opportunity to express a deep sense of gratitude to the present and formal Heads of Department Dr. A. S. Osunleke and Dr. E.O. Betiku for creating a conducive environment in the course of the programme.

My sincere gratitude also goes to the PhD students in our laboratory, Mr. Bamidele, Mr. Okedere and Mr. Eleyinafe for the valuable information provided by them in their respective fields, which helped me in completing this task. I sincerely appreciate my Parent, Mr. and Mrs. Aremu, for their love, prayers, moral and financial support. The blessing, help and guidance given by them from time to time shall carry me a long way in the journey of life.

I wish to thank Dr. Abdulramon, Mr. Adegbite, Ayoola's family and Oladosu's family for their tremendous contributions and support both morally and financially towards the completion of this study. Lastly, to my friends and colleagues particularly Akeem, Tosin, Folu, Mrs. Sikiru and other postgraduate students, may almighty God perfect all that concerns you all.



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Title		Page	
Title F	Page		i
Autho	rization to copy		ii
Certifi	ication		iii
Ackno	owledgement		iv
Table	of Contents		v
List of	f Tables		X
List of	f Figures		xi
Abstra	act		xiii
1.0	CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION		
1.1	Background of the Study		1
1.2	Statement of Research Problem		4
1.3	Research Aim and Objectives		4
1.4	Scope of Study		5
1.5	Research Justification		5
2.0	CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1	Biomass		6
	2.1.1 Biomass resources in Nigeria		7
	2.1.2 Agricultural biomass residues		8
	2.1.3 Non-agricultural biomass		10
2.2.	Uses of Biomass for Energy		11
2.3.	Solid Fuel		12
	2.3.1 Benefits of using solid fuels in cement kilns		12
	2.3.2 Classification of solid fuels used in cement kilns		14

## OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

	2.3.3 Biomass conversion techniques and method	15
	2.3.3.1 Biochemical conversion.	17
	2.3.3.2 Thermochemical conversion	19
2.4	Energy crises in Nigeria	19
2.5	Biomass co-firing	22
2.6	Palm Tree	25
	2.6.1 Palm kernel shell	29
	2.6.2 Properties and Uses of palm kernel shell	30
2.7	Forestry Resources	33
	2.7.1 Forest residues	34
	2.7.2 Woodchips	35
2.8	Combustion Processes	40
2.9	Cement Manufacturing Process	40
	2.9.1 The kiln system	44
	2.9.2 Rotary kiln	44
	2.9.2.1 Wet process	47
	2.9.2.2 Semi-wet/semi-dry process	47
	2.9.2.3 Dry process	47
	2.9.3 Functions of cement ingredients	50
2.10	Emission Sources From Cement Plant	50
	2.10.1 Sources or pollutants from cement plant	50
	2.10.2 Emission of particulate matter	51
	2.10.3 Gaseous emission	51
	2.10.3.1 Emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx)	52
	2.10.3.2 Emission of sulphur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	52



	2.10.3.3 Emission of oxides of carbon ( $CO_2$ and $CO$ )	53
	2.10.3.4 Metal compounds	54
	2.10.4 Emission estimate techniques	54
	2.10.4.1 Sampling or direct measurement	54
	2.10.4.2 Mass balance	55
	2.10.4.3 Fuel analysis or other engineering calculations	56
	2.10.5 Emission factor	56
2.11	Air Quality Standards	57
	2.11.1 World Health Organization Standards	58
	2.11.2 World Bank Standards	58
	2.11.3 National Ambient Air Quality Standard	59
2.12	Impacts of Air Pollutants from Cement Manufacturing Plant	61
	2.12.1 Impacts on health	61
	2.12.2 Visibility reduction	62
	2.12.3 Impacts on vegetation	62
	2.12.4 Impact on material and property	63
3.0.	CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1.	Materials	64
	3.1.1 Consumables	64
C	3.1.2 Non consumables	64
3.2	Method	64
	3.2.1 Sample acquisition	64
	3.2.2 Sample mixing	64
	3.2.3 Experimental Procedure	66
3.3	Gaseous Emission Analysis	68

## OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

3.4	Particulates Measurements	68
3.5	Ambient Air Measurement	69
4.0	<b>CHAPTER FOUR:</b> RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Presentation of Results	70
	4.1.1 Emission Factor of SO <sub>2</sub> in the fuel	70
	4.1.2 Emission Factor of NO in the fuel	70
	4.1.3 Emission Factor of H <sub>2</sub> S in the fuel	70
	4.1.4 Emission Factor of CO in the fuel	71
	4.1.5 Emission Factor of CO <sub>2</sub> in the fuel	71
	4.1.6 Emission Factor of NO <sub>x</sub> in the fuel	73
	4.1.7 Emission Factor of HC in the fuel	73
	4.1.8 TSP in the fuel	73
	4.1.9 Flame temperature of the fuel	75
4.2	Discussion of results	77
	4.2.1 Discussion of SO <sub>2</sub> emission factor	77
	4.2.2 Discussion of result for NO emissions factor in the fuel	77
	4.2.3 Discussion of result for $H_2S$ emissions factor in the fuel	78
	4.2.4 Discussion of CO emission factor	78
	4.2.5 Discussion of result for emission factor of $NO_x$ in the fuel	79
	4.2.6 Discussion of result for emission factor of HC in the fuel	80
	4.2.7 Discussion of TSP	81
	4.2.8 Flame Temperature	81



### 5.0 CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.2 Recommendations 83 REFERENCES 84 APPENDIX A 107 APPENDIX B 116 APPENDIX C 128	5.1 Conclusions	82
APPENDIX A 107 APPENDIX B 116 APPENDIX C 128	5.2 Recommendations	83
APPENDIX C 116 APPENDIX C	REFERENCES	84
APPENDIX C	APPENDIX A	107
HOLOWOUNHHERS	APPENDIX B	116
	APPENDIX C	



# LIST OF TABLES

Tal	le Title	Page
2.1	Standards of ambient air quality	60
3.1	Mixing code	65
4.1	Emission factor of air pollutant	72
4.2	Emission factor of TSP	74
4.3	Time taken and flame temperature	76



# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
2.1	Tons of alternative fuel required to replace 1 ton of coal	16
2.2	The sequence of extraction of palm kernel shell from fresh fruit bunches	28
2.3	Palm kernel shell	32
2.4	Wood chips	39
2.5	Cement production process	43
2.6	Rotary kiln	49
3.1	Experimental set up	67
	BHEMMANOLOW	



### ABSTRACT

This study determined the best mixing ratio of wood chips and palm kernel shell combination for air emissions. It also characterized the air emission from open burning of wood chips and palm kernel shell and determined the emission factors of air pollutants from the fuel mixed.

About 95 g of palm kernel shell was mixed with 5 g of wood chips and it was subjected to open burning. During burning, the generated air emission was analyzed for air pollutants including carbon monoxide (CO), nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), hydrocarbons ( $C_xH_y$ ), hydrogen sulphide (H<sub>2</sub>S) and air particulate matter while the analysis of the gaseous pollutants was carried out using the E- instrument E8500 combustion analyzer. The particulate concentration was determined with the aid of the gravimetric method. Emission factor (mg/kg) of each of the identified air pollutants were calculated from the combination of the measured pollutants concentrations, quantity of mixture of palm kernel shell and wood chips burned and the time required for complete burning of predetermined weight. During each of the experimental runs, weather tracker was used to determine the micro climatic parameter. The experiment was repeated for other wood chips and palm kernel shell mixing ratios with the weight of the wood chips increased in a step of 5 g while that of palm kernel shell was decreased with the step. The air emission from burning of 100 % wood chips and 100 % palm kernel shell was also investigated.

Results showed that CO had its highest emission factor of 1166.06 mg/kg at sample D and its least emission factor of 34.85 mg/kg at sample J. At sample D and J, the emission factor of NO<sub>2</sub> were 22.97 mg/kg and 0.36 mg/kg respectively, while hydrocarbons had 2552.24 mg/kg has



highest emission factor at sample D and its lowest emission factor of 31.06 mg/kg at sample J. It was observed that SO<sub>2</sub> had its highest emission factor of 8.03 mg/kg at sample D with its least emission factor of 0 mg/kg. Nitrogen dioxide (NO) had its highest emission factor of 22.97 mg/kg at sample D while 0.36 mg/kg has the least emission factor at sample J. It was observed that H<sub>2</sub>S had its highest emission factor of 5.19 mg/kg at sample D while the lowest emission factor of 0.08 mg/kg was recorded at sample J. The total suspended particle recorded was 31 mg/kg for the highest emission factor at sample F while 1 mg/kg was recorded at sample A and N for lowest emission factor.

This study established the best mixing ratio of wood chips and palm kernel shell to be sample J, based on air emission as an alternative source of energy in the cement manufacturing industry.



#### CHAPTER ONE

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background to the Study

Cement is a commodity being produced in over 150 countries of the world (Engin *et al.*, 2005). It is an essential input into the production of concrete needed for building purposes and other construction related activities. According to Madlool *et al.* (2011), world demand for cement was predicted to increase from 2283 million tones in 2005 to about 2836 million tones in the year 2010. The growth witnessed in recent days is largely driven by rising production in emerging economies and developing countries, especially in Asia. However, its production is greatly energy consumed. In Nigeria, cement industry accounting for 63.6 % of the West African region's cement output in 2011. Daily production is in excess of sales having recorded a zero importation from January 2012 to date and is in the process of formalizing the exportation of cement to Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) and other neighboring countries. With the new Ibeshe cement factory by Dangote Group the country's production capacity is expected to hit 39.4 million metric tones per annum thereby recognizing Nigeria as a cement producing country.

The cement sub-sector is one of the most energy consuming industries and it consumes approximately 12 % - 15 % of total industrial energy use (Madlool *et al.*, 2011 and Avami *et al.*, 2007). Since the industrial sector plays a significant role in global energy consumption, its demand can be said to be majorly determined by population and socio-economic activities of a country. In Nigeria, approximately 40 to 50 per cent of cement manufacturing cost is energy related where each tonne of cement requires 60 - 130 kg of fuel oil or its equivalent and about 105 kWh of



electricity, depending on the cement variety and process type employed (Worrell *et al.*, 2001). Ohunakin *et al.* (2013), stated that the unit cost of fuel component for cement production is as low as \$ 6 per tonne in China as opposed to \$ 30 per tone in Nigeria, this has contributed largely to the high and persistent rise in unit cost of cement production. Since the associated energy used in the item production is extensively based on fossil fuels, environmental issues are further heightened and are of great importance (Schneider *et al.*, 2011 and Rasul *et al.*, 2005). There is thus the need for the adoption of biomass energy in cement production in Nigeria.

The strong environmental concerns associated with emissions in cement industry have attracted much attention worldwide. Developing countries are confronted with the great challenge of controlling the atmospheric pollution, especially in the rapidly growing urban regions. Air pollution is an important problem in industrial areas which may have adverse effects on the health of the population. Air pollution is due to the discharge of toxic fumes, gases, smoke and dusts into the atmosphere (Park *et al.*, 2005).

Air pollution in urban regions is receiving increasing importance worldwide, especially pollution gaseous and particulate trace metals (Begum *et al.*, 2004 and Krivacsy *et al.*, 2006). Several epidemiological studies have indicated a strong association between elevated concentrations of inhalable particles and increased mortality and morbidity. (Namdeo and Bell, 2005). Particulate matter pollution in the atmosphere primarily consists of micron and sub-micron particles from anthropogenic and natural sources. The characterization of fine particles and emission factors has become an important priority of regulators, and researchers due to their potential impact on health, climate, global warming, and long- range transport (Dockery *et al.*, 1993). Different industrial activities are degrading various environmental components like water, air, soil and vegetation (Bishnoi *et al.*, 2004 and Sharma *et al.*, 2009). Cement industry is one of the 17 most polluting industries listed by the central pollution control board. It is the major source



of particulate matter, SOx, NOx, HC and  $CO_2$  emissions. Cement dust contains heavy metals like chromium, nickel, cobalt, lead and mercury. These pollutants are hazardous to the biotic environment with impact on vegetation, human health, animal health and ecosystem (Baby *et al.*, 2008).

It has been estimated that  $CO_2$  emissions from the cement manufacturing contribute 5 % of global  $CO_2$  emissions and hence it is a major impact on the environmental threat and global warming (Benhelal *et al.*, 2013). Now, the cement industry is close to the limit of what can be achieved through technical improvements. In order to keep its competitiveness, the cement industry tries to use alternative fuels. Although coal, petroleum coke, and other fossil fuels have been traditionally burned in cement kilns, because of the high energy usage and high environmental impact of the process, many cement companies have turned to energy-rich alternative fuels.

Furthermore, due to their high burning temperatures, cement kilns are well-suited for accepting and efficiently utilizing a wide range of wastes that can present a disposal challenge. This integrated activity offers additional revenues to the cement industry as the disposal of wastes normally receives a financial incentive (Andrade *et al*, 2007). Today, many plants meet between 20-70 % of their energy requirements with alternative fuels such as palm kernel shell, wood chips, animal meal, waste tyres, waste oils, solvents, plastics, paper, wood, rubber, sewage, refused derived fuels, etc (Kookos *et al.*, 2011). This type of energy recovery conserves not only the valuable fossil fuels for future generations while safely destroying wastes that would otherwise be deposited in landfills but also reduces the fuel expenses and emission generation.

Alternative fuels have been used in cement industry in order to replace fossil fuels and reduces emissions of Criteria Air Contaminants (CAC), which contributes to long-term cost savings for cement plants during cement production. The fuel and the energy crises of the late



1970's and early 1980 as well as accompanying concerns about the depletion of the world's nonrenewable resources provided the incentive to seek alternatives to conventional, petroleum based fuels (Peterson and Saxon, 1996).