

**A STUDY OF TECHNOLOGICAL CAPABILITIES AND INNOVATIONS IN
THE FURNITURE MAKING INDUSTRY IN SOUTHWESTERN NIGERIA**

BY

JIDE JOSEPH OBEMBE

B.Sc. (Economics) University of Ife

MBA (Management) University of Ibadan

M.Tech (Management) FUTA

**A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR
THE AWARD OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT,**

**AFRICAN INSTITUTE FOR SCIENCE POLICY AND INNOVATION, OBAFEMI
AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY,**

ILE IFE, NIGERIA

JUNE, 2013

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POST GRADUATE THESIS**AUTHORIZATION TO COPY****AUTHOR:** Jide Joseph OBEMBE**TITLE:** A Study of Technological Capabilities and Innovations in the Furniture Making Industry in Southwestern Nigeria**DEGREE:** Ph.D. (Technology Management)**YEAR:** 2013.

I, Jide Joseph OBEMBE hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi library to copy my thesis, in whole or in part, in response to request from individual researchers and organizations for the purpose of private study or research.

Signature..... **Date**.....

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this research work was conducted by OBEMBE Jide Joseph and had been read and approved as meeting the requirements of African Institute for Science Policy and Innovation, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

Professor M. O. Ilori

(Supervisor)

Professor J. B. Akarakiri

(Co-Supervisor)

Professor O. O. Jegede

DEDICATION

This report is dedicated to the Almighty God and Oyeyinka, Boluwatife, Omobolanle, and Oluwamayomikun.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All glory be to God Almighty, the author of all things, who saw me through this course of study. My special appreciation goes to my able indefatigable supervisor Professor M.O Ilori for his interest, guidance, inspiration, constant accessibility and brotherly advice all times to see the project to perfect completion. I am grateful to Prof. J.B. Akarakiri for his interest, availability all times, attention and advice to this research work to come to fruition.

I am grateful to Prof. O. O. Jegede, the Director of African Institute for Science Policy and Innovation for his valuable advice and encouragement throughout the course of this study. I am also grateful to my lecturers in the persons of Prof. T. O. Oyeibisi, Prof. F.E. Ogbimi, Dr. I. O. Akinwunmi, Dr. I. A. Irefin and Dr. (Mrs.) G. O. Binuyo for their advice, motivation and inspiration throughout the course of this study.

I am greatly indebted to Dr. A. O. Abimbola, Prof. E. E. Okoko, Dr. J. O. Ojo, Dr. B. A. Oluwale for their contributions to the success of this course by carefully reading the manuscript of the study. I also thank Dr. M. A. Adegbite, Brother A. Olayinka for their innumerable contributions to the success of this study.

I thank my wife, who stood by me morally, spiritually and financially towards the glorious success of the programme. May God Almighty continuously bless you, Amen. I also thank Prof. S. O. Agunbiade and Mr. G. O. Abe who prayed, encouraged and supported me in this research work. Thank you all, God bless - Amen.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Title Page	i
Authorization to Copy	ii
Certification	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xiv
Abstract	xvii

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background to the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Research Questions	3
1.4 Objectives of the Study	4
1.5 Significance of the Study	4
1.6 Scope of the Study	5
1.7 Expected Contribution to Knowledge	5
1.8 Definition of Terms	5

CHAPTER TWO: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	8
2.1 Science and Technology	8
2.2 Evolution of Technology	10
2.3 Technological Capability Building	11
2.3.1 Channels of building technological capability by firms	12
2.3.2 Classification of technological capabilities	13
2.4 Technology Transfer and Acquisition	16
2.4.1 Technological acquisition	20
2.5 Innovation	20
2.5.1 Types of innovation	22
2.5.2 The innovation process	23
2.5.3 Innovation models	24
2.5.4 Factors determining the success or failure of innovations	25

2.6	Technological Learning	26
2.6.1	Indigenous learning	29
2.6.2	Learning process	30
2.7	Furniture Making	31
2.7.1	The growth of modern furniture	32
2.7.2	Alternative material for furniture in place of wood	34
2.7.3	Furniture styles	34
2.8	Evolution of Furniture Making in Nigeria	35
2.9	Furniture Industry in other Countries	37
2.9.1	Furniture industry in Canada	37
2.9.2	Furniture in the United States of America	37
2.9.3	Furniture making in China	38
2.9.4	Furniture making in Romania	38
2.10	The Informal Sector	39

2.10.1	Characteristics of the informal sector	41
2.11	Cluster	42
2.11.1	Attributes of clusters	43
2.11.2	Model of clusters	45
2.11.3	Advantages of clustering	47
2.11.4	Clustering in Nigeria	48

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	49
3.1 Theoretical Framework	49
3.1.1 Endogenous growth theory	49
3.2 Conceptual Framework	50
3.3 Study Variable and Measurement	50
3.3.1 Socio economic variable	52
3.3.2 Assessment of technological capability building	52
3.3.2.1 Production capability	52
3.3.2.2 Investment capability	52
3.3.2.3 Strategic marketing capacity	53
3.3.2.4 Linkage capacity	54
3.3.2.5 Minor change/ major change capacity	54
3.4 Nature and Extent of Innovation	54
3.5 Technological Learning	55

3.6	Effects of Technological Capability on Performance	56
3.7	The Study Area	56
3.8	Population of the Study	56
3.9	Sample Population	56
3.10	Sampling Technique	57
3.11	Research Instruments	57
3.11.1	Design of questionnaire	57
3.11.2	Data collection	57
3.11.3	Validation of instruments	58
3.11.4	Administration of questionnaire	58
3.12	Analysis of Data Collected	58
3.12.1	Descriptive statistics	58
3.12.2	Analysis of variance (ANOVA)	59

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	60
4.1 Number of Questionnaires Administered and Retrieved in the Study	60
4.2 Socio-Economic Characteristics of Respondent	62
4.2.1 Respondents' age	62
4.2.2 Educational qualifications of respondents	62
4.2.3 Socio-economic characteristics of the journeymen and apprentices	64
4.3 Technological Capability in the Furniture Firms	67
4.3.1 Investment capability	67
4.3.2 Marketing capability	76
4.3.3 Linkage capability	85
4.3.4 Minor and major changes capabilities	90
4.4 Types of Innovation in the Furniture Industries in Nigeria	94
4.5 Technological Learning	97

4.5.1	Modes of apprenticeship	98
4.5.2	Language of instruction during apprenticeship	103
4.5.3	Modes of learning furniture works by apprentices	108
4.5.4	Factors that aid apprentices' learning	111
4.6	Effects of Technological Capabilities, Innovations and Clustering on the Performance of Firms in Furniture Industry	113

CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	124
5.1 Summary	124
5.2 Conclusion	126
5.3 Recommendations	126
5.4 Suggestions for Further Studies	127
REFERENCES	128
APPENDICES	142
I. Questionnaire for master furniture makers	142
II. Questionnaire for skilled worker, join man and apprentices	162
III. Full Tables of summarized rating tables	165
IV. The results of Analysis of variance and duncan multiple range tests	174
V. Tables changed to graph	184

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Technology transfer processes	19
3.1 Conceptual framework of technological capability building theory	51
4.1 Amount capital invested by furniture makers at the start of their business	68
4.2 Sources of capital for master furniture makers	69
4.3 Work experience as a measure of master furniture makers' capability	75

Figure	Page
4.4 Number of modifications carried out per month	92
4.5 Methods used by master furniture makers to learn furniture works	99
4.6 Monthly profits made by furniture makers due to their innovation jobs	118

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Classification of nations based on technological capability	17
2.2	LDCs' informal small enterprise clusters	50
4.1	Numbers of copies of questionnaire administered and retrieved in the study areas	61
4.2	Socio-economic characteristics of master furniture makers in Lagos, Oyo, Ondo and Ekiti states	63
4.3	Socio-economic characteristics of join man and apprentices in the furniture industry in Southwestern Nigeria	65
4.4	Workshop categories of master furniture makers	66
4.5	Result of feasibility study carried out by master furniture makers before starting of business	71
4.6	Recognition of common and advanced tools needed by master furniture makers	72
4.7	Rating of some investment capability of master furniture makers in Lagos, Oyo, Ondo and Ekiti states	74
4.8(a)	Rating of marketing capability parameters of master furniture makers in the study states	77

Table	Page
4.8(b) Rating of marketing capability parameters of master furniture makers in the study states	78
4.8(c) Rating of marketing capability parameters of master furniture makers in the study states	79
4.9 Results of the modes of contact of furniture makers with customers	81
4.10 Results of the modes of contact of furniture makers with suppliers of raw materials	82
4.11 Results of the sources of raw materials and equipment for furniture Makers	83
4.12 Sources of information about raw materials	84
4.13 Ratings of linkage levels of master furniture makers with knowledge institutions and other organization	86
4.14 Reasons for weak linkages of master furniture makers with institutions	87
4.15 Institutional supports to furniture makers	89
4.16 Minor change capability: engagement in adaptation modification of furniture	91
4.17 Rating of the Reasons for engaging in minor modifications by the	93

Table	Page
furniture makers	
4.18 Sources of idea for adaptation and innovation	95
4.19 Type of innovation occurring in furniture workshops in the study area	96
4.20 Duration of learning by master furniture makers on the job training	100
4.21 Duration of learning by master furniture makers through formal education	101
4.22 Modes of apprenticeship by master furniture makers	102
4.23 Knowledge diffusion among master furniture makers	104
4.24 Number of years master furniture makers spent in company/ industry	105
4.25 Number of years master furniture makers spent in privately owned workshop before setting up their workshops	106
4.26 Language of instruction used by master furniture makers	107
4.27 The time spent by the join man in learning furniture works	109
4.28 Methods of Learning the job by join man and apprentices	110

Table	Page
4.29 Factors that aid apprentices' learning as perceived by master furniture makers in southwestern Nigeria	112
4.30 Result of the number of furniture products produced monthly through innovations and modification/adaptation	114
4.31 Result of rating of Patronage by customer as a result innovative activities of the furniture makers	117
4.32 Areas of cooperation of furniture making industry in the cluster villages	119
4.33 Rating of the benefits derived by furniture makers from clustering	121
4.34 Rating of the cluster attributes within furniture making industry	122

ABSTRACT

The study assessed the level of technological capabilities in the furniture making industry in Southwestern Nigeria. It also examined the nature and extent of innovation as well as the factors influencing technological learning and innovations in the industry. Furthermore, it evaluated the effects of technological capabilities, innovations and clustering on the performance of the firms in furniture industry. This was with a view to recommending policy measures to enhance the innovative performance of the furniture makers.

The study covered Lagos, Oyo, Ondo and Ekiti States because of the predominance of the industry in these selected locations. The sample population consisted of 319 master furniture makers and 352 join man and apprentices. The questionnaire administered to master furniture makers/companies and elicited information on the bio-data of the respondents, their technological capabilities, the nature and extent of innovations generated and factors influencing technological learning and innovations in the industry. It also elicited information on the effects of the innovations on the performance of the furniture makers. The second set of questionnaires on the skilled join man and apprentices elicited information on the technological learning and the language used in instructing them. Personal observation and focus group discussion were employed to obtain more information on clustering advantages. Both descriptive and inferential statistics techniques were employed for data analysis.

The result showed that majority (75.5%) of the master furniture makers expended between ₦10000 and ₦200,000 in setting up their workshops. About 24.5% of the respondents invested between ₦200,001 and ₦2,000,000 in setting up their workshops. This showed that investment capability level of the industry was very low. The sources of investment of master furniture makers were mainly from

personal savings (74.0%), and family input (18.8%). The furniture makers had strong linkages with customers (4.25) and raw materials suppliers (4.03) and their associations (3.9) but little or no linkage with technical school, polytechnic, university and research institution. Learning in the industry was mainly through on the job training (91.5%). About 2.2% learnt furniture jobs through formal education while the remaining 6.3% learnt furniture skills through both formal education and on the job training. Over 67.8% of the respondents reported to have spent 3 - 4 years in learning their furniture skills, while about 14.7% claimed to have spent 4 - 5 years. Majority (76.7%) of the master furniture makers were trained using Yoruba language and also used Yoruba language to train their apprentices. There was a significant difference ($F = 10.82$; $P \leq 0.001$) among the reasons for embarking on modifying furniture products. These reasons include creativity, intrinsic, motivation, competition, social among others.

In conclusion, the furniture makers possess high minor change capability which had justified some innovations. However, their investment and linkage capabilities were very low. Policy measures to enhance innovative performance of the furniture makers were established, such as establishment of furniture clusters by states and local governments.

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Technology is very critical for all activities in converting raw materials into commercial uses. Ilori (2006) described technology as systematic knowledge for the manufacture of products, for the application of processes or for rendering of services, including any integrally associated managerial and marketing techniques. This definition implies that there is no industry without technology. Therefore industry operates effectively and efficiently with the acquisition of technology. African countries lag clearly behind developed countries when it comes to accumulating technology capabilities, and upgrading.

Nigeria is the most populous country in Africa (Akintoye, 2008). The population totaled, 88,992,201 in 1991 and it was 140,003,542 in 2006 (National Bureau of Statistics (NBS, 2007). More than 50% of the Nigeria population is engaged in agriculture. So also the country is one of Africa's most endowed economies, with abundance of both natural and human resources (Olufemi, 2005).

The Nigerian economy has grown out of recession to the path of recovery (NBS, 2007). There was a positive real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth rate between 2003 and 2007 but without a definite pattern. The real GDP which stood at 10.23% in 2003, rose significantly to 10.48% in 2004, but fell to 6.22% in 2007 (NBS, 2007).

From the analyses of both input and output indicators of technology development in Nigeria, it is clear that the country still has a long way to go to improve its technological capabilities, absorptive capacity and macroeconomic policy (Adewuyi, 2000). The output of research and development (R&D) is at a very low level. This is closely related to the level of

funding and the existing gap between research and development (R&D), institutions and the beneficiary as well as the industrial sector. For National Capacity to increase tremendously there is need for mutually-beneficial interaction among Universities, research institutes and private industries to develop the science and technology (S&T) base of the country (Adewuyi, 2000).

The manufacturing sector of the Nigerian economy has not been performing well. The output from the sector declined in 2004, as the index of manufacturing production fell by 1.0 percent. Production in the sub-sector was constrained by a number of factors, among which were: low aggregate demand, high cost of production, deficient infrastructural facilities and the relatively poor quality of “Made in Nigeria” goods. Investments under the small and medium industries equity investment scheme (SMIEIS) decreased from N4.67 billion in 2003 to N1.46 billion in 2004 (CBN, 2004).

The formal sector of the Nigeria economy depends mostly on imported technology. It has not performed impressively in recent years. This was evident from its fluctuation between growth and decline within the past three decades (Central Bank of Nigeria CBN, 2005). Between 1980 and 1985, the GDP registered negative growth of -3.4% per annum; however, between 1987 and 1995, it grew by 3.5% per annum. In 1998 and 1999, the GDP growth was 2.4% and 2.7% respectively. However, it fell below the minimum 3.0% target for the year 2000. The average industrial capacity utilization in the same year stood at 31%, representing a marginal increase of 2% over the 1998 figure National Bureau of Statistics (NBS, 2007).

The existence of low capacity utilization in the Nigerian industrial sector could be attributed to unavailability of spare parts. This makes industries dependent on imported technology, and not being able to replace the spare parts of old machinery when necessary hampers growth. Such machines become idle especially when these spare parts are not available in the market and their foreign manufacturers have advanced beyond such technology (Akerle,

2003). There is also the problem of lack of needed infrastructures for the economy to grow, especially power, road and water supply.

Imported technologies may be foreign to the recipient countries, thereby difficult to maintain. The non-availability of appropriate expertise to man the technology and the designed technologies that are not friendly to the environment may lead to higher running cost and inefficiency of the equipment imported. According to Stewart, (1982), the use of advanced country's technology often leads to advanced country's techniques of management.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Nigeria is self-reliant in furniture manufacturing because of the abundance of human and material resources. The industry has produced many innovations; however, there is not much documented information about the characteristics of these innovations. Similarly, there is a dearth of information on the technological capability of the operators of the industry in Nigeria. Many of the firms in the industry exist as stand-alone and in cluster forms. Also, the effects of clustering on the technological capabilities and innovations of the firms have not been established, hence the study.

1.3 Research Questions

The following research questions are addressed in the study:

- (i) What are the technological capabilities possessed by the furniture making industry in southwestern Nigeria?
- (ii) What are the nature and extent of innovations generated by the industry?
- (iii) What are the factors influencing technological learning and innovations in the industry?

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng

OBAFEMI AWOLowo UNIVERSITY