DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL FOR CONFLICT RESOLUTION IN THE REQUIREMENTS ENGINEERING PROCESS OF SOFTWARE SYSTEMS

BY

Ishaya Peni GAMBO

 $\hbox{B.Sc. Computer Science, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto}\\$

M.Sc. Computer Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife.

A THESIS PRESENTED TO THE

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF TECHNOLOGY

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

ILE-IFE, NIGERIA

IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D.) IN COMPUTER SCIENCE

2016

CERTIFICATION

I, GAMBO Ishaya Peni with the registration number TP10/11/H/0358 in the Departme of Computer Science and Engineering, Faculty of Technology, Obafemi Awolowo University, certify that this is an original research carried out by me under the supervision of:		
Professor H. A. Soriyan	 Date	
Project Supervisor	Dute	
Dr. A. I. Oluwaranti Chief Examiner	 Date	

DEDICATION

This research work is dedicated to God Almighty who has made available the life, time and resources to start and complete this work successfully. Also, to my family for their love, patience, supports and prayers throughout the period of my studies and research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would first like to thank my motivating supervisor Prof. H. A Soriyan for all your help and support during the years leading up to the completion of this thesis. You had every right to give up on me, but you still stood by me. You have indeed done a lot for me. Your patience, objective criticism, guidance, and good advice are what made this possible. Thank you! Let me also acknowledge my indefatigable Head of Department, Dr. A. I. Oluwaranti for your good will, concern and significant role in getting this work done.

I would like to thank my beloved wife Mrs. Gambo Omobola for the spiritual, financial and moral support you gave all through the study. You are indeed God's gifts to me. You are a wonderful 'darling'! I will not forget to also acknowledge my two beautiful damsels: Silavatka Glory and Silaneska Grace. I equally want to acknowledge members of the Information Systems Research Group (ISRG): Dr. R.N. Ikono, Dr. R. G. Iroju, Miss T. O. Omodunbi, Mr. F. R. Famutimi, Mr. O. A. Afolabi, Mr. S. T. Yange, Mr. A. O. Olalekan, Mr. A. A. Adewunmi, Mr. A. Chris and others too numerous to mention on this page. Thanks for being there to support and criticise the work. Thanks a lot to Dr. B. I. Akhigbe for his great support.

I am not forgetting my lecturers who taught me at both M.Sc. and PhD level: Prof. E. R. Adagunodo, Prof. G. A. Aderounmu, Dr. O. A. Odejobi, Dr. B. S. Afolabi, Dr. A. O. Ajayi, Dr. E. A. Olajubu and Dr. P. A. Idowu. They all groomed me and made sure I measure up to the standard of my contemporaries in the international community. To all the other Lecturers in the Department, whose knowledge, contributions and positive criticisms have helped a lot; I deeply appreciates you all. Finally, I would like to thank my parents, brothers and sisters. You all supported me when things were hard and cheered me on when things went well.

AUTHORISATION TO COPY

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

Author: Ishaya Peni GAMBO

Title: Development of a Model for Conflict Resolution in the Requirements

Engineering Process of Software Systems

Degree: Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Computer Science

Year: 2016

Signature Date
private study or research.
part or in whole in response to request from individuals and/or organisations for the purpose of

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITL	E PAGE	I
CERT	TIFICATION	III
DEDI	CATION	IV
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	V
TABI	LE OF CONTENTS	VII
LIST	OF TABLES	XII
LIST	OF FIGURES	XIII
	OF ALGORITHMS	XV
LIST	OF ACRONYMS	XVI
ABST	TRACT	XIX
CHAI	PTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
1.1	Synopsis	1
1.2	Basic Concept	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.3	Research Problem Statement	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4	Research Questions	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.5	Rationale for the Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.6	Aim and Objectives of the Research	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.7	Research Methodology	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.8	Scope of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.9	Structure of the Thesis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAI	PTER TWO: BACKGROUND CONC	EPT AND LITERATURE REVIEW
		ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
2.1	Overview	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2	Engineering Cycle	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.3	Software Processes	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.3	.1 Process Modeling	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4	Software Development Process	Error! Bookmark not defined.

2.5	Software engineering	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.6	Requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.6.1	Different Levels of Requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.6.2	Stakeholders	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.7	Requirements Engineering	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.7.1	Division of Requirements Engineering Domain	n Error! Bookmark not
defir	ned.	
2.7.2	Importance of Requirements Engineering	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.7.3	Types of requirements tasks	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.8	Requirements Engineering Approaches	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.8.1	Use Case Approach	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.8.2	Goal-Based Approach	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.8.3	Viewpoint-oriented Approach	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.9	Requirements Engineering Process	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.10	Requirements Engineering Process Models	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.10.	1 Activity-centered Process Models	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.10.	2 Attribute-centered process models	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.10.	.3 Technique-centered process models	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.11	Requirements Prioritisation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.11.	1 The Usefulness of Requirements Prioritisati	on Error! Bookmark not
defir	ned.	
2.11.	2 Significance of Requirements Prioritisation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.12	Requirements Engineering Process and Informat	ion Systems DevelopmentError!
Bookm	ark not defined.	
2.13	Health Information System and Requirement Eng	gineering Process Error!
Bookm	ark not defined.	
2.14	Made in Nigeria Primary Healthcare Information	System (MINPHIS) Error!
Bookm	ark not defined.	
2.15	Pharmacy Information System	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.16	Conflicts	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.16.	1 Defining Requirements Conflicts	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.16.	2 Types of Requirements Conflicts	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.17	Classification of Requirements Conflicts	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.17.	1 Logical requirements conflict management	Error! Bookmark not defined.

2.17	7.2	Qualitative requirements conflict management	ent Error! Bookmark not
defi	ined.		
2.18	Con	flict Management	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.19	Req	uirements Conflict Analysis and Resolution	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.20	Con	flict Management in Requirements Engineer	ing Error! Bookmark not
define	ed.		
2.20	0.1	Requirements Partitioning	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.20	0.2	Interaction Identification	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.20	0.3	Interaction Focus	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.20	0.4	Resolution Identification	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.20	0.5	Resolution Selection	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.20	0.6	Requirements Update	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.21	Con	flict Resolution Techniques in Requirements	Engineering Error! Bookmark
not de	efined	l.	
2.22	Lim	itations of Existing Techniques	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.22	2.1	The WinWin System	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.22	2.2	Conflict-Oriented Requirement Analysis Fr	amework (CORA) Error!
Boo	okma	rk not defined.	
2.22	2.3	Viewpoints	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.22	2.4	S-COST	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.22	2.5	Aspect Oriented Requirement Engineering	(AORE) Error! Bookmark not
defi	ined.		
2.22	2.6	Information retrieval approaches	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.22	2.7	Other Existing Work	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.23	The	Delphi Technique	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.23	3.1	Modified Delphi Technique	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.24	Clu	stering Analysis and Techniques in Requirem	nents Engineering Error!
Bookn	nark	not defined.	
2.25	Req	uirements Clustering	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAP	PTER	THREE: METHODOLOGY ERROR! I	BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
3.1	Ove	erview	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2	Res	earch Philosophy	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3	Stud	dy Design	Error! Bookmark not defined.

3.4	Research Approach	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5	Data Collection Technique and Analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5.	1 The Interview	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5.2	2 Observation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5.3	3 Secondary Data (Inspected Organisational Doc	cuments) Error! Bookmark not
defi	ned.	
3.5.4	4 Case Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6	Developed Model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6.	Further Description of the Developed Model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6.2	2 The Weight Scale	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.6.3	3 Computational aspects of the Developed Mode	el Error! Bookmark not
defi	ned.	
3.7	Basic Notations of the Developed Model's Comp	ponents Error! Bookmark not
define	d.	
3.7.1	Defining Component of the Developed Model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.8	Pre-processing and Weighting of Requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.9	Conflict identification with Kendall's Coefficien	t of Concordance Error!
Bookn	nark not defined.	
3.10	Quality Function Deployment Analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.11	Specification of the Developed Model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.11	.1 Class Diagram of the Developed Model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.11	.2 The Model's Sequence Diagram	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.11	.3 Activity Diagram of the Proposed Model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAP'	TER FOUR: MODEL FORMULATION AND IN	MPLEMENTATION ERROR!
BOOK	KMARK NOT DEFINED.	
4.1	Overview	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2	K Means Clustering Algorithm	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.3	Model Implementation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.4	Application of K-Means Clustering Algorithm to	Data Set Error! Bookmark not
define	d.	
4.5	Scenario Formulation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAP'	TER FIVE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT
DEFIN	NED.	

5.1	Overview	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.2	Results	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.2	2.1 Empirical Analysis of Cluster Output	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.2	2.2 Implication of Empirical Analysis of Cluster	Output Error! Bookmark not
def	fined.	
5.2	2.3 Resolution on Final Cluster Output Used	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.3	Model Validation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.4	Discussion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHA	PTER SIX: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT
DEF	INED.	
6.1	Overview	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.2	Conclusion	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.3	Contribution to Knowledge	Error! Bookmark not defined.
6.4	Future Work	Error! Bookmark not defined.
REFE	ERENCES ERROR!	BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
	ERENCES ERROR! ndix A: Lists of users' requirements (From the var	
Appe		
Appe. Book	ndix A: Lists of users' requirements (From the var	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error!
Appe Book Appe	ndix A: Lists of users' requirements (From the var	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error!
Appe Book Appe Book	ndix A: Lists of users' requirements (From the var mark not defined. ndix B: Lists of elicited technical requirements (fr	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error! om software developers) Error!
Appe Book Appe Book	ndix A: Lists of users' requirements (From the var mark not defined. ndix B: Lists of elicited technical requirements (from the not defined. ndix C: Interview Guide Administered to Pharmacon	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error! om software developers) Error!
Appe Book Appe Book Appe defin	ndix A: Lists of users' requirements (From the var mark not defined. ndix B: Lists of elicited technical requirements (from the not defined. ndix C: Interview Guide Administered to Pharmacon	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error! om software developers) Error! cists Error! Bookmark not
Appe Book Appe Book Appe defin	ndix A: Lists of users' requirements (From the var mark not defined. ndix B: Lists of elicited technical requirements (from the not defined. ndix C: Interview Guide Administered to Pharmaced.	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error! om software developers) Error! cists Error! Bookmark not
Appe Book Appe define Appe not d	ndix A: Lists of users' requirements (From the var mark not defined. ndix B: Lists of elicited technical requirements (from the not defined. ndix C: Interview Guide Administered to Pharmaned. ed. ndix D: Interview Guide Administered to Software	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error! om software developers) Error! cists Error! Bookmark not e Developers Error! Bookmark
Appe Book Appe define Appe not d	mark not defined. ndix B: Lists of elicited technical requirements (from the variance of the control of the co	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error! om software developers) Error! cists Error! Bookmark not e Developers Error! Bookmark
Appe Book Appe defind Appe not d Appe defind	mark not defined. ndix B: Lists of elicited technical requirements (from the variance of the control of the co	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error! om software developers) Error! cists Error! Bookmark not e Developers Error! Bookmark
Appe Book Appe defin Appe not d Appe defin Appe	mark not defined. ndix B: Lists of elicited technical requirements (from the variance of the control of the co	rious Pharmacy Sub-Units) Error! om software developers) Error! cists Error! Bookmark not e Developers Error! Bookmark keholders Error! Bookmark not

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Types of requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 2.2: Project Success indicating good RE practice	s Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 2.3: Project impaired factors indicating improper	RE practices Error! Bookmark
not defined.	
Table 2.4: Pharmacy Sub-Units at OAUTHC	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3.1: Department Sub-Unit-wise participants	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3.2: Software developers-wise participants	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3.3: Requirements Measuring Factors (RMF)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3.4: Ranking Scales	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3.5: Weight Scale	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3.6: Triangular fuzzy numbers (TFNs)	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3.7: Weight scales	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 3.8: Symbols use to represent the relationship be	tween technical and
Stakeholder's requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 4.1: Normal distribution of requirements after pr	eprocessing Error! Bookmark
not defined.	
Table 5.1: Cluster 1 with (6) instances assigned	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 5.2: Cluster 2 with (2) instances assigned	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 5.3: Cluster 3 with (11) instances assigned	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 5.4 : Cluster 4 with (19) instances assigned	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 5.5: Cluster 5 with (4) instances assigned	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 5.6: Cluster output showing the clustered instance	es and percentages Error!
Bookmark not defined.	

 Table 5.7: Cluster and classes assigned to each cluster
 Error! Bookmark not defined.

Table 5.8: Confusion matrix of K-Means clustering modelError! Bookmark not	
defined.	
Table 5.9: Results of model evaluation	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 5.10: Prioritised and resolved weights	Error! Bookmark not defined.
LIST OF FIGU	RES
Figure 2.1: Activities in the engineering cycle	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 2.2: A typical software development process t	hrough built in quality
assurance practices	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 2.3: Software development process with three d	istinct divisions of phases Error!
Bookmark not defined.	
Figure 2.4: Software engineering layers	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 2.5: Levels of Requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 2.6: Hierarchical decomposition of the requirem	ents engineering domain Error!
Bookmark not defined.	
Figure 2.7: The boundary between requirements develo	opment and management Error!
Bookmark not defined.	
Figure 2.8: A spiral view of the requirements engineeri	ng processError! Bookmark not
defined.	
Figure 2.9: The Process for capturing requirements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 2.10: A typical RE Process showing the need for	negotiation, prioritisation and
resolution of conflicting requirements at the	ne analysis stage Error!
Bookmark not defined.	

Figure 2.11: Input/output of Requirements Engineering Process Error! Bookmark not defined.

Figure 2.12: Linear RE Process Model I Error! Bookmark not defined.

Figure 2.13: Linear Requirements Engineering Process Model II Error! Bookmark not defined. Error! Bookmark not defined. **Figure 2.14**: Iterative RE Process Model **Figure 2.15**: Waterfall model of the requirements engineering process Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.16: Spiral model of the requirements engineering process Error! **Bookmark** not defined. Figure 2.17: Pohl's three-dimensional model Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.18: Inquiry-based model Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.19: The relationship between requirements prioritisation, RE and SE Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.20: Three points of views having an effect to requirement's priority Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.21: The Nigerian Healthcare Information flow Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.22: Logical and qualitative requirements conflict management Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.23: Classification of Conflict Resolution Techniques Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.24: The WinWin Spiral Model Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.25: A WinWin Negotiation QARCC Tool Technique Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.26: CORA Process Activities Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 2.27: Suggested Delphi procedure Error! Bookmark not defined. **Figure 3.1**: Flow of the Developed Model Error! Bookmark not defined. Figure 3.2: Developed Technique Error! Bookmark not defined.

Figure 3.3: Flowchart of the Proposed Technique	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 3.4: Membership functions of TFNs	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 3.5: Class diagram of developed model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 3.6: Sequence diagram of developed model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 3.7: Activity diagram of proposed model	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 4.1: Flowchart showing K-Means iteration	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 4.2: ReqCCR Interface	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 4.3: The main interface with data file loaded	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 5.1: Visualised cluster assignments	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 5.2: A Scattered Chart Comparing Clustered Ce	entroidsError! Bookmark not
defined.	
Figure 5.3: Percentage of each cluster centroids	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Figure 5.4: Clustered column charts for recall and prec	isionError! Bookmark not
defined.	

LIST OF ALGORITHMS

Algorithm 4.1: K-Means algorithm Error! Bookmark not defined.

Algorithm 4.2: Computation of relative weights Error! Bookmark not defined.

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AHP Analytic Hierarchy Process

AMI: Absolutely More Important

CASE: Computer aided Software Engineering

CBR: Cost Benefits Ranks

CMM: Capability Maturity Model

COCOMO: COnstructive COst MOdel

CORA: Conflict-Oriented Requirements Analysis

EBM: Evidence Based Medicine

EH: Extremely High

EI: Extremely Important

FMCDM: Fuzzy Multi Criteria Decision Making

FN: False Negative

FP: False Positives

GORA: Goal-Oriented Requirements Analysis

HOQ: House of Quality

ICT: Information Communication and Technology

IEEE: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

IHVN: Institute of Human Virology Pharmacy

INDEHELA: Informatics Development for Health in Africa

ISD: Information System Development

ISO: International Organization for Standardization

IT: Information Technology

KAOS: Knowledge Acquisition in Automated Specification

KcoC: Kendal Coefficient of Concordance

MINPHIS: Made in Nigeria Primary Healthcare Information System

MPARN: Multi-Criterion Preference Analysis for Systematic Requirements Negotiation

NFCs: Non-Functional Concerns

NFR: Non Functional Requirements

NFRF: Non-Functional Requirements Framework

NHIS: National Health Insurance Scheme

NLT: New Lanchester Theory

OAUTHC: Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex

PHC: Primary Healthcare

PhIS: Pharmacy Information System

PREView: Process and Requirements Engineering Viewpoints)

QARCC: Quality Attribute Risk and Conflict Consultant

QFD: Quality Function Deployment

RCP: Requirements Change Process

rDscp: requirements Description

RE: Requirements Engineering

REP: Requirements Engineering Process

ReqCCR: Requirements Clustering for Conflict Resolution

rID: requirement Identity

RMFs: Requirements Measuring Factors

SCM: Software Configuration Management

SCOST: Software Cost Option Strategy Tool

SD: Software Development

SE: Software Engineering

SMI: Strongly More Important

SREM: Software Requirements Engineering Method

Stdev: Standard Deviation

TFNs: Triangular fuzzy numbers

TN: True Negatives

TP: True Positives

UML: Unified Modeling Language

VH: Very High

VSMI: Very Strongly More Important

WMI: Weakly More Important

WNDM: Weighted Normalized Decision Matrix

ABSTRACT

In this research a framework for conflict resolution was formulated, a model was designed, implemented and evaluated in the requirement engineering process of software development. This was with the view of identifying and resolving conflicts among multiple stakeholders' expectations that often arise during requirements analysis.

The research employed both qualitative and quantitative research approaches. Requirements were elicited from stakeholders using interview, case study, observation and secondary data. Ten (10) staff from the Pharmacy Department of Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospitals Complex (OAUTHC) and five (5) software developers from software industry were the respondents. The requirements were analysed using the requirement filtering technique. Delphi method was used to resolve the inputs from the requirements. Clustering Algorithm was used to formulate the framework for conflict resolution. The model was specified in Unified Modeling Language, and the system was implemented using Java programming language. The system was tested for completeness and consistency using recall and precision as parameters.

The result showed the Kendal Coefficient of Concordance (KcoC) W was $0.000115598 \approx 0.00$. This indicated the existence of disagreement among the stakeholders' subjective views. With the clustering analysis, the result showed 5 clusters and their corresponding centroids and standard deviation. The analysis carried out indicated that cluster 3 is more reliable with the lowest standard deviation. This is because the data were clustered closely around the centroids. Cluster 3 had an average standard deviation of 0.61, while clusters 1, 2, 4 and 5 had high standard deviation of 0.95, 0.78, 0.86 and 1.31 respectively. Further analysis of the result revealed that 81.19% of all the attributes with the lowest standard deviation were in cluster 3, and 18.81% of the attributes with the lowest standard deviation were distributed in clusters 1, 2, 4, and 5. This also makes cluster 3 more effective. Based on the final resolution on cluster 3, a generated list of ordered requirements was produced. The output indicated the order of priorities finally assigned to each requirement. Seventy-seven (77) requirements were seen to be "very high", making it 76.24% of the entire sets of requirements, while twenty-four (24) requirements were finally seen to be "high", making it 23.76% of the entire sets of requirements. The model evaluation revealed that clusters 3 and 4 had 100% recall while clusters 1, 2 and 5 had 50%, 66.7% and 80% respectively. The model evaluation also indicated 100% precision in clusters 2, 3 and 5 respectively, while custers 1 and 4 had 66.7% and 78.95% respectively. The F-measure showed the harmonic mean of precision and recall to be 0.57, 0.80, 1.00, 0.88 and 0.89 for clusters 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively . Consequently, the F-Measure of cluster 3 with the value of 1.00 (100%) is most effective and reliable. This implies that all the instances originally belonging to cluster 3 were correctly clustered and all clustering results also belong to the original instances in cluster 3. The total sum of false negative (FN) in the model was 6.0 (14.29 %). This means the model is reliable with an accuracy level of 85.71%.

In conclusion, the study opined that resolving conflicting views of stakeholders' in software development is crucial and vital in order to reduce the cost of software developmen



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Synopsis

In life, everybody makes one form of decision or the other. In doing this, requirements specification is inevitable. Therefore, Requirements Engineering Process (REP) of software system is needful for software systems to be successful. This is because the software industry is seen as one of the fastest growing and most profitable industry due to its profound impact at the societal level (Arif *et al.*, 2010; Alshazly *et al.*, 2014). Despite many failures with some of these systems that are well publicised and in use; the re-engineering of such systems at the requirement level has improved consistently over the past few decades. Of particular interest is the fact that the success of a software system depends on how well it fits the needs of its users and its environment (Parnas, 1998, Nuseibeh and Easterbrook, 2000).

Software requirements comprise the needs of the user(s). Thus every system needs requirement definition. In a software development project, requirements are stakeholders statements concerning the system under development that when implemented will satisfy user needs. These requirements are determined and agreed to by the users (who are the legitimate sources of requirements) before the software can be built. Requirements express the final capabilities of a system. It is also the nonfunctional properties of a system that meets the users' needs and are meant to perform a specific set of tasks (within a defined scope). In addition, errors in these requirements are the most expensive to fix when found during the development process of any system (Heeks, 2002 and 2006).

In the context of Information System Development (ISD) and Software Engineering (SE), many approaches to software project development process have been formulated. In spite of their differences, virtually all of them include the Requirements Engineering (RE) phase. This is because, requirement related problems, during the elicitation and analysis phases in software development projects, are perceived as the three top-most reasons for a project being challenged, and the two top-most reasons for system failure (Standish Group, 1995).

Requirements define the 'what' of a software product. Specifically, it defines what the software must do to add value to its users. It also means what the software must be to add value to its users; and what limitations there are on the choices developers have made when implementing the software. Therefore, requirements are pervasive. They continuously affect both the development and maintenance phases of a system's life.



Requirements are key objectives in development. They need to be precise and unambiguous for software to be consistent in behaviour. In reality, requirements should be both complete (that is they should include descriptions of all that is required to function) and consistent. There should be no conflict(s) or contradictions in the descriptions of the system (Baxter and Sommerville, 2011). However, inconsistencies often arise when there are multiple viewpoints that are conflicting and are embodied in the requirement (Rodrigues *et al.*, 2004). This is a common occurrence during the elicitation and analysis phases of software development.

Human needs are insatiable, unpredictable and quite varied. As such, conflicts arise almost inevitably as users and other stakeholders frequently pursue mismatching goals (Boehm *et al.*, 2000). Consequently, conflict becomes an inevitable part of requirement(s) elicitation and analysis. A conflict resolution strategy that controls and monitors divergence in the behaviour of a software is therefore required. Such resolution strategy will employ scientific methods that can take on challenges from its roots. The strategy should involve theories and methods that can improve the understanding of conflict. It should also underpin a collective practice that is focus on conflict reduction and the enhancement of software development processes (Babbitt and Hampson, 2011).

The term conflict in the context of this work is the disagreement between two or more viewpoints on some decision or values that are proposed in a design (Pruitt, 2013). These disagreements are due to the differing needs that lead to incompatible preferences among the alternatives under consideration (Harrington *et al.*, 1995). It is an interaction of people who acknowledge same object, but different views and values that are capable of interfering with the object. In software development, requirements conflict is the activity that exists among users. Conflict resolution during requirement(s) gathering should be handled by stakeholders and the requirements engineers. With proper management, the activities carried out in the resolution of conflict can be harnessed to solve problems at the requirements engineering phase.

Conflicts in requirements occur on the basis of goals and desires during project development at the RE phase (Easterbrook *et al.*, 1994). The importance of handling conflicts in requirements is well-known in practice and has been acknowledged by the RE research community (van Lamsweerde *et al.*, 1998; Fuxman *et al.*, 2001).

RE is the process by which users' requirements are determined (Cheng and Atlee, 2009). In Hull *et al.*, (2011) RE is "the subset of systems engineering concerned with discovering, developing, tracing, negotiating, analysing, prioritising, qualifying, communicating and managing requirements that define the system at successive levels of abstraction". It covers all of the activities involved in discovering, documenting, and maintaining a set of requirements for a software system. This suggests that RE is not just a single phase of software development process. It is a complex process that comprises