A STUDY OF THE EFFECT OF WATER STRESS ON YIELD, PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND NUTRIENT UPTAKE OF SOLANUM LYCOPERSICUM L.

Oluwaseyi MotunrayoAKINRIMISI B.Sc. (Plant Biology)(Ilorin) (SCP13/14/H/2244)

A THESIS SUBMITTEDTO THE DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE,IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREEOF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc.)IN BOTANY

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORIZATIONTO COPY

Signature	Date	
••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
purpose of priva	vate study of research.	
whole or in par	art, in response to request from individual researchers or organizations f	or the
I, Akinrimisi O	O.M., hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my the	sis, in
Year:	2016	
Degree:	M.Sc.	
	Characteristics and Nutrient Uptake of Solanum lycopersicum L.	
Title:	A Study of the Effect of Water Stress on Yield, Physico-che	mical
Author:	AKINRIMISI, Oluwaseyi Motunrayo	

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this study was carried out by AKINRIMISIOluwaseyi Motunrayo,
SCP13/14/H/2244, as part of the requirements for the award of Master of ScienceDegree in
Botany of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
Prof. A.A. Adelusi

Signature

Date

Supervisor



Dr. A.E. Folorunso		
Head of Department	Signature	Date



DEDICATION

This is dedicated to the Almighty God, the keeper of my dreams and lifter up of my head. The one who has given me the infinite opportunity to successfully carry out this research work, and also to my Gold and Jewel; Pastor and Mrs E.A. Akinrimisi to have laid the solid foundation for my education.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My profound, gratitude goes to the Lord of Host, the God Almighty for His immeasurable Love, Grace and Favour that I have enjoyed every day of my life.

To my parents, Pastor and Mrs. Akinrimisi who shouldered the responsibilities of my academic demands, most especially to my mother an uncommon Matriach with a high sense of moral rectitude, your unequivocal stand in the gap and characteristics mien can never be quantified in numerical terms, I remained indebted to you both forever. Thank you so much.

My sincere appreciation goes to my supervisor, Prof A. A. Adelusi for his genuine and conscientious effort under his tutelage as a neophyte in academics. This research would not have been completed but for his persistent drive and push at every stage of this work. I am indeed very grateful.

I owe all my lecturers in and outside the Department an avalanche of appreciations. Dr A. E. Folorunso (HOD), Professors S. O. Oke, H. C. Illoh, J.O. Faluyi, J.I. Muoghalu, A.O. Isichei, Adedeji, Drs. F.A. Oloyede, A.I. Odiwe, S.A. Saheed, Oladipo, A. M. A. Sakpere, A. M. Makinde, for his plethora of advice during the course of this study. I also thankDr. (Mrs) O.O Arogundade, Mrs. A.O. Bolaji, Mr. and Mrs. A.Z. Ogbimi. I am also grateful for the technical support of the non-teaching staff of the Department. My appreciation is not complete without acknowledging the effort of Dr. R.O. Akinwale for his advice and mentoring that has brought about a successful completion of this research work. May God shower his mercy and favour upon them all.

Special thanks to my fiancé, Mr. Abiola Oluwadamilare a friend, humility personified, a study in consummate integrity and self-effacement, an astute administrator with uncommon wits and intimidating credentials for his love, care and words of advice throughout the period of this work.



Sincere appreciation goes to my lovely and dependable siblings for their constant financial support, tender care and love. They have been wonderful. My love bubbles for them.

Big appreciation to my colleagues under the same supervisor; Olowolaju Ezekiel and Adiatu Abiodun for their support. To my friends, Kolawole Bukola, Ariyo Damilola, Opoola Toluwase, Akinboluji Remilekun, you guys are the best. Also, to every other person who contributed to this work at one point or the other during the course of the research.

Finally, to all those that nurtured me from the broken pieces of my past to build an edifice of hope in the intellectual firmament. Thanks and God bless.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Conter	nt	Page
Title		i
Author	ization	ii
Certific	cation	iii
Dedica	tion	2
Acknov	wledgement	3
Table o	of Contents	5
List of	Tables	9
List of	Figures	10
Abstrac	et	Error! Bookmark not defined.
СНАР	TER ONE: INTRODUCTION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.1	Stress in Plant	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2	Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum)	2
1.3	Modern Day Uses and Nutritional Values	3
1.4	Justification of the Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.5	Objectives of this Study	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.6	Contribution to Knowledge	Error! Bookmark not defined.
СНАР	TER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1	Effect of Drought on Photosynthesis	Error! Bookmark not defined.



2.2	2 Osmotic Adjustment Mechanisms of Plants to Water StressError! Bookmark not			
	defined.			
2.3	The Relative Water Content and Drought	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
2.4	Drought and Nutrient Uptake	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
2.5	Adaptation to Drought	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
2.5	.1DroughtEscape			
	Error! Bookmark not defined.	.05/1		
2.5	.2Dehydration Avoidance			
	Error! Bookmark not defined.			
2.5	.3Dehydration	Tolerance		
	Error! Bookmark not defined.			
CHAP	TER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
3.1	Experimental Seeds	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
3.2	Collection of Soil	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
3.3	Preliminary Analysis of the Soil Samples	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
3.4	Screenhouse Experiment	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
3.5	Raising of Seedlings	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
3.6	Experimental Layout	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
3.7	Experimental Design	Error! Bookmark not defined.		
3.8	Application and Quantification of the Levels of Str	essError! Bookmark not		
	defined.			
3.9	Measurement of Morphological Parameters	Error! Bookmark not defined.		



3.10 Yield Components			Error! Bookmark no	ot defined.		
3.11 Relative Water Content (RWC)			Error! Bookmark no	ot defined.		
3.12 Determination of Physico	o-chemical Cha	racteristic	es of the Tomato Fruits	Error!		
Bookmark not defined.						
3.12.1Determination	of	Total	Soluble	Solids		
Error! Bookmark	not defined.					
3.12.2Determination	of		Titratable	Acidity		
Error! Bookmark	not defined.		(C/A)			
3.12.3Determination	of	Dry	Matter	Content		
Error! Bookmark	not defined.					
3.13 Proximate Composition of	of the Tomato F	Fruits	Error! Bookmark no	ot defined.		
3.13.1Determination	of		Crude	Protein		
Error! Bookmark	not defined.					
3.13.2Determination	of		Crude	Fat		
Error! Bookmark	not defined.					
3.13.3Determination	of		Crude	Fibre		
Error! Bookmark	not defined.					
3.13.4Determination	of		Moisture	Content		
Error! Bookmark not defined.						
3.13.5Determination	of		Total	Ash		
Error! Bookmark	not defined.					
3.13.6 Determination	of		Carbohydrate	Content		
3.13.6 Determination Error! Bookmark			Carbohydrate	Content		



3.15	Mineral	Ineral Nutrient of Samples			I	Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	3.15.1	Digestion Procedure			ure	for	Samples
Error! Bookmark not defined.							
	3.15.2	Determination	of So	odium,	Potassium,	Magnesium,	Calcium and
		Manganese	by	Ato	omic .	Absorption	Spectrometry
		Error! Booki	nark no	ot define	ed.		
3.16	Statistica	al Analysis			I	Error! Bookma	rk not defined.
СНАРТ	ΓER FOU	JR: RESULTS			1	Error! Bookma	rk not defined.
4.1	Soil Analysis Error! Bookmark not de				rk not defined.		
4.2	Effect of	Different Regim	es of W	atering	treatment or	n the Morpholog	gical Parameters
	of Six To	omato Varieties.			I	Error! Bookma	rk not defined.
	4.2.1	Shoot					Height
	Error! Bookmark not defined.						
	4.2.2	Number			of		Leaves
	Err	or! Bookmark n	ot defir	ned.			
	4.2.3	Leaf					Area
	Err	or! Bookmark n	ot defir	red.			
	4.2.4	Number			of		Branches
	Err	or! Bookmark n	ot defir	ned.			
	4.2.5	Bud					Number
	Err	or! Bookmark n	ot defir	ied.			
	4.2.6	Plant					Height
	Err	or! Bookmark n	ot defir	ied.			



4.2.6	Stem				Diameter
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.			
4.2.7	Root				Length
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.			
4.2.8	Shoot	and	Root	Fresh	Weight
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.			A
4.2.9	Shoot	and	Root	Dry	Weight
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.		10	2)
4.3.1	Flower				Number
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.			
4.3.2	Fruit			7//	Number
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.	Ol_{λ}		
4.3.3	Fruit	Diamete	er	and	Length
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.			
4.4.4	Fruit	Fresh	and	Dry	Weight
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.			
The Eff	ect of Osm	otic Adjustment and	l Physiolog	ical Responses of	the Six Tomato
Varietie	s under Dif	ferent Watering regi	mes.	Error! Bookman	rk not defined.
4.4.1	Relative	Water	•	Content	(RWC)
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.			
4.4.2	Proline				Content
Eri	ror! Bookn	nark not defined.			
Physico	-Chemical	Properties of the S	six Tomato	Varieties at Diff	erent Watering
regimes				Error! Bookman	rk not defined.

4.4

4.5



4.5.2 **Proximate** Composition

Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAP'	TER 5: DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.1	Morphological Parameters	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.2	Osmotic Adjustment and Physiological Response	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.3	Nutrient Uptake and Water Stress Response	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5.4	Yield and Yield Components Responses to Water S	StressError! Bookmark not
	defined.	
5.5	Physico-chemical Properties Response to Water Str	ressError! Bookmark not
	defined.	7.
CONC	LUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
REFER	RENCES	Error! Bookmark not defined.
APPEN	NDICES	Error! Bookmark not defined.
	LIST OF TABLES	
Table		Page
	Chemical and Physical Properties of the Experiment defined.	ental Soil. Error! Bookmark
2.	Effects of Watering regimes and Varieties on Mor	phological Traits of Tomato

- Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 3. Yield And Yield Components of Tomato Varieties Evaluated at the Screenhouse under Different Watering regimes. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4. Effects of Watering regimes and Varieties on Osmotic Adjustment and Physiological Responses of Tomato Varieties. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5. Physico-Chemical and Proximate Composition of the Six Tomato Varieties under Different Watering regimes. Error! Bookmark not defined.



6. Mineral Nutrient Composition of the Six Tomato Varieties under Different Watering regimes. Error! Bookmark not defined.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page

- 1a-c Shoot Height of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 1d-f Shoot Height of Tomato Varieties under the Different Wateringregimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 2a-c Number of Leaves of Tomato Varieties under the Different Wateringregimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse.Error! Bookmark not defined.



- 2d-f Number of Leaves of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 3a-c Leaf Area (cm²) of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 3d-f Leaf Area (cm²) of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**
- 4a-c Branch Number of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 4d-f Branch Number of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5a-c Bud Number of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes

 Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 5d-f Bud Number of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes

 Evaluated in the Screenhouse.

 Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6a-c Flower Number of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 6d-f Flower Number of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 7a-c Fruit Number of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.
- 7d-f Fruit Number of Tomato Varieties under the Different Watering regimes Evaluated in the Screenhouse. Error! Bookmark not defined.



ABSTRACT

This study investigated the osmotic adjustment and nutrient uptake of six varieties of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) at different watering regimes and evaluated the relationship between water stress and marketable yield of the tomato varieties. This was with a view to determining the role of water in crop growth and production.

The six varieties of Solanum lycopersicum used for the study were: Chico III, Ibadan local, Ibarapa, Ife-1, Rio Grande and Roma-VFwere the tomato varieties collected from the National Horticultural Research Institute (NIHORT), Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. The tomato seed varieties were sown in bowls of 54 cm x 8 cm dimension and the seedlings were transplanted after 21 days of establishment into 54 experimental pots (20 cm x 21 cm) at the rate of three seedlings per pot. The seedlings were raised in a screenhouse under normal environmental conditions. The experimental layout was quantified with three levels of watering regimes (W₁, W₃ and W₅). A 6 x 3 factorial experiment laid out in a completely randomised design (CRD) was used; with watering regimes and varieties as the factors. Samplings were carried out after three weeks of transplanting. Data were recorded for growth, yield and yield components. The parameters studied for osmotic adjustment were relative water content (%) and proline (µmolg⁻¹). Sodium, potassium, magnesium, calcium and manganese contents were analysed after digestion using Perkin Elmer Analyst Model – 400 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. The proximate composition and the physico-chemical properties of the tomato varieties were carried out using standard procedures. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) while the means were separated using least significant difference (LSD) post-hoc at p \geq 0.05 level of probability.



Tomato plants subjected to water stress were reduced significantly (p ≥0.05) in their shoot height, number of leaves and plant biomass compared to those that were watered everyday. Accumulation of proline enhanced water stress tolerance in tomato varieties of Ibadan local and Ibarapa, by improving the osmotic adjustment of the varieties, while the high relative water content in the Chico III and Roma-VF varieties made them more drought tolerant. The highest uptake of sodium, magnesium, calcium and manganese were enhanced by drought condition in Ife-1, Ibarapa and Roma-VF varieties, while,the highest uptake of potassium was influenced by watering everyday. Better fruit yield was obtained with three days watering regime. However, 35 % and 104 % reduction in yield respectively occurred with watering everyday and watering every five days. Tomato plants watered every five days had the best accumulated levels of proteins, carbohydrate and dry matter, while the plants watered every three days had the best moisture, fat and ash contents. A considerable range of variation was recorded among the tomato varieties and the drought tolerance of the varieties were in the order: Ife-1 = Chico III > Ibadan local = Roma-VF > Rio Grande > Ibarapa.

The study concluded that the accumulation of proline could not be used as the sole determinant of osmotic adjustment in water stressed plant but also potassium and magnesium ions uptake.



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Stress in Plant

Stress in plants can be defined as any external factor that negatively influences plant growth, productivity, reproductive capacity or survival (Rhodes and Nadolska-Orczyk, 2001). This includes a wide range of factors which can be broadly divided into two main categories: abiotic or environmental stress factors, and biotic or biological stress factors. Stress is also defined according to Grime (1979) as the external constraints which limit the dry matter production of vegetation. Stress potentially is responsible for the abnormalities often discovered in plants which brought about favourable and unfavourable conditions. These stresses include abiotic or environmental stress factors such as salt and ion stress, heat stress, radiation stress, flooding, drought, low and high temperature and heavy metal toxicity. Stress may also be biotic or biological stress such as diseases and competition stress.

Organisms need to adapt themselves to changes in fluctuating environmental conditions. The plants, since they are not able to escape from adverse environmental conditions, have to rely entirely on their developmental plasticity to survive (Krouk *et al.*, 2011). These adaptations include the responses to temperature fluctuations, water and nutrients imbalance, UV radiation, pathogens, and insects, among other biotic and abiotic stresses. Plant growth regulators (phytohormones), compounds derived from plant biosynthetic pathways, mediate these responses by acting either at the site of synthesis or following their transport, elsewhere in the



plant. Collectively, plant hormones regulate every aspect of plant growth, development and the responses of plants to biotic and abiotic stresses (Peleg and Blumwald, 2011).

A key adaptive mechanism in many plants grown under abiotic stresses, including salinity, water deficit and extreme temperatures, is accumulation of certain organic compounds of low molecular mass, generally referred to as compatible osmolytes (Hare *et al.*, 1998; Sakamoto and Murata, 2002). Under stress, different plant species may accumulate a variety of osmolytes such as sugars and sugar alcohols (polyols), proline, tertiary and quaternary ammonium compounds, and tertiary sulphonium compounds (Sairam and Tyagi, 2004).

1.2 Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum)

In 1753, the tomato was placed in the genus Solanum by Linnaeus as *Solanum lycopersicum* L. (derivation, 'lyco', wolf, plus 'persicum', peach, i.e., "wolf-peach"). However, in 1768, Philip Miller placed it in its own genus, and he named it *Lycopersicon esculentum* (Slow food Upstate, 2014). This name came into wide use, but was in breach of the plant naming rules (Slow food Upstate, 2014). Technically the combination *Lycopersicon lycopersicum* (L.) H. Karst, would be correct, but this name (published in 1881) has hardly ever been used. Therefore it was decided to conserve the well-known *Lycopersicon esculentum*, making this the correct name for the tomato when it is placed in the genus *Lycopersicon*. However, genetic evidence (e.g.Peralta and Spooner, 2001; Foolad, 2007) has now shown that Linnaeus was correct in the placement of the tomato in the genus *Solanum*, making the Linnaean name correct; if *Lycopersicon* is excluded from *Solanum*, *Solanum* is left as a paraphyletic taxon. Despite this, it is likely that the exact taxonomic placement of the tomato will be controversial for some time to come, with both names found in the literature.



Botanically speaking a tomato is the ovary, together with its seeds, of a flowering plant, i.e. a fruit. However, from a culinary perspective the tomato is typically served as a meal, or part of a main course of a meal, meaning that it would be considered a vegetable (a culinary term which has no botanical meaning), (Slow food Upstate, 2014).

The tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) is a short-lived perennial plant, grown as an annual plant, in the Solanaceae or nightshade family(<u>Slow Food® Upstate</u>, 2014;Solanaceae Source), typically growing to 1-3 m tall, with a weakly woody stem that usually scrambles over other plants. The fruit is an edible, brightly coloured (usually red, from the pigment lycopene) berry, 1-2 cm diameter in wild plants, commonly much larger in cultivated forms. Though it is botanically a berry, a subset of fruit, the tomato is nutritionally categorized as a vegetable (<u>Enza Zaden – Teeltnieuws</u>, 2009; <u>Tomaat September</u>, 2010).

The Tomato is native to South America but growing in temperate climates worldwide. The tomato begins its colourful and varied history upon the coastal highlands of western South America, where it was being enjoyed by the native peoples for a long time. Evidence supports the theory that from Peru it foundits way to Central America where it was domesticated as a little yellow fruit, called 'xitomatl', meaning "plump thing with a navel", and later called 'tomatl' by other Mesoamerican peoples(Tomato History, 2013). Maya and other peoples in the region used the fruit in their cooking, and it was being cultivated in southern Mexico, and probably in other areas, by the 16th Century.

1.3 Modern Day Uses and Nutritional Values

The tomato's medicinal properties had already been endorsed in Continental Europe in the 16th Century and their consumption was believed to benefit the heart among other things, as it contains lycopene, one of the most powerful natural antioxidants which, especially when



cooked, has been found to help prevent prostate, lung, stomach, pancreatic, colorectal, oesophageal, oral, breast and cervical cancers (<u>Slow Food® Upstate</u>, 2014).

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng