

ANALYSIS OF RISK MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES AMONG COMMERCIAL EGG PRODUCERS IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

 \mathbf{BY}

JEGEDE Busayo Banke

B.Tech Agric (Hons.) LAUTECH

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS,

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE IFE,

OSUN STATE,

NIGERIA.

2016



OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE IFE, OSUN STATE, NIGERIA HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORIZATION TO COPY

| Author: | JEGEDE Busayo Banke |
|-----------------|---|
| Title: | Analysis of Risk Management Strategies among Commercial Egg |
| | Producers in Osun State, Nigeria |
| Degree: | M. Sc. (Agricultural Economics) |
| Year: | 2016 |
| I, JEGEDE B | usayo Banke, hereby authorize the Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy my |
| thesis in whole | e or in part, in response to request from individual, researchers and organizations |
| for the purpose | e of private study or research. |
| Signature | Date |



CERTIFICATION

This project written by JEGEDE, Busayo Banke has been read and approved to meet part of the requirement for the award of Master of Science (M.Sc.) Degree in the Department of Agricultural Economics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

.....

Dr. J. T. O. Oke

(Supervisor)

Agricultural Economics Department,

Faculty of Agriculture,

Obafemi Awolowo University,

Ile Ife, Osun State,

Nigeria.



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the Almighty God, the Author and the Finisher of my faith. In Him I live, move and have my being. May His Excellent name be praised forever more. Amen!



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I cannot but express my profound gratitude to all who supported me all through this programme. My sincere and immeasurable gratitude goes to my supervisor, Dr. J.T.O. Oke for the fatherly care and advice, suggestions, prayers and adequate supervision towards the success of this research work.

My special thanks go to Head of Department, Prof. A. B. Ayanwale, for his immeasurable help and advice.

My appreciation goes to all the lecturers: Prof. R. A. Adeyemo, Prof. T. A. Alimi, Prof. A. S. Bamire, Prof. E. O. Idowu, Prof. A. B. Ayanwale, Prof. A. A. Tijani, Dr. O. Oluwasola, Dr. Mrs O. A. Yesufu, Dr. R. Kassali, Dr. A. O. Adejobi, Dr. A. A. Akinola, Dr. A. T. Adesiyan, Dr. Mrs O. F. Adesiyan, Mrs V.O. Tanimonure, Mr A. S. Ogunleye, Mrs C. A. Adelekun, Mrs A. O. Ige, Mr O. D. Omodara and Mr T. O. Ojo. I also extend my gratitude to the non-teaching members and staff in the Department, Mrs Ayanbimpe among others who have assisted me in one way or the other, I thank you all for the pieces of advice towards the completion of this program.

Many thanks go to my bosses Mr T.O. Okunola, Mr A.B Ige and the entire staff of UBN for their assistance and encouragement all through this research. I also gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Mr M.O. Agunbiade, I am indeed grateful. My thanks also go to all the postgraduate students in the Department. Oluwasola Babajide, Nathaniel Oluwole and Awoyemi Funmilayo, thank you for being there.

Lastly, my unreserved gratitude goes to my irreplaceable parents, Mr and Mrs J.O. Jegede for their incessant financial, moral, educative and prayer support and to my siblings, thanks for your constant support, concerns and love.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| AUTH | IORIZATION TO COPY | ii |
|------|--|------|
| CERT | IFICATION | iii |
| DEDI | CATION | iv |
| ACKN | NOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| TABL | E OF CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST | OF ACRONMYNS | x |
| LIST | OF TABLES | xi |
| ABST | RACT | xiii |
| СНАР | TER ONE | I |
| INTR | ODUCTION | I |
| 1.1 | Background to the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Statement of the Research Problem | 3 |
| 1.3 | The Objectives of the Study | 5 |
| 1.4 | Justification of the Study | 6 |
| 1.5 | Operational Definition of Terms | 7 |
| СНАР | TER TWO | 8 |
| 2.0 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 8 |
| 2.1 | Typology of risk | 10 |
| 2.2 | Risk and Uncertainty: Similar but Different concepts | 11 |
| 2.3 | Major Risks | 12 |
| 2.4 | Risk attitudes | 16 |
| 2.5 | Risk chain | 17 |



| 2.6 | Risk management behaviors and measures | 17 |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.7 | Ex-ante vs. Ex-post Measures | 22 |
| 2.8 | Risk Management Principles | 24 |
| 2.9 | Bio Security for poultry flock | 25 |
| 2.10 | Agricultural insurance | 26 |
| 2.11 | Microcredit | 28 |
| 2.12 | Veterinary services and training/extension | 29 |
| 2.10 | Review of empirical studies on risk management strategies | 30 |
| СНАР | TER THREE | 36 |
| 3.0 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 36 |
| 3.1 | Area of Study | 36 |
| 3.2 | Sample Selection | 36 |
| 3.3 | Data collection | 37 |
| 3.4 | Analytical Techniques | 37 |
| СНАР | TER FOUR | 40 |
| RESU | LTS AND DISCUSSIONS | 40 |
| 4.1 | Socio-economic Characteristics of the Respondents | 40 |
| 4.1.1 | Age of Respondents | 40 |
| 4.1.2 | Sex of the Respondents | 41 |
| 4.1.3 | Marital Status of the Respondents | 43 |
| 4.1.4 | Educational status of the poultry farmer | 45 |
| 4.1.5 | Years Spent in School | 47 |
| 4.1.6 | Primary Occupation of Respondents | 49 |



| 4.1 .7 | Secondary Occupation of Respondents | 51 |
|--------|---|----|
| 4.1.8 | Household size of the respondents | 53 |
| 4.1.9 | Years of experience in poultry farming | 55 |
| 4.1.10 | Membership of Cooperative Society | 57 |
| 4.1.11 | Benefits of Cooperative Society | 59 |
| 4.1.12 | Membership Trust in Cooperative Society | 61 |
| 4.1.13 | Access to Veterinary Services | 63 |
| 4.1.14 | Size of Poultry Stock | 65 |
| 4.1.15 | Type of Poultry Management Practiced | 67 |
| 4.1.16 | Source of Capital | 69 |
| 4.1.17 | Off farm activities | 71 |
| 4.2 | Risks Encountered in Poultry Farming | 73 |
| 4.2.1 | Natural disaster Risk | 73 |
| 4.2.2 | Biological Risks | 75 |
| 4.2.3 | Market Related Risks | 77 |
| 4.2.4 | Logical / Infrastructural Risks | 79 |
| 4.2.5 | Operational Risks | 81 |
| 4.2.6 | Policy/Institutional Risks | 83 |
| 4.2.7 | Ex- Ante Reduction Mechanism | 85 |
| 4.2.8 | Ex- Ante Transfer Mechanism | 87 |
| 4.2.9 | Ex- Ante Avoidance Mechanism | 89 |
| 4.2.10 | Ex post Mechanism | 91 |
| 4.2.11 | Extension Visit | 93 |



| 4.2.12 | Benefits of Extension Service to Respondents | 95 |
|--------|---|-----|
| 4.2.13 | Risks Behaviour of Respondents | 97 |
| 4.3 | Budgetary Analysis of Poultry Farmers | 99 |
| 4.4 | Effect of Risk Management Strategies on Profitability | 101 |
| CHAP | TER FIVE | 104 |
| SUMM | MARY, CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATION | 105 |
| 5.1 | Summary of the Study | 105 |
| 5.2 | Conclusion | 106 |
| 5.3 | Policy Implications and Recommendations | 106 |
| REFEI | RENCES | 107 |



LIST OF ACRONYMS

ADP - Agricultural Development Project

FGLS - Feasible Generalized Least Square

LGAs - Local Government Areas

NAERLS - National Agricultural Extension and Research Liaison Services

NAIC - National Agricultural Insurance Scheme

OLS - Ordinary Least Square.



LIST OF TABLES

| Table 4.1: Distribution of Respondents by Age | 45 |
|---|----|
| Table 4.2: Distribution of Respondents by Sex | 46 |
| Table 4.3: Distribution of Respondents by Marital Status | 47 |
| Table 4.4: Distribution of Respondents by Educational Status | 48 |
| Table 4.5: Distribution of Respondents by Number of Years Spent in School | 49 |
| Table 4.6: Distribution of Respondents by Primary Occupation | 50 |
| Table 4.7: Distribution of Respondents by Secondary Occupation | 51 |
| Table 4.8: Distribution of Respondents by Household size | 52 |
| Table 4.9: Distribution of Respondents by Years of Experience | 53 |
| Table 4.10: Distribution of Respondents by Membership of Cooperative Society | 54 |
| Table 4.11: Distribution of Respondents by Benefits of Cooperative Society | 55 |
| Table 4.12: Distribution of Respondents by Membership Trust in Cooperative Society | 56 |
| Table 4.13: Distribution of Respondents by Access to Veterinary Services | 57 |
| Table 4.14: Distribution of Respondents by Size of Poultry Stock | 58 |
| Table 4.15: Distribution of Respondents by the type of Poultry Management Practiced | 59 |
| Table 4.16: Distribution of Respondents by Source of Capital | 60 |
| Table 4.17: Distribution of Respondents by Off Farm Activities | 61 |
| Table 4.18 Distribution of Natural Disasters Risks among Poultry farmers | 63 |
| Table 4.19 Distribution of Biological risks among Poultry farmers | 64 |
| Table 4.20 Distribution of Market Related Risks among Poultry farmers | 65 |
| Table 4.21 Distribution of Logical/Infrastructural Risks among Poultry Farmers | 66 |
| Table 4.22 Distribution of Operational Risks among Poultry Farmers | 67 |



| Table 4.23 Distribution of Policy/Institutional Risks among Poultry Farmers | 69 |
|--|-----|
| Table 4.24 Distribution of respondents based on Ex Ante reduction mechanism used | 71 |
| Table 4.25 Distribution of respondents on Ex Ante transfer mechanism used | 72 |
| Table 4.26 Distribution of respondent on Ex Ante avoidance mechanism used | 73 |
| Table 4.27 Distribution of Respondents based on Ex Post Mechanism Adopted | 74 |
| Table 4.28 Distribution of Respondents by Extension service visit | 75 |
| Table 4.29 Distribution of respondent on number of times of extension visit | 76 |
| Table 4.30 Distribution of respondents based on the Benefits of Extension Service | 77 |
| Table 4.31 Distribution of Respondents on the Type of Risk Behavior they exhibit | 78 |
| Table 4.32 Budgetary Analysis of Poultry Farmers in Osun State | 79 |
| Table4.33Regression analysis of the effects of risk management strategies on profitability | y82 |



ABSTRACT

This study described the socio-economic characteristics of the respondents in the study area, profiled the risk management strategies adopted by the farmers and determined the effects of risk management strategies adopted on the profitability of egg production in Osun State with a view to providing information on the various risk management strategies employed by poultry farmers in the face of uncertainties.

A multistage sampling method was adopted for the study. In the first stage, two Local Government areas (LGAs) were randomly selected from each of the three Agricultural zones of Osun State as classified by Agricultural Development Programme (ADP). At the second stage, two communities were randomly selected from each of the six LGAs making a total of 12 communities. At the third stage, using a list of registered poultry farmers, 15 respondents were randomly selected from each of the communities. In all, 180 respondents were sampled for the study. Data were collected on socio-economic characteristics of respondents such as age, sex, and household size, mitigation practice adopted including medication, security and insurance. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, budgetary techniques and Ordinary Least Square Regression model.

The findings revealed that the respondents were faced with different types of risks including the death of birds, outbreak of diseases, high cost of feed and inputs. The risk of high cost of feed ranked highest at 95 percent, closely followed by outbreak of diseases with 91.1 percent. The risk management strategies adopted by the respondents were restocking, sales of assets, insurance, extension visits and diversification. The least strategy adopted was formal borrowing (22.2 percent) and consumptions of infected birds (9.4 percent). The study revealed that 65 percent of the respondents were none risk aversed indicating their readiness to increase their



N2,465,987.00 and cost of building averaging N74988.82 ranked highest in the variable cost and fixed cost components respectively. It also revealed that the enterprise was profitable with a net income of N1,290,620.39. The benefit cost ratio of 1.41 showed that for every №1 invested in the business, there was a return of 41kobo. The business operating cash ratio of 0.67 which showed that the liabilities of the company were covered by 67 percent of its cash. The regression analysis revealed that extension visits, membership of cooperative society, restocking of the birds, quantity of feed (kg) and insurance had positive I mpacts on profitability.

The study concluded that diversification of livelihood should be encouraged to enable farm families augment proceeds from the poultry business, and provide additional another source of income to the family.



CHAPTER ONE

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

Poultry is a general term for birds of several species such as chicken or domestic fowls, ducks, geese, guinea fowl, pigeons, turkeys, quails, Pheasants ostriches and other game birds Ezedinma (1999). People depend on poultry for food and it also serves as an additional occupation to supplement the income of small and marginal farm families. Afolami and Oladimeji (2003) stated that the poultry industry is an important part of the livestock industry in Nigeria. It has two main parts: egg and meat production. The hens are usually slaughtered and consumed as meat at the end of the laying period. Poultry meat and eggs are highly nutritious. The meat is rich in protein and it is a good source of minerals and vitamins. Poultry liver is essentially rich in vitamin A. Also, poultry provides feathers for both import and export use. Poultry waste such as their droppings serves as raw materials for crops and fish production. Poultry production is one of the fastest growing livestock industries as a result of its advantages in terms of land use, short generation interval, rapid turnaround rate and improvements in the food conversion rate of genetically superior poultry breeds Odunsi (2005).

Those domestic fowls producing commercial eggs are divided into two main groups- light and heavy breeds. The light breeds (predominantly the white leghorn breed) are small birds 1.5-1.9kg in weight which lay white shelled eggs. They are economical on food due to small size and have the advantage of rarely going broody in contrast to the heavy birds. The heavier breeds with their big carcass command a substantially better salvage price at the end of laying season especially if the birds have been kept in cages, because the absence of activity ensures that the flesh is tender.



Ekunwe *et al.* (2006) reported that egg production is the major index of performance of commercial layer business because it accounts for 90 per cent of the income from the enterprise. The economically important traits which can be used to determine the performance of the layer-chicken include egg qualities (particularly egg size), efficiency of feed utilization and mortality. Nutritionally, eggs have been recognized as an important source of protein in the diet of man and even for livestock; it is a protective food because it contains nutrients which protect and compliment body losses in a diseased state. It provides not only protein but also highly bioavailable essential micronutrients, such as iron, vitamin A and zinc, which are crucial especially for child nutrition and health Iannotti *et al.*, (2008).

Egg contains 74 per cent water; it supplies 11 per cent of the daily recommended protein intake for adults. The fat of egg is readily digestible and is made up of both saturated and unsaturated fatty acids. Eggs are low in calories but contain many vitamins. Eggs are used in various food industries, confectionary and for production of cosmetics and vaccines.

Over the past decades, the poultry sector's growth and trends towards intensification and concentration have given rise to a number of risks and concerns. Intensification can involve a very large numbers of birds being raised on limited land which may require large amounts of food, water and medical inputs (required to keep the birds healthy in cramped conditions). A very large or confined intensive poultry operation gives rise to low level of animal welfare and associated pollutions and health issues. Enhancement of bio-security measures is generally agreed to be the best way to minimize this risk, but not all farms are in a position to implement stringent bio security, especially those that rely on rearing poultry outdoors. Risk management in decision making intuitively corresponds to the fact that when facing choices with comparable returns, farmers tend to choose the less risky alternative. Risk management and individual

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

subjective probability completely determine the individual decision-making behavior, at least

locally (Holt and Laury, 2002).

The livelihood of smallholder households in developing countries is severely affected by income

and asset risks in the absence of functioning insurance and credit markets. Without accessible

insurance and credit markets, rural households have to self insure through accumulation of liquid

assets, diversification of income sources and participation in local informal insurance network

strategies. Risk management affects the production decisions of the households with the aim of

reducing the riskiness of ex ante processes. These strategies includes income diversification

which is achieved by combining activities that are not too strongly positively correlated (Dercon,

2002). Farming households often combine crop cultivation and livestock rearing as sources of

income. Also, a few engage in off-farm activities like handicraft, simple food processing or

gathering activities. The risk bearing-capacity of households' increases with higher wealth

owned or access to consumption credit assuming the same level of risk preference across

households. Thus, otherwise identical households with larger livestock holdings and saving, in

general, are able to specialize in activities with higher risk and higher expected return, while for

asset-poor households it is difficult to escape from poverty Vigh (2008).

1.2 Statement of the Research Problem

Poultry production is very productive and also very risky. Therefore, it requires careful

management. The major risks are characterized by the limited access to formal financial

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng



