

AN APPRAISAL OF INVESTMENT AND TECHNOLOGY DECISIONS IN COCOA PROCESSING INDUSTRY IN NIGERIA

BY

OLOWOLAJU, PHILIP SEGUN

B.Sc. (Accountancy), M.Sc. (Technology Management), MBA

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILISOPHY IN TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT

Of

AFRICAN INSTITUTE FOR SCIENCE POLICY AND INNOVATION

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY,

ILE IFE, NIGERIA

2014



OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE IFE

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORISATION TO COPY

AUTHOR:	OLOWOLAJU Philip Segun	
TITLE:	An Appraisal of Investment and Technology Decisions in Cocoa Industry in Nigeria	a Processing
DEGREE:	Ph.D. (Technology Management)	
YEAR:	2014	
I, OLOWOL	LAJU, Philip Segun hereby authorise the University Libra	ary (Hezekiah
Oluwasanmi 1	Library) to copy my thesis in whole or in part, in response to	o request from
individual reso	searchers and organisations or for the purpose of private studies or	research.
Signature	Date	e



SUPERVISOR'S CERTIFICATION

We certify that this research work was carried out by OLOWOLAJU, Philip Segun during the course of his postgraduate studies in the African Institute for Science Policy and Innovation, Faculty of Technology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile–Ife, Nigeria.

Professor M.O. Ilori

Supervisor

Professor S.I. Oladeji

Co-Supervisor



DEDICATON

This work is dedicated to the Almighty God with whom all things are possible.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank the Almighty God, who has been my shield, buckler and my hope and a caring Lord.

To Him be all the glory and adoration.

I particularly appreciate the contributions and cooperation of my hardworking, diligent and dutiful and ever patient supervisors, Prof. M. O. Ilori and Prof.S.I. Oladeji for providing necessary guidance without which this research work would not have been a reality. I had to bother them at odd times for comments, direction, assistance and advice. May the good Lord continue to bless you and your family.

I am particularly grateful to Prof. I. R. Akintoye and Dr. Stephen Adewole for their immeasurable assistance. I also want to express my sincere gratitude to all my lecturers, especially Prof. T. O. Oyebisi, Prof. J. B. Akarakiri, Prof. F. E. Ogbimi, Dr. I. O. Akinwumi, Dr. I. A. Irefin, Dr. Oluwale, Dr. (Mrs.) Binuyo and the non teaching staff of the Institute for their contributions.

I am grateful to all members of Project Management Technology Department of Federal University of Technology, Akure especially Prof. R. O. Abiola, Dr. A. O. Lawal, Dr. Ojo, Dr. J. J. Obembe, Dr O. Akinrinola and Dr. M. O. Aderibigbe of General Studies Units for their encouragement.

I would also like to appreciate the moral support, patience and understanding of my loving wife and daughters Bunmi and Seun. I am also very grateful to Mr. and Mrs. Olayinka, Bukola Olayinka, and Mrs. Bisi Oluwadare, Miss Deborah Ojo, Miss Hannah Ajayi and Miss Ogundele Omowumi for their assistance. Also worthy of my appreciation are the contributions of Mr. Pius Ayodele, the Chief Executive of Alpha Cocoa Processing Company Ltd., Akure. Mr. Afuye Lawrence of Stanmark Cocoa Processing Company Limited and Mr. M. O. Sobowale of Cocoa Research Institute of Nigeria.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	Title Page	i
	Authorization to Copy	ii
	Certification by Supervisor	iii
	Dedication	iv
	Acknowledgement	V
	Table of Contents	vi
СНАРТ	ER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background to the Study	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	3
1.3	Research Questions	4
1.4	Objectives of the Study	5
1.5	Significance of the Study	5



			Page
1.6	Scope	of the Study	6
1.7	Opera	tional Definition of Terms	6
СНАРТ	ER TWO	: LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Import	cance of Agricultural Sector	9
	2.2.1	Importance of cocoa in Nigeria	12
	2.2.2	Cocoa production	13
	2.2.3	Types of cocoa	15
	2.2.4	Cocoa quality	18
	2.2.5	Cocoa depoding	19
	2.2.6	Cocoa fermentation	20
	2.2.7	Cocoa drying	21
	2.2.8	Solar drying	22
2.3	Cocoa	Processing	23



			Page
	2.3.1	Cocoa processing technology	23
	2.3.2	Plant and machinery	23
	2.3.3	Cocoa liquor	24
	2.3.4	Cocoa butter and powder	24
	2.3.5	Cocoa trade	25
2.4	Sustai	nable Investment in Cocoa Sector	26
2.5	Cocoa	Value Chain Governance	29
2.6	Definit	tion of Technology	30
2.7	Need f	for Investment in Technology	31
2.8	Three	Primary Areas of Technology	33
	2.8.1	Process technology	33
	2.8.2	Product technology	34
	2.8.3	Information technology	34



		Page
2.9	Technology Control	34
2.10	Objectives of Management for Investing in Technology	35
2.11	Labour Union Policy on Introduction of in New Technology	36
2.12	Technology Acquisition	36
2.13	Technology Transfer	39
2.14	NOTAP Role in Ensuring Efficient Technology Acquisition	40
2.15	Nigeria's One-Stop Investment Centre	40
2.16	Decision Making	42
Dyn	aന്മ് ിട് ല്sion making	47
	2.16.2 Rational decision making models	49
	2.16.3 Irrational decision making models	50
2.17	Determinants of Choice of Technologies	51



			Page
2.18	Investr	ment Decisions	52
2.19	Investr	ment Evaluation	55
2.20	Condit	ions under which Managers make Decisions	58
2.21	Taxatio	on and Agricultural Investment	59
2.22	Financ	e of Investment	61
2.23	Foreigi	n Direct Investment	61
2.24	Constr	aints to Investment in Agricultural Sector Nigeria	62
2.25	Humar	n Factor in Technology Investment	63
CHAPT	TER THRE	EE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	66
3.1	Concer	ptual Framework	66
	3.1.1	Actors in cocoa value chain in Nigeria	68
	3.1.2	Model for investment justification	68
	3.1.3	Strategic consideration	70



			Page
	3.1.4	Tactical consideration	70
	3.1.5	Operational consideration	70
	3.1.6	Model	70
3.2	Study V	/ariables	71
	3.2.1	Social and demographic variables	71
	3.2.2	Post harvest variables	71
	3.2.3	Technology and acquisition variables	72
	3.2.4	Production variables	73
3.3	Popula	tion of the Study	73
3.4	Study S	cample	74
3.5	Samplii	ng Techniques	74
3.6	Researd	ch Instrument	74
	3.6.1	Questionnaire design	74



			Page
	3.6.2	Oral interview	75
	3.6.3	Questionnaire administration	76
	3.6.4	Observation	76
	3.6.5	Content validity of research instrument	76
3.7	Data Aı	nalysis	76
3.8.0	Brief In	formation on selected companies	77
	3.8.1 N	Multrex Integrated Food Limited	77
	3.8.2 S	Stanmark Cocoa Processing Company Limited	77
	3.8.3 F	TN Cocoa Processors Plc	78
	3.8.4	le-Oluji Cocoa Products Limited	78
	3.8.5 A	Agro Processing Company Limited	78
	3.8.6 A	Alpha Cocoa Processing Company Limited	79
СНАРТ	ER FOUR	R: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	80



			Page
4.1	Respor	se Rate and Bio data of the Respondent Cocoa Farmers	80
4.2	Respor	nse Rate from Cocoa Processing Firms	83
	4.2.1	Cocoa Beans processing Technologies Employed by farmers and Processors	83
	4.2.2	Harvesting and depoding	85
	4.2.3	Cocoa fermentation	88
	4.2.4	Drying process	92
	4.2.5	Packing and storage of cocoa beans	96
	4.2.6	Cocoa processing flow chart	96
4.3	Level o	f Investment Decisions in Process Technology	101
	4.3.1	Adequacy of investment by cocoa farmers	102
	4.3.2	Farmers holding, income and investment in technology	104
	4.3.3	Investment in cocoa processing	110



			i ugc
	4.3.4	Adequacy of required process technology assets and spare parts	112
	4.3.5	Percentage of capital expenditure invested in technology	112
	4.3.6	Capacity utilisation ratio	115
4.4	Funding	g Decisions for Investment in Technologies	115
	4.4.1	Shareholders' fund	118
	4.4.2	Adequacy of fund for technology investment	119
4.5	Factors	Influencing Post Harvest Investment Decisions of Cocoa Farmers	120
	4.5.1	Factors determining investment in new planting, replanting and rehabilitation	120
	4.5.2	Average income of cocoa farmer	123
	4.5.3	Returns on investment on cocoa planting	123
	4.5.4	Adequacy of labour	126
	4.5.5	Methods of weed control	129
	4.5.6	Factors influencing investment decisions of cocoa processors in	129



			i ugc
		modern technologies required for post harvest activities	
	4.5.7	Sources of information and methods of technology acquisition	129
	4.5.8	Staff participation in investment decision making process	133
	4.5.9	Impact of investment in technology on the operations	133
	4.5.10	Factors influencing investment decisions in cocoa processing industry	136
	4.5.11	Evaluation of investment proposals	136
	4.5.12	Operating performance of the cocoa processing companies	139
	4.5.13	Employment generation and farmers training in cocoa industry	141
4.6	Policy F	ramework Cocoa Rebirth and Technology Investment Decisions on Coca	
4.0	Process		143
(4.6.1 F	Policy objectives	146
	4.6.2	nvestment decision	146
	4.6.3 1	echnology development	146
	4.6.4 <i>A</i>	Appropriate funding	



			Page
			147
4.6.5	Local processing of cocoa		
			148
4.6.6	Quality management during cocoa processing		
			149
4.6.7	Education of farmers		
		C_{Ω}	150
4.6.8	Increase in local consumption of cocoa products		
		.171	150



CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

		151
5.1	Summary	151
5.2	Conclusion	153
5.4	Recommendations	153
5.5	Suggestions for Further Studies	154
REFERENCES		155
APPENDICES		168
l.	Questionnaire	171
II	Present Worth Computations	192
III.	Analysis of Variance and Duncan Multiple Range Test results	209



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Constraints to Sustainable Investment in Cocoa Sector	27
2.2	Long Term Overall Benefit of Technology Investment Lofgren (2006)	37
2.3	Link between Technology Investment and Workforce and Cost (Ainie <i>et al.</i> 2011)	65
3.1	Cocoa Value Chain	67
3.2	A Model for investment Consideration in Cocoa Processing Industry	69
4.1	Cocoa Processing Flow Chart	100
4.2	Policy Framework for Achieving the Cocoa Rebirth Initiative	145



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	World Cocoa Production 2007 – 2012 Cocoa Seasons	16
2.2	World Cocoa Grindings 2009/2010 – 2011/2012 Cocoa season	17
2.3	Components of the general model of strategic decision process	45
2.4	Seven path configurations using the general strategic decision-making model	46
2.5	Eight variables that define a decision-making process	48
4.1	Response rate of cocoa farmers	81
4.2	Economic characteristics of the farmers	82
4.3	Economic characteristics of the cocoa processing companies' workers	84
4.4	Number of days of keeping harvested pods before depoding	86
4.5	Methods used for depoding cocoa	87
4.6	Fermentation methods	89



Table		Page
4.7	Turning of cocoa beans during fermentation	90
4.8	Fermentation days	91
4.9	Drying method	93
4.10	Types of drying facilities used by cocoa farmers	94
4.11	Number of days for drying cocoa during fair weather	95
4.12	Adequacy of sorting of cocoa beans for better quality	97
4.13	Usage of approved jute bags for storage of cocoa during and after drying	98
4.14	Adequacy of investment by cocoa farmers in spraying pumps, farm implements, chemical, new planting and replanting	103
4.15	Awareness of coppicing technology	105
4.16	Usage of coppicing technology	106
4.17	New planting in the last five years by farmers	107
4.18	Farm holding in hectares	108



Table		Page
4.19	Proportion of yearly income invested on technology assets and planting	109
4.20	Incorporated cocoa processing companies in Nigeria	111
4.21	Investment in fixed assets and working capital by cocoa processing companies	113
4.22	Adequacy of required process technology assets	114
4.23	Capacity utilization ratio	116
4.24	Cocoa prices in the last five years	117
4.25	Issued share capital of the responding organisation	119
4.26	Adequacy of fund, raw material and power supply required for investment in process technology in cocoa processing industry	121
4.27	Adequacy of factors determining investment in new planting	122
4.28	Average Annual Income of Cocoa Farmer	124
4.29	Estimate cost of planting and maintenance of cocoa farm per hectares of land	125
4.30	Present worth of an hectare of Cocoa Planting based on different scenarios	127



Table		Page
4.31	Adequacy of labour for post harvest activities	128
4.32	Methods of weed control	130
4.33	Source of information of technology availability	131
4.34	Methods of technology acquisition	132
4.35	Involvement of staff in technology acquisition process	134
4.36	Impact of investment in technology	135
4.37	Factors influencing investment decisions in technology in cocoa processing	137
4.38	Budget and evaluation of investment in technology	138
4.39	Key financial information of cocoa processing companies	140
4.40	Employments in cocoa organizations	142



APPENDIX II

Table 1	Present worth table of investment in an hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon based an average cocoa price per ton and cost of operations for the period (2008 – 2011) and estimated cost of operations	193
Table 2	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon at a price of N280,000 per ton	194
Table 3	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon at a price of N300,000 per ton	195
	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon at a price N320,000 per ton	196
Table 5	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon at a price of N330,000 per ton	197
Table 6	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon at a minimum attractive rate of return (MARR) of 8%	198
Table 7	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon at MARR of $10~\%$	199
Table 8	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon at MARR of 15%	200
Table 9	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon at MARR of 20%	201
Table 10	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon if	



	cost increased by 5%	202
Table 11	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon if cost increased by 10%	203
Table 12	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon if the cost increased by 15%	204
Table 13	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon if cost increased by 20%	205
Table 14	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 25 years horizon	200
Table 15	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 20 years horizon	206
Table 16	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 15 years horizon	
Table 17	Present worth table of one hectare cocoa farm for 10 years horizon	208
		209



ABSTRACT

The study examined the cocoa beans processing technologies adopted by farmers and processors in the cocoa processing industry in Nigeria. It further investigated the investment and technology decisions of cocoa in processing organization. This was with a view of developing appropriate framework to enhance the growth of cocoa processing industry and the cocoa rebirth programme.

The study covered 400 cocoa farmers purposively selected in five major cocoa producing States in Nigeria and six cocoa processing organizations. Questionnaire technique supplemented with oral interview of cocoa farmers and officials of cocoa processing organizations was used for the study. The questionnaire and oral interview elicited information on investment and technology decisions, post harvest activities of cocoa farmers, investment in modern farm implement, chemical and new planting, technology and funding decisions in cocoa processing organizations. Secondary data were collected from the annual reports and accounts of FTN Cocoa Processors Plc., Multitrex Integrated Foods Plc. and Stanmark Cocoa Processing Ltd. The data collected were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

The result showed that majority of the farmers (68.53%) did not ferment their cocoa for more than four days and as against the best practice of 5 to 7 days to ensure better product quality Investment in quality spraying pumps, new planting herbicides were low with a rating indices of 2.78, 1.86, 2.32 on 5 point likert rating scale respectively. Investment in new planting were found to be profitable, the sensitivity analysis showed that at a minimum attractive rate of return (MARR) of 10%, the present worth of an hectare of cocoa farm over 25 20, 15 and 10 years horizon were \$\frac{1}{2}712,817, N633,721, N486,540, and N249,484 respectively and a pay back period of 6 years but few farmers



invested on new planting of cocoa trees. Majority of the farmers invested less than 20% of their annual income on technology assets. About 50% of the incorporated cocoa processing companies in Nigeria were moribund. All the cocoa processing companies included in the sample did not exceed 60% capacity utilization for the period of 2007 to 2011 considered in the study. The operating companies had adequate investment in technology for processing activities which were acquired by purchase of technology with substantial borrowed fund. Lack of raw material was identified as major constraints to the operations of the cocoa processing companies. Investment in technology was found to impact positively on production efficiency (4.45); labour cost savings (4.18) and quality of products (4.26).

The study concluded that cocoa farmers did not invest adequately in technology assets and new planting because of lack of capital and non-ploughing back of income generated due to low income and social needs. Despite the substantial investment on technology by cocoa processing companies there were low capacity utilizations in the industry due lack of adequate working capital to stock pile cocoa b



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Nigeria used to rank as one of the leading cocoa producing countries in West Africa before the oil boom. Cocoa was a major export crop for the nation as it fetched a sizeable percentage of the nation's foreign exchange. The economy of the old Western State of Nigeria was once based on the funds from cocoa, regrettably crude oil displaced the agricultural sector of the nation's economy from the early 1970 and till date, the sector has been faltering. Despite the dwindling production of cocoa in Nigeria, the crop still contributes to the nation's economic development in terms of foreign exchange earnings. No single agricultural export commodity has earned more than cocoa. With respect to employment, the cocoa sub-sector still offers quite a sizeable number of employments both directly and indirectly. In addition, cocoa is an important source of raw materials, as well as source of revenue to Governments of cocoa producing states (Nkang et al., 2009).

Successive government in Nigeria knowing the importance of this cash crop to the economy, continued to roll out plans to restore the glory of cocoa. The cocoa Development Committee was set up by the Federal Government in 1999, which was made of the 14 cocoa producing states in the country, to ensure increase in cocoa production. This initiative boost cocoa production by supplying high yielding seedlings and chemical to the farmers (Babatunde, 2012)

With the gradual increase in cocoa production, there is a need for the use of appropriate technology and investment in post harvest cocoa production and also adequate investment in up-to-date technology for the processing of the dry cocoa bean to ensure effective value addition.



Agriculture is of low benefit if there is no adequate investment in the agro processing. The real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) cannot grow if a country merely produces massive agricultural products which cannot be exploited for domestic use and exportation (Christianto and Smaranlanche, 2008). The development of agro processing industries is very important to the employment generation in Nigeria. Agro processing industries of which cocoa processing is one, normally create employment for many people and this accounts for the reason why Nigeria government placed premium on the establishment of agricultural companies. There should be conscious effort to ensure that all the initiatives of encouraging cocoa production in Nigeria also take into consideration the issues of technology and investment in post harvest activities to ensure production of high quality cocoa beans and the processing of the cocoa beans. A situation where the bulk of the cocoa beans produced in Nigeria cannot be processed locally or at least some value added, is not in the best interest of the nation. Nigeria has remained a major primary producer of cocoa for many decades and if the desired cocoa rebirth is to be achieved the issue of technology and investment in cocoa industry is very important.

Investment in technology is necessary for the growth of every sector of the economy most especially agro-processing which is supposed to be bedrock of the economies of most states in Nigeria. Technology is a set of tools both hardware (physical) and software (algorithm philosophical systems or procedures) that help us act and think better. Technology is designed to make the production of product or service more efficient (Ghosh, 2004). Therefore, organisations are supposed to make adequate provision for investment in technology either in the acquisition of technology or updating and maintenance of the existing ones. Technology changes with time, therefore an organisation that continues to make use of obsolete technology cannot guarantee efficient and effective operations and this may lead to the eventual collapse of such organisation. Hence, there is a need for constant decision making process that would ensure that the organisation position itself for the future. According to Stoner *et al.* (2005) decision making is the process of selecting a course of action to solve specific



problem. Management of an organisation should consciously and continually engage in the art of decision making, most especially technology investment decisions in shaping the course of the organisation.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

The post harvest handling of cocoa is a very important consideration in ensuring production of high quality cocoa beans. The quality of cocoa beans is one of the determinants of production of high quality cocoa liquor, cocoa butter and cake which are produced by cocoa processors. Due to lack of usage of modern technology and required investment in facilities for effective post harvest processes of cocoa beans, there has been incidences of production of bad cocoa beans which has resulted in selling of Nigeria cocoa at a lesser grade in the world market. According to Michael and Nzeka (2011), most of the cocoa industry quality control mechanisms were severely compromised following the abolition of the Cocoa Board in 1986. The Cocoa Association of Nigeria and the exporters now play the critical role of enlightening farmers on appropriate quality management for the fermentation and drying of the cocoa beans. Ghana cocoa beans sell at significant premium in the world cocoa market due to rigorous state quality control through Ghana Cocoa Board (William, 2009).

Most previous studies on cocoa in Nigeria concentrated on incentives given to farmers to boost production of cocoa but the issue of the farmers' technology and investment decisions in post harvest activities to ensure the production of quality cocoa beans and increased production has not been properly addressed. African countries produce 70% of the world's cocoa but only 18% of it is processed in Africa compared to Europe which processes 41%. There is lack of adequate data and information on investment and process technology decisions in cocoa processing industry to know the reason for the processing of little proportion of cocoa produced in Nigeria. Only about 17% of Nigeria annual cocoa



For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng