

THE STUDY OF THE ECOLOGY AND PARASITES OF HERPETOLOGICAL ANIMALS (AMPHIBIANS AND REPTILES) IN OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, OSUN STATE

 \mathbf{BY}

John Femi OLAOSEBIKAN B.Tech. (Pure and Applied Biology), (LAUTECH) (SCP12/13/H/0088)

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE INSTITUTE OF ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES, OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc.) IN ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND MANAGEMENT

2016



CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this research study was carried out by John Femi OLAOSEBIKAN as part of the requirements for the award of Master of Science (M.Sc.) degree in Environmental Control and Management of the ObafemiAwolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

Prof. I.E. Ofoezie			
Supervisor	Signature	Date	
Prof. O.O. Awotoye			
Director	Signature	Date	



DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to God, the source of my knowledge and inspiration, who also provided for me through the highs and lows of this project. His name forever is praised.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely give thanks and praises to the Almighty God, the Alpha and Omega, for His mercy, grace and uncommon privilege to become a pacesetter in my lineage.

I record here my unalloyed gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. I. E. Ofoezie for his fatherly patience and immense support towards the success of this project, who always create time out of his tight schedule to read through this work. I also appreciate the Director, Institute of Ecology and Environmental Studies, Prof. O. O. Awotoye and other members of staff of the Institute, Dr. M. B. Adewole, Dr. (Mrs.) A. A. Okoya, Dr. O. J. Matthew, Dr. K. A. Adepoju, Mr. Kola Osunkunleand others for their relentless effort of impacting me with knowledge.

My gratitude also goes to Prof. A. I. Akinpelu, Dr. (Mrs.) M. E. Ajibola, Mr. Kola Oyewole and other technologist of Department of Zoology for their academic support and permission to make use of their laboratory.

I am greatly indebted to my loving parents Elder & Mrs. J.O. Olaosebikan for their support, spiritually, morally, and in every aspect during this course of study. To my siblings: Amos, Serah, Esther, Joshua and Gideon for their indefatigable support and love. Special thanks to my mentor Engr. Anthony Alonge for his encouragement and support.

My appreciation goes to special people that contributed immensely to the success of this work, especiallyAkangbeOlusola and OlamisojiOlunitunufor their assistance during the sample collection. My gratitude also goes to my colleagues Mrs. OlopadeTemitope, Elugoke Nicholas, AbuloyeAdeolu, Mrs. OluwafemiTemitope andOjo Wale for standing by me throughout the project work.



Finally, I deeply appreciate and thank my beautiful wife, my delight, Mrs.

Olaosebikan Victoria for her uncommon understanding and patience during my constant night duties on campus.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title		Page
Certifi	cation	i
Dedica	ntion	ii
Ackno	wledgements	iii
Table	of Contents	v
List of	Tables	viii
List of	Figures	X
List of	Plates	xi
Abstra	ct	xiii
СНАР	PTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Background to the Study	1
1.2	Statement of Research Problem	5
1.3	Objectives of the Study	5
1.4	Research Questions	5
1.5	Research Hypothesis	6
1.6	Expected Contribution to Knowledge	6
СНАР	PTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Ecology of Parasitic Helminths within Hosts	7
2.2	Taxonomy of Herpetological Animals in Nigeria	7
2.3	Taxonomy of Helminth Parasites	9
2.4	Helminth Parasites of some Herpetological Animals in Nigeria	10
2.5	Helminth Parasites of some Herpetological Animals in other countries	13



2.6	Ecological threat to Herpetological Animals and their		
	Economic Importance	16	
СНА	PTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS		
3.1	Study Area	19	
	3.1.1 Geographical Location of Ile-Ife	19	
	3.1.2 Climate, Vegetation and Topography of the Locations	19	
3.2	Selection and Description of Sampling Locations	22	
3.3	Methods of Collection of Animal Samples	22	
	3.3.1 Killing of the Collected Animals	22	
	3.3.2 Size of the collected Animals	22	
	3.3.3 Sex Determination	24	
3.4	Examination of Host for Parasites 24		
3.5	Treatment of the Parasites	25	
3.6	Identification of Parasites	25	
3.7	Statistical Analysis 25		
СНА	PTER FOUR: RESULTS		
4.1	Checklist of Herpetological Animals in the Study Area	26	
4.2	Correlations between the Climatic Conditions and Numbers of		
	Herptiles Collected	33	
4.3	Helminth Parasites of herpetological Animals	33	
4.4	Distribution and Habitat of the Helminth Parasites in Herptiles Identified	40	
4.5	Prevalence of Helminth Infection in Host Herptiles	40	
	4.5.1 Sex Related Helminth Infection Pattern in Reptilia (<i>Agama agama</i>)	40	



	4.5.2	Sex Related Helminth Infection Pattern in Amphibia (Anura)	42
4.6	Helmi	nth Infection Pattern in Relation to Herptiles Size and Sex	42
4.7	Single	and Mixed Infections in Host Herptiles	70
	4.7.1	Single and Mixed Infections in Reptilia	70
	4.7.2	Single and Mixed Infections in Amphibia	70
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION 7			74
CHAI	PTER S	SIX: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
6.1	Concl	usion	80
6.2	Recon	nmendation	80
REFE	RENC	ES	81



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
3.1	The Climatic Data for the Area of Study from January to December, 2015	21
3.2	Summary of Study Locations	23
4.1	Abundance and Relative Abundance of Different Species of Herptiles Collected	30
4.2	The Species Abundanceof collected species of herptiles	
	from the Different Locations	31
4.3	Numbers of Herptiles Collected per Month from February to November, 2015	32
4.4	Correlations between the Climatic Conditions and Numbers of	
	Herptiles Collected	34
4.5	Summary of the numbers of Helminths recovered from Different	
	Sections of the gut of herptiles collected	41
4.6	Prevalence (%) of Helminths in Host Herptiles in Relation to Sex	43
4.7	Prevalence of Strongylurisbrevicaudatain Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	44
4.8	Intensity of Strongylurisbrevicaudata in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	45
4.9	Prevalence of <i>Parapharyngodon</i> sp. I in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	47
4.10	Intensity of <i>Parapharyngodon</i> sp. I in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	48
4.11	Prevalence of <i>Parapharyngodon</i> sp. Ilin Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	49
4.12	Intensity of <i>Parapharyngodon</i> sp. II in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	51
4.13	Prevalence of <i>Oochoristicatruncata</i> in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	52
4.14	Intensity of <i>Oochoristicatruncata</i> in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	53
4.15	Prevalence of <i>Mesocoeliummonas</i> in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex 55	
4.16	Intensity of MesocoeliummonasinHerptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	56
4.17	Prevalence of Unidentified Nematodein Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	57



1.18	Intensity of Unidentified Nematode in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	59
1.19	Prevalence of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. Iin Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	60
1.20	Intensity of <i>Thelandros</i> sp I in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	61
1.21	Prevalence of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. IIin Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	63
1.22	Intensity of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. II in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	64
1.23	Prevalence of <i>Thelandros</i> sp III in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	66
1.24	Intensity of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. III in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	67
1.25	Prevalence of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. IV in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	68
1.26	Intensity of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. IV in Herptiles in Relation to SVL and Sex	69
1.27	Occurrence of Multiple Infections of Helminth Parasites in Reptilia	72
1 28	Occurrence of Multiple Infections of Helminth Parasites in Amphibia	73



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Title Page

1 Map of ObafemiAwolowo University campus showing sampling locations 20



LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Title	Page
1	Dorsal view of Hylaranaalbolabris	27
2	Dorsal view of Ptychadenaaequiplicata	27
3	Dorsal view of Ptychadenaoxyrhynchus	28
4.	Dorsal view of Hoplobatrachusoccipitalis	28
5	Dorsal view of Buforegularis	29
6	Dorsal view of male and female Agama agama	29
7	(a) Anterior end of male Strongylurisbrevicaudata	35
	(b) Posterior end of male Strongylurisbevicaudata	35
	(c) Anterior end of female Strongylurisbrevicaudata	35
	(d) Posterior end of female Strongylurisbrevicaudata	35
8	(a) Anterior end of <i>Parapharyngodon</i> sp. I	35
	(b) Posterior end of <i>Parapharyngodon</i> sp. I	35
9	(a) Anterior end of <i>Parapharyngodon</i> sp. II	36
	(b) Posterior end of <i>Parapharyngodon</i> sp. II	36
10	Anterior end of Oochoristicatruncata	36
11	Whole specimen of Mesocoeliummonas	37
12	(a) Anterior end of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. I	37
	(b) Posterior end of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. I	37
13	Whole specimen of <i>Thelandros</i> sp. II	38
14	(a) Anterior end of male <i>Thelandros</i> sp. III	38
	(b) Posterior end of male <i>Thelandros</i> sp. III	38
	(c) Anterior end of female <i>Thelandros</i> sp. III	38



	(d)	Posterior end of female <i>Thelandros</i> sp. III	38
15	(a)	Anterior end of Thelandros sp. IV	39
	(b)	Posterior end of Thelandros sp. IV	39
16	(a)	Anterior end of unidentified nematode	39
	(b)	Posterior end of unidentified nematode	39



ABSTRACT

This study investigated the abundance and ecology of amphibians (Anura) and reptiles (Agamidae) in ObafemiAwolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. It also assessed the prevalence and intensity of ectoparasites and endoparasites of the animals with a view to determining the patterns of parasitic infection among the herptiles in the study area.

Amphibians and reptiles were monitored in seven ecologically divergent locations purposively selected within the campus of ObafemiAwolowo University, Ile-Ife. Each location was monitored once every month for nine months (February - November, 2015) for presence and number of amphibians and reptiles. Each herptile encountered was captured, properly labelled and taken to the laboratory for identification and parasitological examination. In the laboratory, each animal was anesthetized with chloroform, weighed (using Scout pro Top loading balance Model Scout ProSPU202)) and the snout-vent length (SVL) measured using a meter rule. The animal was then identified using standard keys and photographed. The body was thoroughly examined for ectoparasites section by section. Each animal was then dissected longitudinally and the gastro-intestinal canal carefully removed and divided into sections. Each section, (oesophagus, stomach, small intestine and large intestine) was fixed separately in physiological saline for the recovery of parasites. Parasites recovered were fixed in alcohol-formal acetic acid (A.F.A) and preserved in 70% alcohol and 5% glycerol to prevent dehydration. The parasites recovered were then identified using standard keys and lucida photographs taken within 24 hours. Data were analyzed using the Chi square test, analysis of variance and correlation analyses.

Out of 231 herptiles collected, 111 were reptiles and 120 were amphibians. These comprised of one species of reptile (*Agama agama*) and five species of amphibians (*Buforegularis*, *Hoplobatrachusoccipitalis*, *Hylaranaalbolabris*, *Ptychadenaaequiplicata* and



Ptychadenaoxyrhynchus). The highest number of reptiles (46) was collected from Fajuyi Hall and the lowest (5) from Kajola Village. The corresponding values for the amphibians were 43 at the Agric Research Farm and 12 at Awolowo Hall, respectively. Ten parasite species were isolated. These included Strongylurisbrevicaudata, Parapharyngodon Parapharyngodon sp. II, Oochoristicatruncata, Mesocoeliummonas and an unidentified nematode were collected from the reptile and Thelandros sp. I, II, III, IV and Mesocoeliummonaswere isolated from the amphibians. All the parasites were endoparasites and no ectoparasites were recorded. All the reptiles collected were infected with one parasite or the other, thus, prevalence of infection amongst them was 100%. Conversely, only 68.3% of the 120 amphibians were infected. The large intestine harbored most of the parasites (72.6%) while the stomach harbored the least number (1.9%). The infection rate among the sections was significantly different (p< 0.05). Prevalence of infection amongst the amphibians was highest at Agricultural Research Farm (60.9%) and least at the Library area (18.2%). These patterns were significantly different (p< 0.05). Strongylurisbrevicaudata was the most frequently observed helminth with a prevalence of 95.5% while *Thelandros* species IV was the least prevalent (2.7%). The herptiles harbored multiple infections of two to four helminth species. Double infection was the most common mixed infection (41.4% reptiles and 35.4% in amphibians).

The study concluded that the prevalence of parasitic infection among herptiles in the ObafemiAwolowo University which was high raised an important public health concern.



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

Herpetology is the study of amphibians and reptiles. It is the branch of Zoology concerned with the study of amphibians (including frogs, toads, salamanders, newts, and caecilians (gymnophiona)) and reptiles (including snakes, lizards, amphisbaenids, turtles, terrapins, tortoises, crocodilians, and the tuataras). Batrachology is a further subdiscipline of herpetology concerned with the study of amphibians alone. Herpetology offers benefits to humanity in the study of the role of amphibians and reptiles in global ecology especially because amphibians are often very sensitive to environmental changes, offering a visible warning to humans that significant changes are taking place. Living amphibians and reptiles are representatives of a small number of the many historical tetrapod radiations.

Herpetological animals (amphibians and reptiles) collectively called "herptiles" or "herpetofauna" are not each other's closest relatives evolutionarily, yet they have traditionally been treated as though they are related. Nevertheless, many aspects of the lives and biology of amphibians and reptiles are complementary and allow zoologists to study them together using the same or similar techniques. Biological similarities between herpetological animals and the ease of field and laboratory manipulation of many species have made them model animals for the study of ecology. Amphibians and reptiles are important components of ecosystems. Efforts to collect baseline data about occurrence, distribution, and status of populations are relatively



well advanced for amphibians, but much less so for reptiles for which recent data suggest that turtles and crocodilians are as threatened as anurans (Gibbons *et al.*, 2000).

Amphibians were the first terrestrial vertebrates. Their ancestors were lobe-finned fishes (*Sarcopterygii*), a group of bony fishes (*Osteichtyes*). These fishes appeared in the Devonian Period (more than 380 million years) before present and radiated in fresh and salt water. Frogs are the earliest group of ancient amphibians that first appeared in the fossil record some 360 million years ago, during the Devonian period (Matson, 2002). The word Amphibian is derived from the Greek word *amphibious* which referred to an animal that lives alternately on land and in water (Bhamrah and Juneja, 1990; Matson, 2002).

Amphibians have successfully exploited most terrestrial environments while remaining closely tied to water or moist microhabitats for reproduction. Most amphibians experience rapid desiccation in dry environments, but some species have evolved spectacular adaptations that permit existence in extreme habitats.

During the past decades the ecology and ecotoxicology of amphibians started to get attention (Sparling *et al.*, 2000) because of global amphibian population declines (Houlahan *et al.*, 2000). Based on the lists of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) there are 787 rare or endangered amphibian species (Frost *et al.*, 2006) and about 1,900 species known to be threatened (Stuart *et al.*, 2008). Frogs and toads are about 90% of all amphibians (McDiarmid and Micthell, 2000). Therefore, they are an important link between human and ecosystem health (Hayes *et al.*, 2002) and they are main components of aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems (Unrine *et al.*, 2007). Most adult frogs and toads feed on invertebrates, so they are important, energy-efficient trophic link between insects and other vertebrates (Sparling *et al.*, 2000). They are sensitive to environmental changes both in terrestrial and aquatic habitats



because they have highly semi-permeable skins and different life cycle stages (Alfred and Richards, 1999).

Studies (Matson, 2002; Ajibola, 2010) have revealed that frogs in general have a soft, moist skin lacking scales and they lay eggs that have no protective shells. They are found in freshwater lakes, rivers, ponds, ditches and on moist land. They live near water to maintain

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng