

ASSESSMENT OF COMMUNITY BASED YOUTH ORGANISATIONS' INVOLVEMENT IN RURAL DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA

JULIUS OLATUNDE AYINDE
B. Agric. (AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT), UNAAB;
M.Sc. (AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND RURAL SOCIOLOGY), OAU.

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D.) IN AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND RURAL SOCIOLOGY

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL EXTENSION AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT, FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE, OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, OSUN STATE.

2011



OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHORIZATION TO COPY

AUTHOR:	AYINDE, JULIUS C	LATUN	IDE		
TITLE:	ASSESSMENT	OF	COMMUNITY	BASED	YOUTH
	ORGANISATIONS'	INVOI	VEMENT IN RU	RAL DEVEI	LOPMENT
	ACTIVITIES IN OS	UN STA	TE, NIGERIA.		
DEGREE:	Ph.D. (AGRICULTU	JRAL EX	KTENSION AND R	URAL SOCIO	DLOGY)
YEAR:	2011				
I, Ayinde, Julius Olat	unde hereby authorize	the Hez	ekiah Oluwasanmi I	Library to copy	y my thesis
in whole or in part, in	response to requests	from inc	lividual researchers	and organizati	ons for the
purpose of private studies or research.					
Signature:		••••	Date:	••••••	••••



CERTIFICATION

This research project written by AYINDE Julius Olatunde has been read, approved and adjudged to meet part of the requirements for the award of Ph.D. Degree in Agricultural Extension and Rural Sociology of the Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

Prof. D. O. Torimiro	Prof. A. J. Farinde
Supervisor	Chief Examiner



DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the Holy Trinity, my mother, my beloved wife and our loving children.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises and thanks be unto Almighty God, my Lord Jesus Christ and the Holy Spirit for being all in all in my life before, now and forever.

My profound appreciation goes to my supervisor and mentor Prof. D. O. Torimiro for his advice, availability at every point of need, readiness to share his tightly scheduled time, giving it a priority to expose me to academic world, sharing his experience and giving this work a very sound footing. I pray that God will continue to grant him and his family desires of their hearts now and forever more. Amen.

My sincere appreciation goes to Prof. A. J. Farinde, the Head of Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development for his prompt approach as well as his valuable contributions to the success of this work. Also, the contribution and timely attention of Dr. A. O. Ajayi (former Head of Department) to the success of this work are well appreciated. I am indeed very grateful to Doctors (Mrs.) O. F. Deji, B. O. Adisa, F. O. Adereti, A. O. Agboola, S. A. Adesoji, Mr. T. F. Ojo, Mrs. F. B. H. Faborode and Mr. V.O. Okorie all of whom participated in giving this research a better focus right from the onset during the series of departmental seminars, also for their accessibility and timely contributions to the success of this work.

I am also grateful to Mrs. F. O. Elufadejin, Mr. J. O. Owolabi, Mr. J. A. Akinkunmi, Mr. E. O. Bamigboye, Mrs. F.O. Ayodele, Mr. E. Ekundayo, Mr. D. A. Ojo and Mr. S. G. Ogunyemi for their moral and administrative supports.

I am also indebted to all those who assisted on the field during the data collection among whom are Messrs. Kolawole Adeloye, K. A. Shittu, L. O. Fijabi, Femi Adewole, Femi Adeoje, K. A. Olawale, Bunkunmi Fagbile, Tolu Olatunbosun, Femi Adeyemi, Seun Ogunsuyi, Idowu



Ojo, O. Adedeji, O. Adegoke, Femi Fakayode, Lukman Ajibade, David Akanbi, A. M. Adegbite (Secretary, Osun State Youth Council), Community Leaders (those who served as key informants, particularly, Baale Alaaya of Oluponna in Ayediire LGA), Community Development Officers of the twelve (12) Local Government Areas used for the study, Director, Osun State Ministry of Youth, Sport and Culture and hosts of others who in one way or the other contributed to the success of this work.

I am grateful to my colleagues for their love, advice, guidance, cooperation and concern during this degree programme among who are: Doctors (Mrs.) D. L. Alabi, O. Fayomi, A. O. Adekunmi, S. I. Ogunjimi, Mr. J. A. Olaniyi, Mr. B. S. Famuyiwa, Mr. A, L. O. Malik, Mrs. F. H. Shomoye, Mrs. F. O. Aladekomo, Mrs. I. O. Mustapha, Mrs. O. A. Oyedokun, and others too numerous to mention. The contributions of Mr. J. O. Yusuf, particularly for his supports during data analysis are quite appreciated.

My profound gratitude goes to all pastors, particularly, Canon J. A. B. Ogunbiyi, evangelical committee, men forum, choir band, friends and well-wishers in the Saint Barnabas' Anglican Church, Olonade, Ile-Ife for their spiritual supports, encouragements and prayers for success of this work. God will continue to uphold them and perfect everything concerning them.

I am grateful to my mother, Mrs. Omobolaji Eunice Ayinde for her invaluable parental care and solid academic foundation she single-handedly laid for me and my siblings. May God spare her life for us to enjoy the fruits of her labour. Also, I will always remain grateful to my siblings and their families among whom are Mr. and Mrs. I. K. O. Ayinde, Dr. and Mrs. A. T. Ayinde, Mr. and Mrs. O. Oladele and the host of others. This aspect will not be completed without appreciating my mother-in-law Mrs. Alice Fagbamila and my wife siblings, particularly,



Pastor B. E. Fagbamila for their understanding, supports and prayers during the course of this programme.

I will forever remain grateful to my sweetheart Mrs. Ayomide Elizabeth Ayinde and my Children: Ifeoluwa Stephen, Oluwafunmilayo Lois and Opeyemi Joana, for their words of encouragement, love, understanding, cooperation, supports and assistant during the course of this study.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

CON	PAGE
Title	pagei
Auth	orisation to copyii
Certi	ficationiii
Dedi	cationiv
Ackı	nowledgementsv
Tabl	e of contents
	of tablesxii
List	of figuresxvii
	of platesxviii
Abst	ractxx
CHA	APTER ONE
1.0	INTRODUCTION1
1.1	Background of the study
1.2	Statement of the problem
1.3	Objectives of the study
1.4	Hypotheses of the study7
1.5	Significance of the study
1.6	Operational definition of terms
CHA	APTER TWO
2.0	LITERATURE REVIEW



2.1	Exploration of youth development situation in Nigeria.	13
2.1.	1 Understanding the concept of group or organisation	17
2.1.	2 Group techniques	19
2.1.	3 Types of group	20
2.2	Concept of youth and rural youth	24
2.3	Concept and significance of rural development.	28
2.4	Group roles and dynamics of group in rural development	
2.4.	1 Dynamics of groups in rural development	34
2.5	Studies on organisations' involvement in rural development activities in Nigeria	37
2.6	Community based youth organizations and potential for rural development activities	43
2.7	Activities of rural youth organizations in rural communities: Prospects and problems	45
2.8	Theoretical framework	
2.8.	1 Hypothetical model for the study	53
CH.	APTER THREE	
3.0	Research Methodology	57
3.1	The study area	57
3.2	Population of the study	58
3.3	Sample size and sampling technique.	58
3.4	Research instruments	62
3.5	Validation of research instruments.	63
3.6	Reliability of the data instrument.	63
3.7	Data analysis.	64
3.8	Data Collection	64

3.9	Measurement of variables	65
3.9.	1 Dependent variable	65
3.9.	2 Intervening variables	67
3.9.	3 Independent variables	67
3.10	Justification for the use of statistical techniques	81
3.11	Measures of dispersion or variability	82
	APTER FOUR	
4.0	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	86
4.1	Section A: Youth organisations in rural development activities: identification,	
	categorisation and characteristics and functions of CBYOs in rural	
	development programme	86
4.2	Section B: Youth organisations' rural development activities: identification of the	
	types of rural development activities in which the organisations are	
	involved and determine their level of involvement	124
4.3	Selected demographic characteristics of CBYOs members	
	(the respondents)	146
4.4	Factors influencing CBYOs involvement in rural development activities	175
4.9	Testing of hypotheses	186
СН	APTER FIVE	
5.0	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	213
5 1	Summary	213



API	PENDIX II	267
A DI	PENDIX I	240
REI	TERENCES	232
5.4	Suggestions for further study	231
5.3	Recommendations	229
5.2	Conclusions.	228



LIST OF TABLES

TA	BLES	PAGE
1.	Population of rural areas selected for the	
	study60	
2.	Distribution of CBYOs and respondents sampled for the	14
st	tudy61	
3.	Distribution of CBYOs according to their	
ty	ypes87	
4.	Distribution of respondents according to CBYOs	
cha	nracteristics95	
5.	Distribution of respondents according to criteria used for assigning	
	responsibilities members	to
6.	Distribution of respondents according to decision making process in the	ir group
	concerning rural activities98	developmen
7.	Distribution of respondents according to group motivating factors towa	rds
	involvement in rural activities100	developmen
8.	Distribution of respondents according to some CBYOs	
	characteristics	104
9.	Distribution of respondents according to group sources of income towar	ds
	involvement in rural development	



10.	Distribution of respondents according to some CBYOs characteristics111
11.	Distribution of respondents according to functions of the CBYOs in stages of rural
	development activities
12.	Distribution of respondents according to functions of the CBYOs in the project
	identification stage of CBYOs involvement in rural development
	activities115
13.	Distribution of respondents according to functions of the CBYOs in the decision
	making relating to the choice of the project(s) towards CBYOs involvement in rural
	development activities117
14.	Distribution of respondents according to functions of the CBYOs in the planning of
	the project action towards involvement in rural development
	activities119
15.	Distribution of respondents according to functions of the CBYOs in the projects
	implementation towards involvement in rural development
	activities121
16.	Distribution of respondents according to functions of the CBYOs in the projects
	evaluation towards involvement in rural development
	activities123
17.	Distribution of respondents according to type of projects execution towards
	involvement in rural development
	activities125



18.	Distribution of respondents according to some projects
	characteristics127
19.	Distribution of respondents according to decision making concerning projects to be
	executed
20.	Distribution of respondents according to factors considered before taken decision
	towards involvement in rural development
	activities131
21.	Distribution of respondents according to CBYOs' involvement in project
	execution133
22.	Distribution of respondents according to community projects
	sponsor135
23.	Distribution of respondents according to sponsor(s)' roles in project execution
	towards involvement in rural development
	activities137
24.	Distribution of respondents according to some projects
	characteristics140
25.	Distribution of respondents according to level of involvement in rural development
	activities
26.	Distribution of respondents according to role perceived and role performance of
	CBYOs in community development activities towards involvement in rural
	development activities
27.	Distribution of respondents according to selected demographic
	characteristics 156



28.	Distribution of respondents according to the level of involvement individual member
	of CBYOs in rural development
	activities158
29.	Distribution of respondents according to the motivational factors that influence
	individual member' level of involvement in CBYOs in rural development
	activities159
30.	Distribution of respondents according to the individual's member satisfaction
	towards organisation
	activities162
31.	Distribution of respondents according to available and functional infrastructures in
	their communities
32.	Distribution of respondents according to community
	characteristics166
33.	Distribution of respondents according to number of leaders consulted before
	embarking on rural development
	activities168
34.	Distribution of respondents according to other community based organizations
	(CBYOs) that are available in the study
	area171
35.	Distribution of respondents according to problems militating against involvement
	of CBYOs in rural development
	activities172
36.	Distribution of respondents according to suggested solutions to problems against



CBYOs involvement in rural development

acti	vities174				
37.	Result of varimax rotated factor matrix showing correlation coefficient of				
	highly loaded variable with components significant factors influencing				
	level of involvement of CBYOs in rural development activities176				
38.	Result of principal component analysis showing the initial eigen values				
	and percentage variation in level of involvement of CBYOs in rural development				
	activities				
39.	Factors analysis showing variables contributing to personal development factor179				
40.	Factors analysis showing variables contributing to social contact influence180				
41.	Factors analysis showing variables contributing to community influence				
42.	Factors analysis showing variables contributing to family background183				
43.	Factors analysis showing variables contributing to motivating factor185				
44.	Summary of chi-square analysis showing relationship between level of				
	involvement in rural development activities and some selected demographic				
	characteristics of members of				
CB	YOs188				
45.	Summary of linear correlation analysis showing relationship between level of				
	involvement in rural development activities and some selected demographic				



	characteristics	of	me	mbers	of	
	CBYOs	•••••	192			
46.	Summary of regression a	analysis showing relatio	nship betw	een level of		
	involvement in rural dev	elopment activities and	some selec	ted demographi	c	
	characteristics	of	me	mbers	of	
	CBYOs		194			
47.	Summary of chi-square a	analysis showing relatio	onship betw	een level of		
	involvement in rural dev	velopment activities and	d some selec	cted organizatio	n	
	CBYOs					
	characteristics			1	197	
48.	Summary of linear corre	elation analysis showing	g level of inv	olvement		
	in rural developme characteristics200		some	organisation	(CBYOs)	
49.	Summary of regression a	analysis showing level o	f involveme	ent		
	in rural developmecharacteristics202		some	organisation	(CBYOs)	
50.	Summary of linear corre	elation analysis showing	g level of inv	olvement in rur	al	
	development activities ar	nd some project				
	characteristics	205				
51.	Summary of regression a	Summary of regression analysis showing level of involvement in rural				
	development activities ar	nd some project				
	characteristics	207				
52.	Summary of linear corre	elation analysis showing	g level of in	volvement of CI	BYOs	



	characteristics210	
53.	Summary of regression analysis showing level of involvement of CBY	'Os
	in rural development activities and some selected community	
	characteristics212	

in rural development activities and some selected community



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES PAGE Katzenbach **Smith** 1. The performance and group curve bv (1993).....21 Hypothetical model designed to explain the involvement of rural based youth 2. organisations in rural development activities programme......56 3. Pie chart showing the distribution of CBYOs according to their year of establishment (existence)..... 4. Bar chart showing the distribution of CBYOs membership strength......91 Pie chart showing distribution of CBYOs members' 5. age.....147 6. Bar chart showing distribution of respondents according to their years of residency in the study area......151 7. Bar chart showing distribution of respondents according to their years of formal



LIST OF PLATES

PLATES PAGE One of the projects embarked upon by CBYO (Palace gate under construction)......268 One of the projects completed by educational CBYO (Completed sign post).......268 2 3 Example of letter headed document used by CBYOs for information dissemination....268 4 One of the projects completed by social CBYOs (Renovation of Tap-water)......268 5 One of the projects completed but not maintain by CBYOs (Provision of deep-well)...269 6 7 Example of large small projects embarked upon by CBYOs in collaboration with 8 One of the CBYOs sign-post displayed at heart of community by social CBYOs...... 270 9 One of rural projects embarked upon by CBYOs (Bus-Stop under construction).......270 One of social focus CBYOs Sign-post display in front of their secretariat......270 10 11 One of educational focus CBYOs Sign-post display in front of their secretariat......270 12 CBYOs in collaboration with other groups (Community hall under construction)......271 13 One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Typical rural community hall constructed).271 14 One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Typical security CBYOs notice displayed).271 15 One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Provision of deep-well water)271 16 17 One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Renovation of community Tap water)......272 18 One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Provision of Electrifying sign post)......272



19	One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Provision of community sign-post)2	72
20	One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Provision of completed community hall)2	73
21	One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Provision of community sign-post)	273
22	One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Construction of community hall)	273
23	One of the projects embarked upon by CBYOs (Abandoned community sign-post)	.273
24	One of the projects completed by CBYOs (community sign-post in Ogun state)	.274
25	One of the projects completed by CBYOs (community sign-post in Ekiti State)	.274
26	One of the projects completed by CBYOs (Provision of sign post)	274
27	Children enjoying one of the project constructed by CBYOs	.275
28	Some CBYOs' members relaxing before commencement of FGD in their community	
	(Oke-Osun, Ayediire LGA).	.275
29	Researcher and CBYOs' members after FGD Lasinmi (Osogbo LGA) FGD group	.275
30	Researcher and CBYOs' members after FGD Bepo-Oke Aho (Ede South LGA)2'	75



ABSTRACT

The study assessed the involvement of Community Based Youth Organisations (CBYOs) in rural development activities in Osun State by identifying and categorising the formation, characteristics and functions of the organizations, types of project executed and determining their level of involvement and the factors influencing their activities. This was with a view to providing information on CBYOs in rural areas and the extent to which they are involved in rural development activities in the State.

A multistage sampling procedure was used to select respondents from the three agricultural zones (Osogbo zone; Ife-Ijesha zone; and Iwo zone) in Osun State. At the first stage, 40 percent of the total number of Local Government Areas (LGAs) from each of the three zones was randomly selected, five from Osogbo, four from Ife-Ijesa and three from Iwo. In stage two, the first three rural communities having more numbers of CBYO were purposively selected from each of the LGAs, making thirty-six (36) rural communities while in the third stage, 40 percent of the CBYOs in each of the selected communities were randomly selected. At the final stage, systematic random sampling technique was used to select the respondents using group registers as sampling frame with a random start at an interval of 3. In all, 431 respondents were interviewed using pretested and validated structured interview schedule. Also, Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) and Key Informants interview were employed to elicit qualitative data such as information on communities that have larger percentage of CBYOs, actual and locations of the projects executed by CBYOs. Appropriate descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the data.



The results showed that the majority (91.9%) of CBYOs was non-religious, 50.9 percent focused social development and 25.7 percent on educational development. Sixty percent were established between 2001 and 2010 with the mean membership size/strength of \pm 23. Above average (52.6%) of the CBYOs executed up to two social projects in the last five years, among such projects were sign-posts, deep-well and distribution of writing materials in schools. The mean age of CBYOs members was \pm 24.32 years. The majority (81.4%) of the respondents were male with average household size of \pm 6 people. Reports of FGDs and key informants interviewed revealed that CBYOs executed most of the projects they claimed. Furthermore, membership size/strength ($\beta = 0.070$; p ≤ 0.05), criteria used for membership admission ($\beta =$ 0.164; p \leq 0.05), years of existence (β = 0.030; p \leq 0.01) and organisation sources of income (β =0.241; $p \le 0.05$), among others, would increase the level of involvement by their corresponding values when their values are varied by one unit. The T-values show that only two of these explanatory variables contribute significantly to the level of involvement of CBYOs in rural development activities. These significant variables are: years of existence ($\beta = 0.030$; P ≤ 0.05), and membership size/strength ($\beta = 0.070$; p ≤ 0.01). More so, household size ($\beta = 0.284$; p \leq 0.01) and project cost (b = 0.863; p \leq 0.01) were found to have significantly contributed to the level of involvement of CBYOs in rural development activities. Among the factors contributive to effective involvement of CBYOs in rural development were personal development (25.1%) and social contact influence (19.74%).

It was concluded that the CBYOs were involved in community development activities in rural areas of the State to about 65 percent.



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the study

1.0

Rural areas offer a lot of opportunities, especially to young people, among which are: stable social structures, close links to decision makers, people who know how to bring ideas into reality and an atmosphere full of creativity (Titilola and Igben, 1992; Goellner-Scholz, 2001; ILO, 2001; World Bank, 2006). They need these opportunities to take responsibility, to identify themselves with their home villages, to influence their environment and their society. Youths in any society are known to be the leaders of tomorrow; hence, their role in sustainable national development cannot be over-emphasised. But they have been given less attention by the Nigerian government by not properly integrating them into the process of national development (Seider, 1995; Torimiro, 1999; Kibwika and Sermana, 2002; Sermana, 2002; UNESCO, 2002; Giwa, 2008; NAYES, 2008). The most important thing is to trust young people, to offer them places and resources to work out their own ideas and help them to realise their dreams.

Torimiro et al. (2003), Torimiro and Dionco-Adetayo (2005) and Akpomovia (2010) expressed concern on what the future may look like in the rural areas if the youths are not strategically motivated to stay and organise themselves to bring about rural development so that the entire country may witness an era of sustainable rural development. For sustainable community development to be achieved in Nigeria, involvement of youth organisations towards rural development is an imperative. Hence, youth organisations must discover the need to change their own misdirected priorities, shed their dependency thinking, gain skills and



knowledge for self-empowerment and conscientisation in order to enhance sustainable development in rural areas.

One of the main challenges of sustainable development is to achieve solidarity between generations, including the generations to come. Commonly, sustainable development is defined as "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of the future generations to meet their own needs" (ILO, 2001; YJF, 2006 and Bennell, 2007). Moreover, youth organisations are central actors in the work for sustainable development. Youths are one of the keys in progressing sustainable development regardless of whether it is at a global, national or local level, and regardless of whether the focus is social, economic, environmental, cultural, or a combination of all these elements.

Young people are agents of change and are essential to sustainability of any national development efforts. However, the Nigerian situation presently is that, while most countries are making progress in the implementation of international recommendations for youth development and are reaping the benefits of such implementations, the Nigerian youths are still grapping with the effects of poor economic growth and unprecedented rise in unemployment. This is in addition to the huge challenge of finding a place in the political and socio-economic development of the nation (Torimiro, 1999 and Otumara, 2000).

A number of challenges have risen from this situation, which could be adequately addressed in order to improve the situation of the Nigerian youth. These challenges include; increased incidence of youth crime, HIV/AIDS prevalence, human trafficking, drug abuse, unemployment and underemployment, poverty and hunger, lack of participation of young people in decision making process, lack of patriotism and commitment to nation building and increasing out of school phenomenon (UNESCO, 2002). Having identified the situation and challenges of

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY

the youth in Nigeria in relation to the global community, the appointment of a minister of state in charge of youth affairs in 2002 and the eventual creation of a ministry solely responsible for youth development in 2007 was realised. The ministry proposed a holistic framework for youth development that will encompass various options for resolutions arising from the dominant global youth development, which is youth empowerment for sustainable development. Youth empowerment, as defined by Torimiro *et al.* (2000); YJF (2006); Giwa (2008) and Okorie *et al.* (2009) is "creating and supporting the enabling conditions under which young people can act on their own terms, rather than at the direction of others". This view is in consonance with Chamala's (1990) submission that "telling young adults what to do provoke reaction, but showing them triggers the imagination, involving them gives understanding and empowering them leads to commitment and action". According to Chamala (1990) the term "empowerment" means to enable, to allow, or to permit and can be viewed as both self-initiated and initiated by others.

Youth represent the future and hope of every country. The high returns on resources invested in young people today have both immediate and long-term benefits. Where they exist and are functioning well, rural youth programmes play an important role in building skills of individual young people; strengthening families and communities; and working towards sustainable agricultural and rural development as a major contributor to the over all progress of a country (Bie, 1996 and Oyatoye, 2006).

The agricultural sector in Nigeria has witnessed a considerable high level of evolution within the last three decades. This was occasioned primarily by the desire to increase food production in



order to enhance self-sufficiency and empower the rural youth economically. Nigeria as a developing country has to develop its agriculture if it is to be reckoned within the committee of nations. There is a decline in agricultural production

For more information, please contact ir-help@oauife.edu.ng