PURIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF CELLULASE FROM AEGERITA WEBBERI ISOLATED FROM DECAYING ORANGE FRUITS

ESELOUISAOKODUGHA

B.Sc.(Ife)

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF MICROBIOLOGY, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FORTHE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE (M.Sc.) IN MICROBIOLOGY OF THE OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA

2017

AUTHORIZATION COPY

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY, ILE-IFE, NIGERIA

HEZEKIAH OLUWASANMI LIBRARY

POSTGRADUATE THESIS

AUTHOR: ESE LOUISAOKODUGHA

TITLE: Isolation, Purification and Characterization of Cellulase obtained from

Aegerita webberi Isolated from Decaying Orange Fruits.

DEGREE:Master of Science (M.Sc) Microbiology

YEAR: 2017

I,ESELOUISA OKODUGHA, hereby authorize Hezekiah Oluwasanmi Library to copy this

thesis in part or in whole in response to requests from individual and/or organizations for the

purpose of private study or research.

ii

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this research work was carry	ied out by Ese Louisa OKODUGHA in
the Department of Microbiology, Obafemi Awolowo University	versity, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
Dr. K. O. Awojobi (Supervisor)	Date
Dr. (Mrs) A.O. Oluduro Acting Head, Dept. of Microbiology	Date

DEDICATION

This	work	is	dedicated	to	God	Aln	nighty	for	His	unfailing	love	over	me a	at all	times.
------	------	----	-----------	----	-----	-----	--------	-----	-----	-----------	------	------	------	--------	--------

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My unending thanks goes to Almighty God for His protection and immeasurable love towards me. I am grateful to my supervisor Dr K. O. Awojobi for his assistance and understanding during the course of my research work. My special appreciation to Dr R. E. Okonji who watched me closely to ensure that i was both academically and emotionally stable.

I sincerely appreciate my Acting Head of Department, Dr (Mrs) A. O. Oluduro for her motherly, pastoral care and love towards me. I am also grateful to Dr (Mrs) S. M. Adeyemo for her words of encouragement and support in the course of the programme. To all my highly esteemed lecturers: Prof. G. O. Babalola, Prof. K. A. Ako-Nai, Prof. Olu Odeyemi, Prof. B. O. Omafuvbe, Prof. D. A. Akinpelu, Dr. A. O. Shittu, Dr. N. Torimiro, Dr J. Omololu-Aso, Dr. (Mrs.) M. A. Bisi-Johnson, Mrs. C. D. Fashina, Mr. O. Oyedeji, Mr. A. O. Adesina and Mr. A. O. Aregbesola. I am also grateful to Mr. Tunde Oni, Mrs. O. T. Awotipe and Mr. S. A. Adedire. I am indeed indebted for all the contributions you all have made towards the making of my new person

To Osunde Micheal Omofowa, a friend worthy of note, I cannot thank you enough for your spiritual, academical and technological assistance you rendered to me throughout my master's program. To Famakinwa Seyi, I say a big thank you for the assistance you rendered to me during my research work. To all my friends I am indeed grateful, God bless you all.

I am also grateful to Dr John Okodugha, my father for all the training he has given to me.

To my mother, I will never be able to thank you enough; you are indeed a role model of a mother. God keep you for me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTENT			PAGE
Title Page			i
Authorization Copy			ii
Certification			iii
Dedication			iv
Acknowledgement			V
Table of Contents			vi
Lists of Tables			xi
Lists of Figures			xii
List of Abbreviations			xiv
Abstract			xvi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION			1
1.1 Energy Sources		1	
1.2 Justification of the study			3
1.3 Objective of the study			3
1.4 Specific objectives of the study			3
1.5Contributions to Knowledge			4
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW			5
2.1 Decomposition of Plant Litter			5
2.2 Fungi	6		
2.3 Importance of Fungi			7
2.4 Lignocellulose		8	
2.4.1 Cellulose		8	

2.4.2 Hemicellulose 11			
2.5 Cellulase		12	
2.5.1 Exoglucanase		13	
2.5.2 Endoglucanase		14	
2.5.3 Cellobiase			14
2.6 Occurrence of cellulases			16
2.7 Secretory pathways of cellulase			16
2.8 Microbial Cellulases			17
2.9 Cellulase assay			18
2.10 Modular Structures of Cellulases			20
2.11 Purification of Cellulase			21
2.12 Fermentation Techniques of Microbial Cellulases			24
2.12.1 Production of cellulases in submerged fermentation (SmF)		25	
2.12.2 Production of cellulases in solid state fermentation (SSF)		26	
2.13 Biological and Industrial Application of Cellulase		27	
2.13.1 Industrial application of cellulase		27	
2.13.2 Cellulase in food processing industries		27	
2.13.3 Cellulase in brewery and wine industries			28
2.13.4 Cellulase in textile industries		28	
2.13.5 Cellulase in detergent industries			29
2.13.6 Cellulases in pulp and paper Industry	30		
2.13.7 Cellulases in agriculture			31
2.13.8 Cellulase in animal feed			32

2.13.9 Cellulases in the bio-refinery			32
2.13.10 Application in waste utilization		33	
CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS			33
3.1 Materials			35
3.2 Methods			35
3.2.1 Collection of samples		35	
3.2.2 Isolation of fungi			36
3.2.3 Identification of fungal isolates		36	
3.2.4 Detection of cellulolytic activity using congo red			37
3.2.5 Screening for cellulase production by isolate		37	
3.2.6 Cellulase assay		38	
3.2.7 Protein assay		38	
3.3 Enzyme Production by Submerged Fermentation			39
3.4 Optimization of Production Conditions			39
3.4.1 Effect of inoculum size on cellulase production		39	
3.4.2 Determination of optimum pH for cellulase production		40	
3.4.3 Determination of optimum temperature for cellulaseproduction			40
3.4.4 Effect of different carbon sources on enzyme production		40	
3.4.5 Effect of different nitrogen sources on enzyme production	41		
3.4.6 Effect of enzyme substrate mixing ratio on cellulase activity		41	
3.4.7 Effect of different concentrations of casein on cellulase production		41	
3.4.8 Effect of different concentration of CMC oncellulase production		42	
3.5 Bulk Production of Cellulase			42

3.6 Enzyme Purification			42
3.6.1 Ammonium sulphate precipitation		42	
3.6.2 Dialysis		43	
3.6.3 Purification by gel filtration on bio-gel P-100			43
3.7 Determination of kinetic parameters			43
3.7.1 Effects of pH on the activity of partially purified cellulase	44		
3.7.2Effect of temperature on the activity of partially purified cellulase			44
3.7.3 Heat stability of the partially purifiedcellulase activity		44	
3.7.4 Effect of EDTA on partially purified cellulase activity	45		
3.7.5 Effect of metal ions on partially purified cellulase activity		45	
3.7.6 Effect of partially purified cellulase on yam peel			
and maize cob 45			
3.8 Pretreatment of Cellulose Materials			46
3.8.1 Pretreatment of maize cob 46			
3.8.2 Pretreatment of yam peel 46			
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS			47
4.1 Cellulase Assay			47
4.2 Morphology and Colonial Characteristics of Aegerita webberi		47	
4.3 Effect of Incubation Period on Cellulase Production by Aegerita web	beri		47
4.4 Effect of Inoculum Size on Cellulase Production		47	
4.5 Effect of pH on Cellulase Production by Aegerita webberi		50	
4.6 Effect of Temperature on Cellulase Production by <i>Aegerita webberi</i>			50
4.7 Effect of Carbon Sources on Enzyme Production			50

APPENDICES		122
REFERENCES	86	
5.2 Recommendation		85
5.2 Conclusion		84
5.1 Discussion		77
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONAND RECOMMENDATION	ON	
4.19 Effect of Partially Purified Cellulase on Yam Peel and Maize Cob		68
A. webberi 68		
4.18 Effect of Metal Ions on Partially Purified Cellulase Secreted by		
4.17 Effect of EDTA on Partially Purified Cellulase Secreted by A. webberi		64
4.16 Heat Stability on Partially Purified Cellulase Activity		64
Cellulase Secreted by A. webberi	64	
4.15 Effect of Temperature on the Activity of the PartiallyPurified		
Secreted by A. webberi		59
4.14 Effect of pH on the Activity of the PartiallyPurified Cellulase		
4.13 Determination of Kinetic Parameters		59
4.12 Enzyme Purification on Ammonium Sulphate and Biogel P-100		59
Cellulase Production	55	
4.11 Effect of Different Concentrations of Carboxymethylcellulose on		
4.10Effect of Different Concentrations of Casein on Cellulase Production		55
4.9 Effect of Enzyme Substrate Mixing Ratio on Cellulase Activity 55		
4.8 Effect of Nitrogen Sources on Cellulase by Aegerita webberi	50	

LISTS OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
4.1	Purification Summary of Cellulase by Aegerita webberi	61

LIST OFFIGURES

Figure	Title		Page
2.1 Structure of Cellulose			9
2.2 Structure of Cellobiose			15
4.1 Effect of Incubation Per	riod on Cellulase Production by Aegerita webberi		48
4.2 Effect of Inoculum Size	on Cellulase Production by Aegerita webberi		49
4.3 Effect of pH on Cellulas	se Production by Aegerita webberi		51
4.4 Effect of Temperature o	on Cellulase Production by Aegerita webberi		52
4.5 Effect of Carbon Source	es on Cellulase Production by Aegerita webberi		53
4.6 Effect of Nitrogen Source	ces on Cellulase Production by Aegerita webberi		54
4.7Effect of Enzyme Substr	rate Mixing Ratio on Cellulase Activity	57	
4.8 Effect of Varying Conce	entration of Casein on Cellulase Production by		
Aegerita webberi	57		
4.9 Effect of Varying Conce	entration of CMCon Cellulase Production by		
Aegerita webberi	58		
4.10 Elution profile of Cellu	ulase from A. webberi on Biogel P-100		60
4.11 Lineweaver-Burk Plot	for the PartiallyPurified Cellulase Secreted by		
A. webberi 62			
4.12 Effect of pH on the Ac	tivity of the Partially Purified Cellulase Secretedby		
A. webberi 63			
4.13 Effect of Temperature	on the Activity of the Partially Purified Cellulase		
fromAegerita webberi	65		
4.14 Heat Stability of the Pa	artially Purified Cellulase from A. webberi		66
4.15 Effect of EDTA on Par	rtially Purified Cellulase Secretedby A. webberi67		
4.16 Effect of HgCl ₂ on the	Activity of the Partially Purified Cellulase Secreted	by	

A. webberi	69	
4.17 Effect of NaCl on the Activity of the Partially Purified Cellulase Secreted by		
A. webberi	70	
4.18 Effect of KCl on the Activity of the Partially Purified Cellulase Secreted by		
A. webberi	71	
4.19 Effect of MgCl ₂ on the Activity of the Partially Purified Cellulase		
Secreted by A. webberi		72
4.20 Effect of CaCl ₂ on the Activity of the Partially Purified Cellulase Secreted by	ý	
A. webberi	73	
4.21 Effect of AlCl ₃ on the Activity of the Partially Purified Cellulase Secreted		
byA. webberi	74	
4.22Effect of Crude Cellulase on Maize cob and Yam Peel Substrate		75



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Meaning Microgram μg **Anti-Nutritional Factors ANF** C Carbon **CBM** carbohydrate binding module **CBP** consolidated bioprocessing Centimeter cm **CMC** Carboxymethylcellulose EG Endoglucanases Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid **EDTA** Gram g **GHG** Green House Gas h Hour M Molar Milligram mg mins Minutes ml Milliliter Millimeter mm mM Milli-Molar Nanometer nm ^{0}C Degree Centigrade OD Optical Density Psi Pascal

rpm

RSM

SDA

Revolution per minute

Saboraud Dextrose Agar

Response surface methodology



SHF Separate hydrolysis and fermentation

SmF Submerged fermentation

SSCF Simultaneous saccharification and co-

fermentation

SSF Simultaneous saccharification and /Solid State

Fermentation

UV Ultra violet



ABSTRACT

The objectives of the study was to isolate, screen and identify cellulase producing fungi from decaying orange fruits; determine the optimum condition of the best cellulase producing isolates to obtain crude cellulase; produce and partially purify the crude cellulase by precipitation and gel filtration; investigate the characteristics of the partially purified cellulase; and apply the partially purified cellulase to cellulose material.

Six fungal strains were isolated fromdecaying orange fruit. They were screened for their ability to degrade cellulose by standard rapid plate screening assay. Cellulolytic fungi were evaluated after 5 days for the production of cellulolytic enzymes by staining with 1% Congo red and *Aegerita webberi* was selected being novel in the production of cellulase. Optimization for cellulase production was done using parameters such as carbon sources, pH, temperature, substrate concentration, nitrogen sources (inorganic and organic) and inoculum size of *A. webberi*. The enzyme produced was partially purified using a combination of ammonium sulphate precipitation and gel filtration on bio-gel P-100. The cellulase was characterized to determine the kinetic properties.

The peak of cellulase production was on the fourth day of incubation (162.36Units/ml). The optimum temperature for the activity of cellulase produced by the fungal strain *A. webberi* was 30°C with the activity of 39.2 Units/ml while the optimum pH was attained at pH 5.5 with an activity of 112.2 Units/ml. Casein was the best nitrogen source with an enzyme activity of 239.4 Units/ml while carboxyl methylcellulose was the best carbon source with an enzyme activity of 121.9 Units/ml. The partially purified cellulase specific activity on bio-gel P-100 had a specific activity of 3.06 Units/mg/ml and the Vmax and Km was 0.26 Unit/ml and



1.184 Unit/ml respectively. The optimum temperature for the partially purified enzyme was 60°C while the enzyme was stable to heat for 30 mins at 70°C before noticeable decrease in activity. Of the metal ions investigated, EDTA and HgCl₂resulted in reduced activity of the purified cellulase while NaCl, KCl, CaCl₂,MgCl₂ andAlCl₃ resulted in an increase in the activity of the enzyme. On hydrolysis of raw substrates (yam powder and maize cob), yam powder had a stable higher activity compared to corn cob.

The thermostable cellulase from *Aegerita webberi* isolated from decaying orange fruits could be of great importance in biofuel industry for the saccharification of lignocellulolytic materials into economically useful monosaccharides.



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

In 2010, 81% of the world's primary energy demand was met with non-renewable resources: coal, oil and natural gas (IEA, 2012). Increasing energy demand and our great dependence on fossil resources are considered problematic both from environmental and societal aspects. Combustion of non-renewable resources generates greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions that contribute to global warming. Global warming has raised serious environmental concerns due its great impact on ecosystems all over the world. For example, climate change is predicted to lead to the extinction of numerous species (Thomas et al., 2004). Furthermore, uneven geographical distribution of fossil energy reserves in the world is a societal risk for countries that are highly dependent on imported oil, coal and natural gas. Improvements in energy efficiency and increased utilization of renewable energy resources, such as plant biomass, are key measures to alleviate the concerns arising from our current dependence on fossil fuels (IEA, 2012). Today the transport sector consumes more than half of the annually produced oil and only 2% of the global fuel demand is met by refining renewable feedstocks into transportation fuels (IEA, 2012). The European Union, the United States and Brazil have binding regulations for blending biomassbased fuel compounds with gasoline or diesel(Solomon, 2010). However, the currently exploited "first generation" biofuel feed-stocks include sugar cane, corn starch and palm oil that may also be used in food production. Violation of the food chain threatens food security and may increase food prices (Solomon, 2010). Furthermore, the GHG emissions



arising from "first generation" biofuel production might be significantly underestimated by some widely used life cycle assessment methodologies (Soimakallio and Koponen, 2011). Lignocellulose is the most abundant renewable biomass resource on Earth and for the past 80 years it has been acknowledged as a potential feedstock for the production of fuels and chemicals (Himmel et al., 2007). The majority of plant biomass, including stems and leavesare composed of lignocellulose. Lignocellulose is called "the second generation" feedstock for fuel and chemical productionto emphasize the difference to the edible "first generation" feedstocks. Lignocellulose is a complex and tightly organized matrix of three main polymers, cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin. Historically, lignocellulose recalcitrance has hindered its utilization as a feedstock in fuel and chemical production; however, the current drivers as well as technological development have renewed interest in lignocellulose (Himmel et al., 2007). Lignocellulose processing is envisioned to occur analogously to oil refining, meaning that the feedstock is efficiently utilized for the production of fuels, chemicals and energy in a concept called bio-refining (Foust et al., 2008). As at April 2013, a database of the International Energy Agency lists thirteen commercial-scale factories that use lignocellulose as a feedstock for liquid fuel production(Foust et al., 2008). The thirteenfacilities are either operational, under construction or planned. The biochemical processing route of lignocellulosic biomass aims at enzymatic depolymerisation of cellulose and hemicellulose to monomeric sugars that may be further converted to various desired chemical products, such as ethanol, butanol and alkanes by exploiting microbial metabolism (Fortman et al., 2008) or chemical conversion. Pretreatment based on heat, chemicals or mechanical grinding is a prerequisite for enzymatic depolymerisation of the cell wall carbohydrates in lignocellulosic biomass. Different types of



steam pretreatments and treatments with dilute acids or bases are widely exploited in opening up the tightly packed structure of lignocellulose (Mosier *et al.*, 2005). Several process configurations have been suggested for the saccharification of lignocellulosic polysaccharides and subsequent fermentation of the monosaccharides to desired chemicals(Mosier *et al.*, 2005). The different process configurations, such as separate hydrolysis and fermentation (SHF), simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF), simultaneous saccharification and co-fermentation (SSCF) and consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) differ in their degree of integration (Lynd *et al.*, 1999). Lignocellulose may also be processed by thermochemical means, such as pyrolysis and gasification. Wright and Brown (2007) conducted an economical comparison of biochemical and thermochemical conversion routes for lignocellulose and concluded that bothapproaches were equally viable with the present state of technology.

1.2 Justification of the study

The search for a source of cellulase that is less expensive with robust hydrolytic and catalytic properties is on-going and micro-organisms (especially fungi) are seen as cheap sources for this enzyme because they are ubiquitous and can be easily manipulated hence this research.

1.3 Objective of the study

To purify and characterize cellulase from Aegerita webberi isolated from decaying orange fruits.

1.4 Specific objectives of the study

The specific objectives of the research are to



- a. isolate, screen and identify cellulase producing fungi from decaying orange fruits
- b. determine the optimum condition of the best cellulase producing isolates to obtain crude cellulase
- c. partially purify the crude cellulase by precipitation and gel filtration
- d. investigate the characteristics of the partially purified cellulaseand