

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/216016778>

Epidemiology of intestinal helminth parasites of dogs in Ibadan, Nigeria.

Article in *Journal of Helminthology* · March 2008

DOI: 10.1017/S0022149X07875924

CITATIONS

26

READS

237

2 authors, including:



Oluyomi Sowemimo

Obafemi Awolowo University

23 PUBLICATIONS 194 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Currently working on seroepidemiology of *Toxocara canis* in preschool children in Nigeria [View project](#)



Sero-epidemiology of *Toxocara canis* among pregnant women attending ante natal clinic at the Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospital complex (OAUTHC), Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria [View project](#)

Epidemiology of intestinal helminth parasites of dogs in Ibadan, Nigeria

O.A. Sowemimo* and S.O. Asaolu

Department of Zoology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

Abstract

An epidemiological study of gastrointestinal helminths of dogs (*Canis familiaris*) in two veterinary clinics in Ibadan, Nigeria, was conducted between January 2001 and December 2002. Faecal samples collected from 959 dogs were processed by modified Kato–Katz technique and then examined for helminth eggs. The results of the study showed that 237 (24.7%) of the dogs examined were infected with different types of helminths. The prevalences for the various helminth eggs observed were: *Toxocara canis* 9.0%, *Ancylostoma* spp. 17.9%, *Toxascaris leonina* 0.6%, *Trichuris vulpis* 0.5%, *Uncinaria stenocephala* 0.4% and *Dipylidium caninum* 0.2%. The faecal egg intensities, determined as mean egg count/gram of faeces (\pm SEM), were: *T. canis* 462.0 ± 100.5 , *Ancylostoma* spp. 54.1 ± 8.6 , *T. leonina* 0.8 ± 0.4 , *T. vulpis* 0.1 ± 0.0 , *U. stenocephala* 1.0 ± 0.7 and *D. caninum* 0.2 ± 0.1 . Host age was found to be a significant factor with respect to the prevalence and intensity of *T. canis* and *Ancylostoma* spp. There was no significant difference in the prevalence of intestinal helminth parasites between male (27.0%) and female (22.5%) dogs ($P > 0.05$). The prevalence of helminth parasites was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in the local breed (African shepherd) (41.2%) than in Alsatian dogs (16.2%) or in other exotic breeds (21.0%). Single parasite infections (85.7%) were more common than mixed infections (3.5%).

Introduction

Dogs harbour a variety of intestinal parasites, some of which can also infect humans. In view of this, some of the dog parasites, such as *Toxocara canis* and *Ancylostoma* sp., are reported to be a significant public health problem, especially in developing countries and communities that are socio-economically disadvantaged (Craig & Macpherson, 2000). In these communities, poor levels of hygiene and overcrowding, together with lack of veterinary attention and zoonotic awareness, exacerbate the risk of disease transmission (Hinz, 1980; Malgor *et al.*, 1996).

It is common to observe intestinal parasites in canines of all ages, but the prevalence of infection is usually high in puppies, mainly due to the fact that certain modes of transmission are exclusive to the newly whelped or neonates, and also because young dogs have not yet acquired immunity to parasites (Bowman, 1999; Ramirez-Barrios *et al.*, 2004). The clinical signs of parasitic infection

vary and occasionally some infected animals will be asymptomatic. However, severe clinical cases in young dogs will lead to diarrhoea, anaemia and sometimes death (Georgi & Georgi, 1994; Reinemeyer, 1997; Bowman, 1999).

Information on the epidemiology of the parasite infections in a specific canine population is a useful tool for the veterinarian practitioner when he/she has to provide a clinical diagnosis (Smith, 1991; Nolan & Smith, 1995). Several epidemiological studies of intestinal parasites in dogs have been carried out in Nigeria and other parts of the world. However, information on the intensity of infections is particularly scarce. The purpose of this study was to determine the prevalence and intensity of gastrointestinal helminth parasites of dogs brought for care at two veterinary clinics at Ibadan.

Materials and methods

Faecal samples were collected from a total of 959 dogs comprising 493 males and 466 females, from two veterinary clinics in Ibadan between January 2001 and

*E-mail: yomi_showemimo@yahoo.com

December 2002. All faecal samples were obtained from privately owned dogs taken to the two veterinary clinics at Ibadan, for either a medical problem or for routine examination. Faecal samples were collected directly from the dog's rectum and placed in previously labelled, sterile 30 ml bottles. Information was collected on the dog's approximate age, sex, breed and mode of life. Each faecal sample collected was mixed thoroughly with 10% aqueous formaldehyde for preservation.

Faecal samples were processed in the laboratory by means of the modified Kato–Katz procedure (Forrester & Scott, 1990) and examined for helminth eggs using an optical microscope. Each observed helminth egg was identified using established structural and morphometric criteria (Bowman, 1999). In addition to qualitative diagnosis, an indirect measure of helminth intensity was obtained by counting eggs, expressed as eggs/gram of faeces (epg).

Statistical analysis

Statistical tests were performed using SPSS 11.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Chi-squared tests were used to study the relationship between parasite prevalence and host age, sex and breed. Mann–Whitney U tests were used to explore the relationship between the egg intensity and sex, while Kruskal–Wallis tests were used for variables with more than two levels, i.e. the relationship between the egg intensity and host age and breed.

Results

The eggs of six helminth species were observed in this study. The prevalence and intensity of the different worms are shown in tables 1 and 2. The overall prevalence of infection with intestinal helminth parasites was 24.7%. The most frequently observed intestinal helminth parasite in this study was *Ancylostoma* spp. (17.9%) followed by *T. canis* (9.0%). The prevalences for the other four worms (*Toxascaris leonina*, *Trichuris vulpis*, *Dipylidium caninum* and *Uncinaria stenocephala*) were low

and varied from 0.6% to 0.2% (table 1). The overall mean intensities (epg) for the various helminth species were 462.0 ± 100.5 for *T. canis*, 54.1 ± 8.6 for *Ancylostoma* spp., 0.8 ± 0.4 for *T. leonina*, 0.1 ± 0.0 for *T. vulpis*, 0.2 ± 0.1 for *D. caninum* and 1.0 ± 0.7 for *U. stenocephala* (table 2). The prevalence of intestinal parasites was significantly higher in Mokola Clinic (39.8%) than in Bodija Clinic (12.8%) ($P < 0.05$).

When the prevalence of intestinal helminth parasites was analysed by age, results showed that young dogs (<12 months old) were more infected than older ones. The highest prevalence of intestinal parasites was observed in the 0–6 months age group and was significantly higher than other, older ones. There was no significant difference observed in the overall prevalence of intestinal parasites between the male (27.0%) and female dogs (22.5%) ($P > 0.05$) (table 3). Similarly, for *T. canis* infection, the prevalence was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in dogs <6 months old when compared with older dogs (table 4). No significant difference in the prevalence of *T. canis* was observed between male (10.3%) and female (7.7%) ($\chi^2 = 1.993$, $df = 1$, $P > 0.05$) dogs (table 4). The intensity of *T. canis* infection in young dogs (0–6 months) was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than in older age groups; however, there was no significance difference in the intensity between male and female dogs ($U = 732.5$, $df = 1$, $P > 0.05$) in males (610.55 ± 165.23) than in female dogs (304.77 ± 110.34) (table 4).

The prevalence of *Ancylostoma* spp. was observed to be highest in dogs of age group 0–6 months. The prevalence of infection was higher in male dogs (19.3%) than in female dogs (16.5%); however, there was no significant difference ($\chi^2 = 1.227$, $df = 1$, $P > 0.05$) (table 5). The intensity of *Ancylostoma* spp. infection showed that young dogs (<12 months) were more heavily infected than the older ones. There was no significant difference observed in the intensity of *Ancylostoma* sp. infection between the male dogs (51.85 ± 10.41) and female dogs (56.57 ± 13.72) ($U = 111955.50$, $df = 1$, $P > 0.05$) (table 5). The prevalence and intensity of other helminth parasites observed in this study were similar in both male and female dogs (table 6). Multiple infections with two or

Table 1. Prevalence (%) of helminth eggs in faeces of dogs in Mokola and Bodija clinics.

Location	Number examined	<i>Toxocara canis</i>	<i>Toxascaris leonina</i>	<i>Ancylostoma</i> spp.	<i>Uncinaria stenocephala</i>	<i>Trichuris vulpis</i>	<i>Dipylidium caninum</i>	All helminths
Mokola Clinic	422	82 (19.4)	6 (1.4)	107 (25.4)	4 (0.9)	5 (1.2)	2 (0.5)	168 (39.8)
Bodija Clinic	537	4 (0.7)	0 (0.0)	65 (12.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	69 (12.8)
Total	959	86 (9.0)	6 (0.6)	172 (17.9)	4 (0.4)	2 (0.2)	2 (0.2)	237 (24.7)

Table 2. Intensity (I) of helminth eggs in faeces of dogs in Mokola and Bodija clinics.

Location	Number examined	<i>Toxocara canis</i>	<i>Toxascaris leonina</i>	<i>Ancylostoma</i> spp.	<i>Uncinaria stenocephala</i>	<i>Trichuris vulpis</i>	<i>Dipylidium caninum</i>
Mokola Clinic	422	1049.5 ± 225.4	1.8 ± 0.9	110.5 ± 0.9	2.4 ± 1.6	0.2 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.4
Bodija Clinic	537	0.2 ± 0.1	0.0	9.8 ± 1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	959	462.0 ± 100.5	0.8 ± 0.4	54.1 ± 8.6	1.0 ± 0.7	0.1 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.1

Table 3. Prevalence (%) of intestinal parasites of dogs relative to host age, sex and breed.

Category	Number examined	No. of infected dogs	(%)
Age (months)			
0–6	199	82	41.2
7–12	225	63	28.0
13–18	114	14	12.3
19–24	108	26	24.1
25–36	123	18	14.6
37–48	94	14	14.9
> 48	96	21	21.9
		$P = 0.000$	
Sex			
Male	493	133	27.0
Female	466	105	22.5
		$P > 0.05$	
Breed			
Local	311	128	41.2
Alsatian	543	88	16.2
Others	105	22	21.0
		$P = 0.000$	

more helminth parasites (3.5%) were far less common than were infections with a single parasite type (85.7%).

The prevalence of helminth parasites in dogs of different breeds is presented in table 3. The highest prevalence of helminth parasites (41.2%) was found in the local breed (African shepherd) and significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than in Alsatian or other exotic breeds (Labrador, Ridgeback, Doberman and mongrel). Similarly, *T. canis* and *Ancylostoma* spp. were more prevalent in the local breed (African shepherd) than in Alsatian or other exotic breeds (tables 4 and 5).

Discussion

The overall prevalence of gastrointestinal helminth parasites of dogs observed in this study (24.7%) was less

than the value of 77.4% reported in a similar study involving dogs from veterinary clinics, University of Ibadan and Oyo State Veterinary Clinic, over two and a half decades ago (Olufemi & Bobade, 1979). This may be attributed to the increasing number of veterinary outfits established in Ibadan and also the awareness among the dog owners of the need to take their dogs to veterinary clinics for deworming. Similar results were obtained by Onyenwe & Ikpegbu (2004), who reported a prevalence of 24.12% among 908 dogs presented to the University of Nigeria Veterinary Teaching Hospital (UNVTH), Nsukka Nigeria. This may be due to the similarity between the dog populations studied, since most of the faecal samples examined were also obtained from the dogs presented for veterinary care. The overall prevalence obtained in this study differs from that reported by Ramirez-Barrios *et al.* (2004), who obtained a prevalence of 35.5% among 614 dogs presented to the veterinary clinic of the University of Zulul, Venezuela.

The prevalence of helminth infections of dogs observed in Mokola Clinic was higher than that of Bodija Clinic. This may be due to the fact that most dogs attending Mokola Clinic were local dogs which freely roam the streets and may acquire helminth infections through the ingestion of paratenic hosts as a result of their scavenging habits. On the other hand, dogs attending Bodija Clinic were mostly exotic breeds, whose movements are restricted by their owners. In addition, dogs taken to Bodija Clinic, which is privately owned, might be receiving more care from their wealthy owners through regular administration of anthelmintic drugs, compared to dogs taken to Mokola Clinic, a public clinic where effective parasiticides may be less available.

The most commonly encountered parasites in this study were *Ancylostoma* spp. (17.9%) and *T. canis* (9.0%). This is in agreement with other research findings in Nigeria and other parts of the world (Ugochukwu & Ejimadu, 1985; Jordan *et al.*, 1993; Blagburn, 2001; Onyenwe & Ikpegbu, 2004; Ramirez-Barrios *et al.*, 2004). This is of great importance since *T. canis* and *Ancylostoma* spp. are well-recognized zoonotic agents which may

Table 4. Prevalence (%) and intensity (I) of *Toxocara canis* in dogs relative to host age, sex and breed.

Category	Number examined	No. of infected dogs	(%)	I
Age (months)				
0–6	199	50	25.1	1937.5 ± 450.7
7–12	225	24	10.7	232.8 ± 119.3
13–18	114	2	1.8	2.5 ± 2.0
19–24	108	5	4.6	30.0 ± 19.8
25–36	123	3	2.4	7.8 ± 7.5
37–48	94	2	2.1	0.4 ± 0.3
> 48	96	1	1.0	5.8 ± 5.8
Sex				
Male	493	51	10.3	610.55 ± 165.23
Female	466	36	7.7	304.77 ± 110.34
Breed				
Local	311	70	22.5	1394.16 ± 303.22
Alsatian	543	13	2.4	9.14 ± 4.66
Others	105	4	3.8	42.67 ± 32.85

Table 5. Prevalence (%) and intensity (I) of *Ancylostoma* spp. in dogs relative to host age, sex and breed.

Category	Number examined	No. of infected dogs	(%)	I
Age (months)				
0–6	199	47	23.6	92.4 ± 22.7
7–12	225	44	19.6	107.1 ± 28.6
13–18	114	13	11.4	11.1 ± 3.8
19–24	108	20	18.5	28.5 ± 11.2
25–36	123	16	13.0	6.3 ± 2.0
37–48	94	12	12.8	11.9 ± 4.2
> 48	96	20	20.8	33.3 ± 15.1
Sex				
Male	493	95	19.3	51.8 ± 10.4
Female	466	77	16.5	56.6 ± 13.7
Breed				
Local	311	78	25.1	99.23 ± 18.85
Alsatian	543	77	14.2	30.50 ± 9.45
Others	105	17	16.2	42.86 ± 22.69

Table 6. Prevalence (%), intensity (I) and range (R) of helminth eggs in the faeces of dogs of different sexes.

Helminth species	Male (N = 493)			Female (N = 466)			Both sexes		
	(%)	I	R	(%)	I	R	(%)	I	R
<i>Toxascaris leonina</i>	0.6	0.7 ± 0.5	20–260	0.6	0.9 ± 0.6	40–240	0.6	0.8 ± 0.4	20–260
<i>Trichuris vulpis</i>	0.4	0.1 ± 0.1	20–20	0.6	0.1 ± 0.1	20–20	0.5	0.1 ± 0.0	20–20
<i>Dipylidium caninum</i>	0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	140–140	0.2	0.2 ± 0.2	80–80	0.2	0.2 ± 0.1	80–140
<i>Uncinaria stenocephala</i>	0.4	0.8 ± 0.4	60–340	0.4	1.3 ± 0.7	40–560	0.4	1.0 ± 0.7	40–560

constitute a significant public health risk due to the frequent contact between humans and their pets (Chiejina & Ekwe, 1986; Ramirez-Barrios *et al.*, 2004).

Dog infections with single worm species were encountered more frequently in this study while multiple infections were less commonly detected. These results are in agreement with the findings of other authors (Kirkpatrick, 1988; Vanparijs *et al.*, 1991; Ramirez-Barrios *et al.*, 2004). *Uncinaria stenocephala*, another hookworm observed in this study, occurs with a low prevalence; this may be due to the fact that this parasite is best adapted to colder temperature climates (Gualazzi *et al.*, 1986). Therefore, the low prevalence observed was expected in this study. A similar observation was reported from another tropical country, Kenya, where a lower prevalence was reported for the worm (Kagira & Kanyari, 2000).

The prevalence of the tapeworm *D. caninum* (0.2%) observed in this study was lower than the prevalence (4.0%) reported from a study investigated in the same study area about two and a half decades ago (Olufemi & Bobade, 1979). This may be due to an increased awareness of environmental hygiene in most houses, coupled with modern housing structures that create a unsuitable environment for the survival of the intermediate host (the flea *Ctenocephalides canis*). The closed endemic focus of infection is thus broken in the transmission cycle.

In this study, the prevalences of *T. canis* and *Ancylostoma* spp. were higher in younger dogs aged 0–6 months than in older ones. This finding is in agreement with the

observation of previous workers in Nigeria and other parts of the world (Idowu *et al.*, 1977; Chiejina & Ekwe, 1986; Kirkpatrick, 1988; Blagburn, 2001; Ramirez-Barrios *et al.*, 2004). The higher prevalence of *T. canis* in young dogs was expected because of transplacental infection of the fetus, and documented evidence of age-associated immunity in adult dogs, as reported by previous workers (Bowman, 1999; Blagburn, 2001). Similarly, *Ancylostoma* sp. was observed more in young puppies aged 0–6 months, probably due to transmammmary passage (Lindsay & Blagburn, 1995; Ramirez-Barrios *et al.*, 2004).

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the staff of the Magma Veterinary Clinic, Bodija and Oyo State Veterinary Clinic, Mokola, both at Ibadan, for their help and co-operation during sample collection. This research study was funded partly by the Obafemi Awolowo University Postgraduate Research Grant.

References

- Blagburn, B.L. (2001) Prevalence of canine and feline parasites in the United States of America. *Compendium on continuing education for the practicing veterinarian* **23** (6A), 5–10.

- Bowman, D.D.** (1999) *Georgis' parasitology for veterinarians*. 414 pp. London, W.B. Saunders.
- Chiejina, S.N. & Ekwe, T.O.** (1986) Canine toxocariasis and the associated environmental contamination of urban areas in Eastern Nigeria. *Veterinary Parasitology* **22** (112), 157–161.
- Craig, P.S. & Macpherson, C.N.L.** (2000) Dogs and cestode zoonoses. pp. 149–211 in Macpherson, C.N.L., Meslin, F.X. & Wnadel, A.I. (Eds) *Dogs, zoonoses and public health*. Oxon, UK, CAB International.
- Forrester, J.E. & Scott, M.E.** (1990) Measurement of *Ascaris lumbricoides* infection intensity and dynamic of expulsion following treatment with mebendazole. *Parasitology* **100**, 303–308.
- Georgi, J.R. & Georgi, M.E.** (1994) *Parasitologia en clinica canina*. 231 pp. Madrid, McGraw-Hill Interamericana.
- Gualazzi, D.A., Embil, J.A. & Pereira, L.H.** (1986) Prevalence of helminth ova in recreational areas of Peninsular Halifax, Nova Scotia. *Canadian Journal of Public Health* **77**, 147–151.
- Hinz, E.** (1980) Intestinal helminthes in Bangkok stray dogs and their role in public health. *Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie Mikrobiologie und Hygiene serie B* **171**, 79–85.
- Idowu, L., Okon, E.D. & Dipeolu, O.O.** (1977) A three year analysis of parasitic diseases of dogs and cats in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Bulletin of the Animal Health Production in Africa* **25**, 166–170.
- Jordan, H.F., Mullins, S.T. & Stebbins, M.E.** (1993) Endoparasitism in dogs: 21,583 cases (1981–1990). *Journal of the American Veterinary and Medical Association* **203** (4), 547–549.
- Kagira, J.M. & Kanyari, P.W.N.** (2000) Parasitic diseases as causes of mortality in dogs in Kenya. A retrospective study of 351 cases (1984–1998). *Israel Journal of Veterinary Medicine* **56** (1), 1–5.
- Kirkpatrick, C.E.** (1988) Epizootiology of endoparasitic infections in pet dogs and cats presented to a veterinary teaching hospital. *Veterinary Parasitology* **30** (2), 113–124.
- Lindsay, D.S. & Blagburn, B.L.** (1995) Practical treatment and control of infections caused by canine gastrointestinal parasites. *Veterinary Medicine* **90** (5), 441–455.
- Malgor, R., Oku, Y., Gallardo, R. & Yarzabal, I.** (1996) High prevalence of *Ancylostoma* spp. infection in dogs, associated with endemic focus of human cutaneous larva migrans, in Tacuarembó, Uruguay. *Parasite* **3** (2), 131–134.
- Nolan, T.J. & Smith, G.** (1995) Time series analysis of the prevalence of endoparasitic infections in cats and dogs presented to a veterinary teaching hospital. *Veterinary Parasitology* **59** (2), 87–96.
- Olufemi, B.E. & Bobade, P.A.** (1979) Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminth parasites of dogs in Ibadan, Nigeria. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal* **8**, 68–70.
- Onyenwe, I.W. & Ikegbu, E.O.** (2004) Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminth parasites (GIHP) of dogs presented at the University of Nigeria Veterinary Teaching Hospital (UNVTH) between 1994–2002. *Nigerian Veterinary Journal* **25** (1), 21–25.
- Ramirez-Barrios, R.A., Barboza-Mena, G., Munoz, J., Angulo-Cubillan, F., Henandez, E., Gonzalez, F. & Escalona, F.** (2004) Prevalence of intestinal parasites in dogs under veterinary care in Maracaibo, Venezuela. *Veterinary Parasitology* **121**, 11–20.
- Reinemeyer, C.R.** (1997) Parasitos gastrointestinales caninos. pp. 766–771 in Kirk, R.W. & Bonagura, J.D. (Eds) *Terapeutica veterinaria de pequenos animais XII*. Madrid, McGraw-Hill Interamericana.
- Smith, R.D.** (1991) *Veterinary clinical epidemiology*. 228 pp. Boston, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Ugochukwu, E.I. & Ejimadu, K.N.** (1985) Studies on the prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths of dogs in Calabar, Nigeria. *International Journal of Zoonoses* **12**, 214–218.
- Vanparijs, O., Hermans, L. & Van Dar Flaes, L.** (1991) Helminth and protozoan parasites in dogs and cats in Belgium. *Veterinary Parasitology* **38** (1), 67–73.

(Accepted 25 September 2007)

First Published Online 06 December 2007

© 2007 Cambridge University Press